

Technology, Factor Proportions and Complementarity: Trade Relations between Korea and Vietnam*

Tran Nhuan Kien**, Yoon Heo***

Abstract

This paper aims at examining the dynamic patterns and traits of bilateral trade between Korea and Vietnam. The major findings of this paper can be summarized as follows. First, the structure of trade between Korea and Vietnam conforms to each country's factor proportion. Second, the structure of Korea's imports from Vietnam did not change over the last two decades. In other words, Vietnam has failed to climb up the industrial ladder. Third, the degree of intra-industry trade between Korea and Vietnam has been low and unstable. Fourth, the trade pattern between Korea and Vietnam has been complementary not competitive in nature. Korea-Vietnam FTA will open a new horizon for the deeper vertical integration of the two.

Keywords : Korea-Vietnam FTA, Trade Pattern, Technology Transfer, IIT, TCI

JEL Classification : F15

* This paper is a revised and updated version of Kien, Lee and Heo (2010). An earlier version of the paper was presented at Sogang IIAS Research Series (Working Paper) 2012. The authors appreciate the financial support from Sogang University Research Grant 2010.

** Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies, Thai Nguyen University of Economics and Business Administration, Vietnam; Email: tnkien@tueba.edu.vn

*** Corresponding author, Professor of International Trade, Graduate School of International Studies, Sogang University, Korea; Email: hury@sogang.ac.kr

1. Introduction

The diplomatic relation between the Republic of Korea (hereafter, Korea) and Vietnam was officially established in 1992. With a comprehensive cooperation between the two, the bilateral trade relations between Vietnam and Korea have surged in popularity. The value of Korea's merchandise trade with Vietnam amounted to US\$28.3 billion in 2013, making Korea one of the three biggest trading partners of Vietnam. Recognizing the potential of bilateral trade, the two countries have started negotiations for a free trade agreement to deepen and broaden bilateral trade relations since 2012. The main objective of this paper is to study the changing patterns and traits of bilateral trade relations between the two in recent years, and to derive some policy implications out of it for their future trade relations. This paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the Korea's FDI into Vietnam in a regional perspective. In section III, the trends and changing patterns of commodity trade between the two are discussed. Section IV assesses the intra-industry trade between Korea and Vietnam. Section V attempts to determine whether bilateral trade between Korea and Vietnam is complementary or competitive in nature. Section VI summarizes the principal findings of this study.

2. Korea's Foreign Direct Investment into Vietnam

As soon as the diplomatic relation between the two established, Korean investors started to come into Vietnam as they saw Vietnam as an emerging economy with a large population, abundant and low cost labor force with high literacy rate. Started from over US\$ 100 million in 1992, the registered capital increased to US\$4.294 billion in 2013. In terms of accumulated registered capital, Korea was amongst the top three biggest investors in Vietnam with total of US\$29 billion, just behind Japan and Singapore. It is noteworthy mentioning that the scale of Korea's investment generally was smaller as compared to other investors (on average, the investment amount was US\$8.2 million/project).

In recent year, Korea's investment into Vietnam has increased occupying approximately 10 percent in 2011 and 20 percent in 2013 of Vietnam's total registered foreign capital. The surge in Korea's FDI into Vietnam can be attributed to the two projects of Samsung Electronics in Vietnam. In 2013, Samsung Electronics invested US\$2 billion into a new factory in Thai Nguyen and increased its investment by US\$1 billion in Bac Ninh province (MPI, 2014). Korea's investment in Vietnam has covered various industries, of which the major investment industries have been manufacturing, construction, and real

estate. The majority of Korea's investment has flowed into manufacturing sector, accounting for 46.3 percent of total Korea's FDI into Vietnam. The second sector that attracted large amount of Korea's investment has been real estate with 28.7 percent, followed by construction sector with 10.7 percent (MPI, 2014).

Table 1.

Korea's Foreign direct investment inflows into Vietnam

No.	Country/ Territory	Registered capital (billion US\$)			Accumulated number as December 2013		
		2011	2012	2013	No. of Projects	Value (bil. \$)	Percentage (%)
1	Japan	2.438	5.593	5.748	2127	34.583	15.03
2	Singapore	2.208	1.938	4.377	1219	29.312	12.74
3	Korea	1.467	1.285	4.294	3546	29.041	12.62
4	Taiwan	0.566	2.658	0.595	2287	27.915	12.13
5	B.V. Islands	0.481	0.822	0.307	518	15.638	6.79
6	Hong Kong	3.093	0.729	0.702	760	12.595	5.47
7	USA	0.254	0.160	0.125	674	10.620	4.61
8	Malaysia	0.453	0.238	0.144	451	10.331	4.49
9	China	0.748	0.371	2.304	977	6.992	3.04
10	Thailand	0.191	0.199	0.406	333	6.469	2.81
Total		14.696	16.348	21.628	15696	230.157	100

Source: Vietnam's Foreign Investment Agency, 2014

3. Characteristics and Trends of Korea-Vietnam Trade Relations

In the late 1980s, bilateral trade between Korea and Vietnam was minimal and unstable (Kien et al., 2010). Since the establishment of diplomatic relations between the two countries, bilateral trade has stably expanded, except for the period during the Asian financial crisis. In particular, Korea's imports from Vietnam have increased more rapidly than Korea's exports to Vietnam. During the period of 1992-2013, the average annual growth rate of Korea's imports from Vietnam was 25.9 percent, whereas Korean exports to Vietnam increased by an average annual growth rate of 20.3 percent. In terms of volume, however, Korea's merchandise exports to Vietnam rose from US\$0.44 billion in 1992 to US\$21.1 billion in 2013, while its merchandise imports from Vietnam increased from US\$0.06 billion to US\$7.18 billion over the same period. As a result, Vietnam has

consistently recorded a trade deficit with Korea throughout the period. For example, Vietnam's trade deficit with Korea increased significantly from US\$4.8 billion in 2009 to US\$10.23 billion in 2012 and reached the record level of almost US\$14 billion in 2013. Therefore, this is one of areas that Vietnamese government seriously takes into account when negotiating for a free trade agreement with Korea. However, this is a bilateral illusion due to the exclusion of other export destinations in the analytic picture of total trade balance. In other words, Korea exports huge amounts of parts and components to Vietnam assembling final products and exporting to ASEAN, US and Europe. For example, Samsung Bac Ninh factory is a typical case for the illusion.

Table 2.
Korea's Trade with Vietnam, 1992-2013

Year	Korea's exports		Korea's imports		Total trade	
	Volume (Mil. \$)	Inc. Rate (%)	Volume (Mil. \$)	Inc. Rate (%)	Volume (Mil. \$)	Inc. Rate (%)
1987-1991 ¹⁾	92.2	50.8	29.3	26.5	121.5	44.8
1992	436.2	119.2	57.3	39.3	493.5	105.5
1993	728.3	67.0	90.6	58.1	818.9	65.9
1994	1027.4	41.1	113.8	25.5	1141.1	39.4
1995	1351.0	31.5	193.6	70.2	1544.6	35.4
1996	1599.1	18.4	232.0	19.9	1831.2	18.6
1997	1603.1	0.3	238.6	2.8	1841.7	0.6
1998	1361.4	-15.1	183.8	-22.9	1545.2	-16.1
1999	1445.2	6.2	264.2	43.7	1709.4	10.6
2000	1686.0	16.7	322.4	22.0	2008.5	17.5
2001	1731.7	2.7	385.8	19.6	2117.4	5.4
2002	2240.2	29.4	470.3	21.9	2710.5	28.0
2003	2561.2	14.3	510.7	8.6	3071.9	13.3
2004	3255.6	27.1	673.3	31.8	3928.9	27.9
2005	3431.7	5.4	694.0	3.1	4125.7	5.0
2006	3927.5	14.5	924.9	33.3	4852.3	17.6
2007	5760.1	46.7	1391.6	50.5	7151.6	47.4
2008	7804.8	35.5	2037.1	46.4	9841.9	37.6
2009	7149.5	-8.4	2370.0	16.3	9519.4	-3.3
2010	9652.1	35.0	3330.8	40.5	12982.9	36.4
2011	13464.9	39.5	5084.2	52.6	18549.2	42.9
2012	15946.0	18.4	5719.25	12.5	21665.25	16.8
2013	21087.6	32.2	7175.19	25.5	28262.77	30.5

note ¹⁾ Average of the period

Samsung Electronics Vietnam (SEV) exported about US\$ 12.5 billion in 2012 and record high US\$ 24.5 billion in 2013. This figure reaches almost 20% of Vietnam's total amount of export. This trend will accelerate as SEV opens its new factory in Thai Nguyen in March 2013. With the surge in SEV's exports of electronic products, Vietnam's trade balance turned into surplus in 2013.

Even though Vietnam has a large bilateral trade deficit with Korea, its exports to Korea increased significantly in the last 5 years despite the recession due to the global economic downturn. The annual growth rate of Vietnam's exports to Korea was 31.9 percent during 2009-2013 period. This increase contributed to the overall Korea-Vietnam trade expansion in recent years (Table 2). The implementation of the Korea-ASEAN FTA may be one of the factors contributing to this significant increase. As a result, Korea and Vietnam have been each other's important trading partners. For Korea, the role of Vietnam's market has been increasing in recent years. In 2013, Vietnam was the 5th largest export market and the 16th import market. Overall, Vietnam was the 8th largest trading partner of Korea in 2013. For Vietnam, Korea has been one of the major trading partners. During 2011-2013, Korea has been considered as Vietnam's 4th largest export market and was ranked 2nd in Vietnam's source of imports. In 2013, Korea was the 3rd largest trading partner of Vietnam with the total merchandise trade recorded US\$28.3 billion, an increase of 30.5 percent compared to the previous year.

Looking at the Korea-Vietnam commodity trade, Tables 3 and 4 show the main products traded between Korea and Vietnam. It has been demonstrated that the structure of Vietnam's exports to Korea are quite different from Korea's exports to Vietnam. Our analysis shows that Korea's major export items to Vietnam are consisted of capital goods, parts and components of machinery, steel/metal products, and industrial textiles, whereas Vietnam has principally exported primary products - such as agricultural and fishery products and consumer textiles - over the past two decades. In addition, the structure of trade has changed little or very gradually. This is a typical inter-industry trade pattern in North-south trade. In the next section, the paper will discuss the issue of inter-industry trade between Korea and Vietnam in a greater detail.

Table 3.
Top 10 Korean Exports to Vietnam (SITC 3-digit of Rev.2)

1995	2000	2005	2011
Woven man-made fiber fabric (10.1)	Special textile fabric products (8.9)	Petroleum products, refined (11.3)	Telecommunication equipment parts (10.9)
Polymerization etc. products (6.6)	Polymerization etc. products (7.6)	Telecommunication equip. and parts (6.2)	Petroleum products, refined (8.1)
Special textile fabric products (5.8)	Woven man-made fiber fabric (5.8)	Knitted or crocheted fabrics (6.1)	Iron, steel primary forms (7.4)
Lorries, special motor vehicles n.e.s. (4.2)	Petroleum products, refined (4.6)	Polymerization etc. products (6.0)	Knitted or crocheted fabrics (5.9)
Television receivers (4.2)	Lorries, special motor vehicles n.e.s. (4.4)	Special textile fabric products (5.4)	Transistors, valves, etc. (5.1)
Petroleum products, refined (4.0)	Leather (4.0)	Lorries, special motor vehicles n.e.s. (5.1)	Polymerization etc. products (4.2)
Iron, steel universal plate, sheet (3.4)	Leather etc. manufactures (3.5)	Woven man-made fabric (4.6)	Electrical machinery and apparatus, (3.2)
Textile & leather machinery (3.3)	Knitted or crocheted fabrics (3.5)	Textile& leather machinery (2.8)	Switchgear etc. parts n.e.s. (3.1)
Mach.& equipment for special industries (3.3)	Cycles, etc. motorized or not (2.9)	Iron, steel universal plate, sheet (2.8)	Iron, steel universal plate, sheet (2.9)
Cycles, etc. motorized or not (2.8)	Textile yarn (2.7)	Leather (2.6)	Production of condensation, etc. (2.6)

Note: Numbers in the parentheses denote the percentage share of the product in Korea's exports to Vietnam.

Source: Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014.

In 2011, the top 10 products exported from Korea to Vietnam in total accounted for nearly 54 percent of Korea's total exports whereas the top 10 products exported from Vietnam to Korea made up 58 percent of Vietnam's total exports. This indicates that Vietnam's exports to Korea were less diversified than those of Korea. When taking into account the changes in structure of exports, it becomes clear that the technology level of these products has increased. For example, telecommunication equipment parts replaced leather and leather manufactured goods as the major export items from Korea to Vietnam.

Table 4.

Top 10 Korean Imports from Vietnam (SITC 3-digit of Rev.2)

1995	2000	2005	2011
Coffee and substitutes (17.1)	Shell fish, fresh, frozen (12.8)	Shell fish, fresh, frozen (13.0)	Crude petroleum (18.1)
Woven man-made fiber fabric (7.6)	Vegetables, fresh, chilled, frozen (6.7)	Textile yarn (8.1)	Men's outerwear not knit (6.9)
Cotton fabrics, woven (7.5)	Men's outerwear non-knitted (6.1)	Footwear (6.7)	Textile yarn (6.2)
Coal, lignite, and peat (4.8)	Coffee and substitutes (5.3)	Furniture, parts thereof (6.1)	Shell fish fresh, frozen (5.6)
Travel goods, handbags (4.3)	Fish etc. prepared, preserved n.e.s. (4.5)	Fish, fresh, chilled or frozen (5.1)	Women's outerwear not knit (5.0)
Shell fish, fresh, frozen (3.8)	Fish, fresh, chilled, frozen (4.5)	Fish, etc. prepared, preserved n.e.s. (4.8)	Footwear (4.8)
Men's outerwear not knitted (3.6)	Furniture and parts thereof (4.1)	Natural rubber, gums (4.6)	Coal, lignite and peat (4.0)
Fruit, preserved, prepared (3.5)	Natural rubber, gums (3.1)	Coffee and coffee substitutes (4.2)	Electrical machinery and apparatus (2.6)
Aircraft, etc. (3.5)	Textile yarn (3.1)	Coal, lignite and peat (3.6)	Furniture, parts thereof (2.5)
Headgear, non-textile clothing (3.4)	Headgear, non-textile clothing (3.1)	Vegetables, fresh, chilled, preserved (2.9)	Natural rubber, gums (2.3)

Note: Numbers in parentheses denote the percentage share of the product in Korea's exports to Vietnam.

Source: Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014

Another major change in Korea's exports to Vietnam is that import substitution by Vietnam for its imports from Korea has made progress only in low technology goods, most notably fabric products. For example, woven man-made fabric ranked first among the top 10 products exported from Korea to Vietnam in 1995, but its ranking fell to third in 2000, and fell further in 2005, to seventh, and left the top 10 product groups in 2011. This can be explained either by Vietnam having upgraded its production capacity for these products, or by Vietnam having begun to import these goods from cheaper sources, such as China. Another explanation might be that Korea's comparative advantage in this product group has deteriorated over the past decade.

Table 5.
Korea's Exports to Vietnam by Stage of Production (unit: percent)

	1995	1997	1999	2001	2003	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Primary goods	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.9
Intermediate goods	68.2	79.2	79.8	68.5	65.2	67.2	70.4	68.2	70.4	68.1	75.4	77.7
Semi-finished goods	63.4	72.0	72.6	60.6	56.7	58.4	62.2	59.5	61.0	56.5	57.8	51.4
Parts & components	4.8	7.2	7.2	8.0	8.5	8.8	8.2	8.7	9.4	11.6	17.7	26.4
Final goods	31.5	20.2	19.8	31.1	34.4	32.2	29.0	31.2	28.9	31.0	23.9	21.4
Capital goods	15.8	11.1	9.3	21.7	25.0	23.9	21.2	20.2	18.0	18.6	14.4	12.8
Consumption goods	15.6	9.0	10.5	9.4	9.4	8.3	7.7	11.0	10.9	12.4	9.5	8.6

Source: Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014, using classification of Gaulier, Lemoine, and Kesenci, 2005.

The structure of commodity trade between Korea and Vietnam becomes more apparent when bilateral trade is classified in terms of stage of production. One of the most transparent patterns of trade between the two is the high share of intermediate goods in Korean exports and of final goods in Korean imports. In Korea's exports of intermediate products to Vietnam, the share of semi-finished products accounted for a large part, even though its share has gradually declined in recent years. On the other hand, Korea's exports of parts and components have increased sharply in recent years, reflecting the interdependency between the two countries. On the import side, Korea's imports of primary and final goods accounted for over 70 percent of total imports. Korea's imports of consumption goods from Vietnam increased during the mid-2000s but then the share declined during 2007-2011. Overall, we witnessed that the structure of Korea's imports from Vietnam did not change over time.

The large share of semi-finished goods in Vietnam's imports and of consumption goods in Vietnam's exports reflects a deepening bilateral trade and cooperation in investments between the two countries, in which Vietnam took the final process in the entire value-chain of production. It can be asserted that this pattern may be the consequence

of the increases in FDI inflows from Korea to Vietnam, because Vietnam offers an abundance of low-cost labor and land, as well as favorable governmental policies (Kien, et al., 2010). This also implies that Vietnam's exports are relatively low in terms of added value, as assembly is the primary final process in Vietnamese production.

Table 6.

Korea's Imports from Vietnam by Stage of Production (unit: percent)

	1995	1997	1999	2001	2003	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Primary goods	32.6	24.2	27.6	19.0	18.3	19.0	20.3	26.4	30.3	31.6	31.3	30.7
Intermediate goods	25.0	24.2	30.7	20.9	21.5	22.5	22.1	22.7	25.6	26.9	30.0	27.9
Semi-finished goods	22.0	18.4	25.1	15.1	15.7	19.5	20.4	21.5	24.3	22.0	24.7	22.1
Parts & components	3.0	5.8	5.6	5.7	5.8	2.9	1.7	1.2	1.2	4.9	5.4	5.8
Final goods	42.5	51.6	41.7	60.1	60.1	58.5	57.6	50.9	44.1	41.5	38.7	41.4
Capital goods	4.7	8.3	4.4	6.4	7.2	6.4	5.7	6.6	6.6	5.5	3.5	3.6
Consumption goods	37.8	43.4	37.3	53.7	53.0	52.2	51.9	44.3	37.5	36.0	35.2	37.7

Source: Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2012, using classifications of Gaulier, Lemoine, and Kesenci, 2005.

Using the methodology of Lall (2000), exports and imports of the two countries are classified into four categories: resource-based, low-technology, medium-technology, and high-technology in order to clarify the technological level in each country's trade. Tables 7 and 8 show the growth rates and market shares of Korea-Vietnam trade according to technological level. Several important notions can be derived from these results. Overall, Korea's exports to and imports from Vietnam are reflective of normal trade patterns between a developed and a developing country. Almost all Korean exports to Vietnam are manufactured goods, accounting for more than 95% of the total in 2011.

Table 7.

Korea's Exports to Vietnam by Technological Level

(Unit: percent)

Product Name	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	GR 95-2011
A. Primary Products	3.0	2.4	3.9	4.5	4.5	18.3
B. Manufactured	97.0	97.6	96.1	95.5	95.5	15.3
<i>1. Resource-based</i>	10.1	11.0	16.0	13.9	13.2	17.4
Agro-based	3.5	3.3	2.6	2.9	3.2	14.8
Mineral-based	6.6	7.7	13.4	11.0	10.0	18.5
<i>2. Low technology</i>	31.2	36.7	31.7	22.3	20.1	12.3
Fashion cluster	19.3	27.1	23.4	14.6	12.7	12.5
Other Product	11.9	9.5	8.3	7.7	7.4	12.1
<i>3. Medium technology</i>	46.7	43.2	37.3	45.2	39.5	14.2
Automotive	9.9	10.4	8.9	8.9	7.0	12.9
Process	22.8	19.9	17.5	24.2	21.1	14.9
Engineering	14.0	12.9	10.9	12.0	11.3	13.9
<i>4. High technology</i>	8.9	6.9	11.1	14.1	22.7	22.4
Electronic and electrical	7.8	4.7	9.3	12.2	20.8	22.8
Other	1.2	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.8	18.6
Total	100	100	100	100	100	15.5

Note : ¹⁾ Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014

Among manufactured goods, exports concentrate on fashion cluster, electronic and electrical products, engineering and process products. In particular, exports of high-technology manufactured goods have increased significantly from 9 percent in 1995 to 23 percent of the total in 2011, or an average annual growth rate of 22.4 percent over the period.

Table 8.

Korea's Imports from Vietnam by Technological Level

(Unit: percent)

Product Name	1995	2000	2005	2010	2011	GR 95-2011
A. Primary Products	41.3	37.2	37.7	40.6	38.7	22.2
B. Manufactured	58.7	62.8	62.3	59.4	61.3	23.0
<i>1. Resource-based</i>	7.3	10.6	10.4	7.9	7.5	22.9
Agro-based	6.9	6.8	7.4	5.3	4.8	19.9
Mineral-based	0.4	3.8	3.0	2.6	2.6	39.0
<i>2. Low technology</i>	35.9	36.7	38.3	36.9	37.9	23.1
Fashion cluster ¹⁾	32.5	28.6	28.2	29.1	31.6	22.4
Other Product	3.3	8.0	10.1	7.8	6.3	27.7
<i>3. Medium technology</i>	8.2	6.4	5.1	6.9	7.8	22.3
Automotive	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.5	53.1
Process	7.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	4.3	18.2
Engineering	0.5	3.5	2.2	3.6	3.1	37.5
<i>4. High technology</i>	7.4	9.2	8.5	7.8	8.1	23.4
Electronic and electrical	3.9	9.0	8.3	7.7	7.4	27.7
Other	3.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.7	10.8
Total	100	100	100	100	100	22.7

Note : ¹⁾ Textile, garment and footwear

Source : Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014

Vietnam's exports to Korea reflect the country's abundant natural resource endowments as well as competitive labor force, both of which are disadvantages of Korea. Vietnam focuses on exporting primary products, resource-based goods, and low-technology sector goods to Korea. Indeed, primary products account for approximately 39 percent of total Korean imports in 2011, whereas low-technology imports account for nearly 38 percent of the total. Among manufactured products, Korea's fashion cluster import records the largest amount, which is almost 32 percent of total imports into Korea. For the whole period, the imports of engineering products show a high growth rate and are much higher than the average growth rate of total manufactured exports. Overall, for the

past two decades, in terms of trade patterns between Korea and Vietnam, we can conclude that the vertical integration through production sharing between the two has deepened and broadened while contrary to China, Vietnam has constantly failed to climb the industrial staircase from resource and labor driven stage towards capital and technology intensive one.

4. Korea-Vietnam's Intra-industry Trade

The intra-industry trade (IIT) is commonly measured by the Grubel-Lloyd index, which ranges between zero and unity—a zero is interpreted as complete inter-industry trade, whereas a unity would denote a completely intra-industry trade. We summarized the result of IIT between Korea and Vietnam in Table 9. The results show that the major trade between Korea and Vietnam has been inter-industry. This suggests that economies of scale between the two countries are not being exploited. The main bulks of product groups have very low IIT indices. In 1995, for example, approximately 90 percent of the total 189 product groups fell within an IIT index range of 0.00 to 0.25 (very low intra-industry trade). This result shows that Korea and Vietnam are quite divergent in their development stages. In recent years, the degree of intra-industry trade in Korea-Vietnam bilateral trade has increased gradually. The share of high IIT indices (from 0.75 to 1.00) increased from 1.6 percent in 1995 to 8.2 percent in 2011, whereas the share of low IIT indices declined gradually, to approximately 65.3 percent.

Table 9.

Distribution of IIT Index of Korea-Vietnam Trade, 1995–2011

IIT Band	1995		2000		2005		2011	
	No. of product groups	Percent	No. of product groups	Percent	No. of product groups	Percent	No. of product groups	Percent
0.00 < 0.25	170	89.9	167	82.3	160	75.5	143	65.3
0.25 < 0.50	8	4.2	13	6.4	19	9.0	31	14.2
0.50 < 0.75	8	4.2	15	7.4	16	7.5	27	12.3
0.75 – 1.00	3	1.6	8	3.9	17	8.0	18	8.2
Total ¹⁾	189	100	203	100	212	100	219	100

Note : ¹⁾ the actual number of product groups traded between Korea and Vietnam at the three digit of SITC (total 266 product groups).

Source: Authors' calculation based on the UNSD 2014 database

At three-digit product groups, we find that all groups with high intra-industry trade fall within product groups of SITC five, six, seven, and eight (at the one-digit level), which are manufacturing industries. This is not surprising since intra-industry trade is of greater importance in manufacturing industries, in which product differentiation and scale economies are more prevalent than in other economic sectors. The highest IIT index in the trade between Korea and Vietnam was for furniture and parts thereof (821) in 1995, pesticide disinfectants (591) in 2000, pearls, precious and semi-precious stones (667) in 2005, and animal & vegetable oils and fats (431) in 2011. It is worth mentioning that the 10 highest intra-industry trade indices between Korea and Vietnam differed at different times. This indicates that the intra-industry trade between the two has been somewhat precarious.

Table 10.
Top 10 Products with High Intra-Industry Trade Index between Korea and Vietnam

Code	1995			2000			2005			2011		
	Product Name	Value	Code	Product Name	Value	Code	Product Name	Value	Code	Product Name	Value	
821	Furniture and parts thereof	0.99	591	Pesticide disinfectants,	0.95	667	Pearls, precious semi-precious stones	0.99	431	Animal & vegetable oils and fats	0.98	
666	Pottery	0.91	762	Radio-broadcast receivers	0.94	287	Ores and concentrates of base metal	0.99	014	Meat, prepared, preserved, n.e.s., etc.	0.95	
895	Office supplies, n.e.s.	0.77	881	Photo apparatus and equipment n.e.s.	0.90	778	Electrical machinery and apparatus	0.96	292	Crude vegetable materials, n.e.s.	0.93	
848	Headgear, non-textile clothing	0.75	062	Sugar candy non-chocolate	0.80	663	Mineral manufactures, n.e.s	0.95	793	Ships, boats and floating structures	0.92	
634	Veneers, plywood, etc.	0.70	971	Gold, non-monetary	0.77	897	Gold, silver ware, jewellery	0.94	759	Parts of computers and office machines	0.91	
658	Textile articles n.e.s.	0.69	211	Hides, skins, exc. furs, raw	0.76	048	Cereal prepar. & preps. of flour of	0.93	553	Perfumery, cosmetics and toilet prep	0.90	
667	Pearls, precious semi-precious stones	0.68	778	Electrical machinery n.e.s.	0.76	585	Other artificial resins and plastic	0.89	792	Aircraft & associated equipment and	0.87	
727	Food processing machines	0.67	897	Gold, silver ware, jewellery	0.76	894	Toys, sporting goods, etc.	0.88	211	Hides and skins	0.86	
652	Cotton fabrics, woven	0.64	894	Toys, sporting goods, etc.	0.74	812	Sanitary, plumbing, heating, lighting	0.87	073	Chocolate & other food preprtns. con	0.86	
679	Iron, steel castings, un-worked	0.63	716	Rotating electric plant and parts	0.73	628	Articles of rubber, n.e.s.	0.83	592	Starches, inulin & wheat gluten	0.85	
	% of total trade	4.4			1.5			1.7			0.7	

Note: n.e.s.: not elsewhere specified

Source: Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014

5. Comparative Advantages of Korea and Vietnam's Exports

To find the comparative advantages in trade between Korea and Vietnam, it is noteworthy to calculate and compare the revealed comparative advantage indices for Korea and Vietnam. The RCA indices for the top 10 export products of Vietnam are shown in Table 11. The RCA index is calculated for product groups at the three-digit SITC level, and is ranked by RCA index values in 2012. Overall, Vietnam enjoyed a comparative advantage largely in either primary products or low-technology manufactured goods.

High-comparative advantage product groups of Vietnam across the time span included rice (042), spices (075), coffee and coffee substitutes (071), footwear (851), shellfish fresh or frozen (036). Several product groups evidenced significant increases in comparative advantage over time: photographic apparatus and equipment (881), pulpwood (246). It is shown that Vietnam has a very strong comparative advantage in agriculture products particular rice, rubber, fish, and coffee. These areas would bring forward a strong comparative advantage over Korea when the two countries establish a FTA. Therefore, Vietnam should negotiate hard on this issue during the negotiation of the agreement.

Table 11.

Vietnam's Top 10 Products of High Comparative Advantages

Code	Product name	1997	2000	2005	2009	2012
881	Photographic apparatus and equipment, n.e.s.	0.03	0.32	0.35	13.68	33.10
042	Rice	71.17	45.18	43.89	29.99	22.62
246	Pulpwood	5.36	2.43	11.30	12.45	19.68
075	Spices	22.57	26.86	18.58	17.57	17.38
071	Coffee and coffee substitutes	18.72	19.22	15.28	15.52	13.38
851	Footwear	13.76	15.32	15.87	11.54	10.36
036	Shellfish fresh, frozen	22.03	31.66	28.48	18.13	10.34
232	Natural rubber, gums	21.32	18.85	23.08	20.30	10.12
842	Outer garments, men's, of textile fabrics	12.91	7.83	6.97	7.03	7.92
034	Fish, fresh, chilled or frozen	2.93	3.62	6.00	8.96	6.93

Source: Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014

Table 12.

Korea's Top 10 Products of High Comparative Advantages

Code	Product name	1997	2000	2005	2009	2012
871	Optical instruments and apparatus	4.03	0.82	6.80	10.84	7.92
793	Ships, boats and floating structures	6.96	7.57	9.09	9.88	7.64
711	Steam boilers and auxiliary plant	0.32	3.89	2.87	4.24	4.94
266	Synthetic fabrics suitable for spinning	7.01	6.61	5.37	5.07	4.75
655	Knitted or crocheted fabrics	5.48	5.84	4.83	4.83	4.41
233	Rubber, synthetic, reclaimed	1.59	2.16	2.70	3.83	4.31
511	Hydrocarbons n.e.s., derivatives	3.32	3.84	3.45	3.82	4.24
513	Carboxylic acids, etc.	2.09	2.51	3.44	4.14	3.50
776	Integrated circuits, and electronic components	3.68	2.89	2.67	2.26	3.04
724	Textile & leather machinery and parts	1.25	1.24	2.06	3.29	2.95

Source: Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014

Korea, on the other hand, enjoys a comparative advantage primarily in machineries and transport equipments. The main comparative advantage product groups of Korea include optical instruments and apparatus (871); ships, boats, and floating structures (793); steam boilers and auxiliary plant (711). More importantly, Korea has been able to increase its comparative advantage in high-technology manufacture product groups such as steam boilers and auxiliary plant (711) and textile & leather machinery and parts (724).

As a result of the differences between the two countries' comparative advantages, the overlap of the RCA index between the two countries had not been significant. Less than 10 product groups evidenced a comparative advantage in both countries during the 1990s and 2000s (Kien, etc, 2010). Table 13 reveals that there have been a significant increase in the number of the RCA index overlapping between Korea and Vietnam during 2009-2012. However, these product groups accounted for a minimal export value to both Korea and Vietnam. From these results, we conclude that the structure of bilateral trade between Korea and Vietnam is complementary rather than competitive following their factor proportions. More specifically, Korea has demonstrated a comparative advantage in capital- and technology- intensive manufacturing product groups, whereas Vietnam has enjoyed a comparative advantage in labor- and resource intensive product groups.

Table 13.
Overlap of RCA Index between Korea and Vietnam

Year	Code	Product name	Korea	Vietnam
2009	651	Textile yarn	1.03	4.60
	773	Equipment for distributing electricity	1.18	2.71
	612	Manufactures of leather/of composition leather n.e.s.	1.13	2.36
	611	Leather	1.40	2.20
	653	Fabrics, woven, of man-made fabrics	2.13	2.03
	266	Synthetic fibers suitable for spinning	5.07	1.71
	657	Special textile fabrics and related products	1.36	1.07
2012	711	Steam boilers and auxiliary plant	4.94	1.30
	266	Synthetic fabrics suitable for spinning	4.75	1.88
	655	Knitted or crocheted fabrics	4.41	1.17
	724	Textile & leather machinery and parts	2.95	1.15
	653	Fabrics, woven, of man-made fabrics	2.06	2.19
	764	Telecommunications equipment and parts	2.06	4.76
	693	Wire products and fencing grills	1.51	1.48
	611	Leather	1.34	1.75
	773	Equipment for distributing electricity	1.09	3.07
	951	Armoured fighting vehicles, arms of	1.06	1.37
	651	Textile yarn	1.03	4.93
	847	Clothing accessories of textile fabrics	1.01	1.54

Source: Authors' calculation based on database of UNSD 2014

To evaluate the potential to expand bilateral trade between Korea and Vietnam, we constructed the trade complementarity index (TCI) for Korea's major trading partners using product groups at the three-digit SITC level for 1995-2011 period. The index indicates the degree to which the structures of a country's imports and exports match. TCI is measured as follows,

$$TCI_{ij} = 1 - \sum_n \left(\frac{|m_{ni} - a_{nj}|}{2} \right)$$

Where $m_{n,i}$ is the share of goods, n , in the total imports of country i , whereas $a_{n,j}$ is the share of goods, n , in the total exports of country j . The index equals zero when none of the goods exported by one country is imported by the other, whereas the index equals one when the export and import shares match exactly. The more similar two countries' export and import structures are, the closer the value of the TCI is to unity. Therefore, changes in the value of TCI over time can help us understand whether two countries' trade profiles are becoming more, or less, compatible. In this study, the TCI was calculated for Korea's 15 major trading partners.

Table 14.

Korea's Trade Complementarity Index with Major Trading Partners, 1995–2011

Country	1995	2000	2005	2009	2010	2011
Singapore	64.7	65.2	57.8	59.3	58.6	65.8
Mexico	51.0	58.4	61.9	61.3	62.6	62.6
Brazil	50.9	54.8	51.9	58.4	61.1	62.5
China	52.6	63.2	64.6	60.9	61.7	62.4
Sweden	56.5	59.2	54.9	53.8	52.9	60.1
Malaysia	60.2	61.2	61.3	60.9	62.1	60.0
Vietnam	49.1	51.9	51.9	55.9	57.1	58.4
Argentina	52.0	55.0	58.3	55.4	56.0	57.4
Philippines	62.3	59.3	56.4	60.0	61.7	56.3
Indonesia	47.4	56.3	60.1	60.7	59.2	55.5
Thailand	55.9	63.3	56.3	59.6	58.2	55.5
Germany	57.5	57.9	55.7	53.8	53.8	55.2
Hong Kong, China	64.9	68.7	61.1	55.4	54.2	54.1
Canada	53.0	54.2	51.6	52.0	51.5	53.5
Australia	56.4	60.6	55.5	52.4	51.3	52.1

Source: TRAINS, 2014

The results of TCI is consistent with our findings from the RCA index, which demonstrate that bilateral trade between Korea and Vietnam is getting more complementary due to the vertical integration through production sharing. Among the countries estimated, Korea has relatively high TCI values with Singapore, Mexico, Brazil, China, Malaysia, and Vietnam. This means that Korea's export structure is compatible with Vietnam's import structure. Korea's export structure fits well with Vietnam's import needs. Therefore, Korea

has a high potential to increase its exports to Vietnam. On the other hand, low TCI values are found between Korea and countries like Germany, Hong Kong, Canada, and Australia.

6. Conclusion

The bilateral trade patterns and traits between Korea and Vietnam are the major focuses of this paper. The study derives the following conclusions. First, the structure of trade pattern between Korea and Vietnam conforms to the factor proportion theory. While Korea's exports to Vietnam have been mainly manufactured products, Vietnam's exports of primary and consumption goods to Korea accounted for a large proportion of its total exports. Second, the degree of intra-industry trade between Korea and Vietnam has been very low and unstable. This implies that the two countries differ in the degree of economic development. Third, the analysis of comparative advantages of products in Korea and Vietnam reveals that the trade structure between Korea and Vietnam is complementary not competitive in nature. While Vietnam enjoys a comparative advantage in either primary products or low-technology manufactured goods, Korea enjoys a comparative advantage in manufactured products and machinery and transport equipments. The high degree of trade complementarity between Korea and Vietnam implies that freer trade between the two is likely to bring about greater benefits for both Korea and Vietnam. Therefore, the proposed free trade agreement between Korea and Vietnam would explore each country's advantage in order to tighten and broaden the bilateral trade relations between Korea and Vietnam. Overall, we can conclude that the vertical integration through production sharing between the two has deepened and broadened over the past two decades while Vietnam has not succeeded in climbing the industrial staircase from resource and labor driven stage towards capital and technology intensive one. Areas of further research for the trade relations between Korea and Vietnam include the determinants of indigenous technology development, effective policy tools for technology transfer, and the nature and mechanism of technology catch-up in emerging economies.

References

- Gaulier, Guillaume, F. Lemoine, and U. Kesenci. 2005. China's Integration in East Asia: Production Sharing, FDI and High-tech Trade. CEPII Working Paper 2005/09. Paris: Research Center in International Economics.
- Foreign Investment Agency (FIA), (2014), Inward Foreign Direct Investment, website: <http://fia.mpi.gov.vn/news.aspx?ctl=news&p=2.39&mID=8>, (accessed April 10, 2014).
- Hoekman, Bernard M., Aaditya Mattoo, and Philip English. 2002. Development, Trade and the WTO: A Handbook. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Kien, Tran Nhuan, Hong Ryul Lee and Yoon Heo. 2010. Dynamic Patterns of Korea-Vietnam Trade Relations, *International Area Review*, 10 (2): 257-279.
- KITA. 2014. Trade Statistics by Country. Seoul: Korea International Trade Association. <http://global.kita.net/>.
- Lall, S. 2000. The Technological Structure and Performance of Developing Country Manufactured Exports 1985–98. *Oxford Development Studies* 28: 337–369.
- Sachs, J.D. and A.M. Warner. 1997. Fundamental Sources of Long-run Growth. *American Economic Review* 87 (2): 184–88.
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. 2014. Trade Analysis and Information System (TRAINS). http://r0.unctad.org/trains_new/index.shtm (accessed April 10, 2014).
- United Nations Statistics Division. 2014. United Nations Commodity Trade Statistics Database. <http://comtrade.un.org/db/default.aspx> (accessed April 10, 2014).