

INDEX

- Adult safeguarding focus groups, 101
- Advisory boards
diverse voices on, 50
research, 171, 178–179
sustaining, 53–54
- Aging PCOR Learning Collaborative, 19
- Alzheimer’s Society, 59–60, 67
- Alzheimer’s Society Northern Ireland (ASNI), 58, 66, 70
- American Indian communities
aboriginal older people, 159
barriers to, 149–151
context and barriers to research, 152–154
culturally different populations, 149–151
cultural perspectives, critical differences in, 150
distribution of biologic samples, 158
ethics, 154–155
Eugenics, medical practice research informed by, 157–158
Havasupai Tribe, 158
knowledge and awareness, 160–162
members partner, 159–160
methods and ethics, 160–162
participatory research, 160–162
peer educators, 159
removal and erasure, 156–157
training intervention, effectiveness of, 159–160
unauthorized use and, 158
western social science research, 151–152
- Anti-racism, 18
- Arnstein, Sherry, 7–8
- Awareness, 65–66, 160, 162
- Black, Indigenous and People of Colour (BIPOC), 9
- BRAIN Involve, 119
- Bureau, conception of, 43
- Bureau Of Sages, 42–43, 46, 54
- Cameron, David, 23
- CD ROM, 188–189
- Centre accessibility, 18
- Cherry picking individuals, 118
- Citizen control, 7, 9, 16
- Citizen ethicists, 130
competent, 136–139
public contributors. *See* Public contributors
- Cognitive impairment, 24–25, 58–59, 84–85, 93–94, 118, 141
- Coldness, 186, 189
- Co-leadership, 16, 170
- Co-led and co-designed research, 171–173
- Communications processes, 12, 30–31, 34, 44, 49, 59–60, 64–65, 85, 90, 94, 97–98, 141, 159, 174, 178, 180, 186, 190
- Community-based participatory research (CBPR), 116
- Community members, 13–14, 42–44, 114, 159–160, 162
- Community Participatory Planning and Evaluation (CPPE), 177–178
- Consent, 22, 24–25, 41, 47, 58–59, 62–63, 86, 91–95, 98, 136, 157–158
- Co-Principals, 16
- Co-production, 131, 134, 138
- Co-research, 47, 59, 170–172

- COVID-19, 54–55, 88, 124, 130–131, 189
- Cube framework, 124
- Cultural differences, 150, 188–189
- Databank, 123
- Data confidentiality, 96–97
- Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA), 96
- Dementia caregiving, family conflict in
 - Alzheimer’s Society Northern Ireland (ASNI), 58, 66, 70
 - cause of conflict, 65
 - family dynamics and conflict, 63–66
 - family knowledge, stigma and denial, 67–68
 - future recommendations, 69–70
 - integrity, 71
 - people/persons with dementia (PWD), 58–59, 65
 - public patient involvement (PPI), 58, 71, 73
 - research ethics committee (REC), 58–59
 - solutions to reduce/prevent family conflict, 65
 - support services, 69–70
 - systematic review of, 62–63
 - user-focused research ethics, 71
- Dementia, older adults with, 22–26
- Democratic professionalism, 130–131
- Democratic societies, 130–131
- Department of Health (DOH), 84–85
- Easy Read format, 88, 92–93
- Engaged research
 - co-led and co-designed research, 171–173
 - participatory team science, 176–178
 - team science, 174–176
- Equality Act, 118
- Erasure, removal and, 156–157
- Eugenics, medical practice research informed by, 157–158
- Eurocentric, 148–149, 152–153, 160
- European Union’s General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR), 89, 96–97
- Exercises, 42–44, 87
- Geary, Carol, 170, 176, 178–179
- General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR), 89, 96–97
- General practitioners (GPs), 70
- Havasupai Tribe, 158
- Healthier Black Elders Center (HBEC), 13
- Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), 41
- Health Research Authority (HRA), 136–137
- Human rights, 41, 154–155
- Indigenous populations, 149–150, 161–162
- Information sheets, 72–73, 92–93, 98
- Institute of Public Health (IPH), 84–85
- Institutional Review Boards (IRBs), 41, 47
- INVOLVE Framework, 10, 18, 114–115
- Joint Data Controller Agreement, 97
- Knowledge, 9, 14–15, 32, 44, 46, 59, 67–68, 116, 132, 134–135, 139, 160, 162, 170, 175, 187
- Ladder of Participation, 7–8, 131
- Land Grant universities, 17–18
- Lay Biosketch Template, 45
- LGBTQ1 community, 12–13
- Lived experience, 170–173, 175–178
- Logistics, 86–87

- Long-term services and supports (LTSS), 54–56
- McGaffigan, Erin, 10–11
- Members partner, 159–160
- Mental Health Act (MHA), 119
- Mental health research
 citizen ethicists, 134–136
 public contributors, 130, 132, 134
- National Disability Authority, Ireland, 89–90
- National Health Service (NHS), 130–131
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), 59–60
- National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), 71–72, 114–115
- Older Peoples External Residential Tool (OPERAT), 120
- Ordway, Jeff, 170
- Participatory research, 34, 43, 116, 159–160, 162, 174
- Participatory team science, 174, 176, 178, 180
- Patient and public involvement (PPI), 24–26, 58, 71, 73, 114, 171–172
- Patient Centered Outcomes Research and Comparative Effectiveness Research (PCOR/CER), 42
- Patient-Centred Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) Rubric, 9–10, 42
- Patient needs, ignorance of, 187–188
- Peer educators, 159
- People, approach and environment (PAE) attention framework, 11–18
- People factors, 11–12, 15
- People/persons with dementia (PWD), 58–59, 65
- Personal reflection
 cultural differences, 188–189
 patient needs, ignorance of, 187–188
 professional indifference, 189–190
 treatment, waiting for, 186–187
- Person-centred ethics
 choosing and adapting, 26–30
 cognitive impairment, 24–25
 conversational style
 semi-structured
 interviewing techniques, 27
 dementia, older adults with, 22–26
 ethnographic studies, 23, 31–32
 guidelines and scrutiny of research, 22–23
 patient and public involvement (PPI), 24–26
 qualitative methodologies, 26–27
 qualitative research, 22
 research, 23–24, 26, 30, 33
 theoretical and methodological choices, 23–24
- PPI. *See* Patient and public involvement (PPI)
- Professional competency, 85–86
- Professional indifference, 189–190
- Profile of participants, 86–87
- PROSPERO, 62
- Public contributors
 citizen ethicists. *See* Citizen ethicists
 competent, 136–139
 co-production, 134
 democratic professionalism, 130–131
 democratic societies, 130–131
 essential, 135–136
 flourish, 139–142
 Ladder of Citizen Participation, 131
 National Health Service (NHS), 130–131

- Public Involvement Impact Assessment Framework (PiiAF), 124
- Recruitment, 14, 24–25, 30, 41–42, 68, 89–90, 92, 138, 172
- Research Design Service (RDS), 119
- Research ethics committee (REC), 58–59, 89
- Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL), 123
- Semi-structured interviewing techniques, 27
- Stakeholder engagement
 - recognizing remaining barriers to, 54
 - remaining barriers to, 54
- Steering Committee, 15–16, 88, 178
- Support services, 46, 69–70, 95–96
- Sustaining advisory boards, 53–54
- Synergy*, 185–186
- Team science, 170–171, 174–176, 178
- Training, 14–15, 44, 47, 61, 85–86, 122–123, 132, 159–160, 191
- Trust, 9, 16, 41–42, 50, 68–69, 90, 161
- UK National Institute for Health Research, 131
- UK Standards For Public Involvement, 117–118
 - BRAIN Involve, 119
 - context, 114–115
 - Cube framework, 124
 - evaluating the impact, 124
 - levels of participation, 116–117
 - mutual benefits of, 121–122
 - Older Peoples External Residential Tool (OPERAT), 120
 - PPI, 114
 - Research Design Service (RDS), 119
 - selecting individuals for, 118–121
 - training, 123
- User-focused research ethics, 71
- User involvement
 - application of, 4
 - approach factors, 15–17
 - centring people in, 13
 - defining, 4–6
 - environment factors, 17–18
 - INVOLVE Framework, 10
 - Ladder of Participation, 7–8
 - Patient-Centred Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) Rubric, 9–10
 - people, approach and environment (PAE) attention framework, 11–18
 - people factors, 11–12, 15
 - research, 6–8
- Value of members, acknowledging, 52
- Virtually engaging, 52
- Virtual Senior Center (VSC), 46–47
- Voice incorporating, older adult advisory board, diverse voices on, 50
 - barriers, 46–47
 - building trust, 50
 - bureau, conception of, 43
 - bureau development, processes used in, 43–46
 - Bureau Of Sages, 42–43
 - Lay Biosketch Template, 45
 - lessons learned, 48–49
 - long-term services and supports (LTSS), 54–56
 - members, fostering authentic engagement with, 51
 - practical insights, 49–56
 - respect among members, 50
 - stakeholder engagement, recognizing remaining barriers to, 54
 - stakeholder engagement, remaining barriers to, 54
 - sustaining advisory boards, 53–54
 - value of members, acknowledging, 52
 - virtually engaging, 52

- Vulnerable groups research
 - analysis and dissemination, 88
 - approval process, 89
 - avoiding harm to participants, 95–97
 - capacity issues, 93–95
 - consent, 92–95
 - data confidentiality, 96–97
 - ethical considerations, 89–98
 - ethical framework, 89
 - European Union’s General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR), 89, 96–97
 - focus group discussions, 87–88
 - logistics, 86–87
 - methodology, 85–88
 - privacy risks, 96–97
 - process and practicalities, 84–88
 - profile of participants, 86–87
 - recruitment, 89–92
 - unexpected challenges, 97–98
- Western social science research, 151–152
- X-rays, 188