

# INDEX

- AAA. *See* American Anthropological Association (AAA)
- AAUP. *See* American Association of University Professors (AAUP)
- Academia, xiv
- Academy of Social Sciences (AcSS), xxiv, 3, 5, 80, 104, 112, 126, 136–137, 159, 172
- cross-disciplinary discussions, 113
- implications for research
- governance and ethics review, 118
- model clauses for ethics codes, 122–123
- principles, 114
- privacy, autonomy, diversity and dignity of individuals, 114–116
- research integrity in social sciences, 120–121
- social science researchers should
- acknowledge social responsibilities, 116–117
  - virtuous researcher, 119–120
  - work collectively towards common code, 119
  - work towards common modules, 119
- ‘Acceptance’, 22
- Accountability, 52
- AcSS Working Group, xxiv
- Adoption by research
- organizations, 90–91
- All European Academies (ALLEA)*, 201, 204
- American Anthropological Association (AAA), 66
- American Association of University Professors (AAUP), 45*n*1, 46*n*6
- Annual Meeting of Global Research Council, 207
- Anthropological reflections on collaborative process
- absence of philosophers, 133–136
  - code of ethics, 136–137
  - ethical governance, 126–127
  - medicine, 131–133
  - reflecting on remaking of research ethics, 128–130
  - REG principles, 138
  - socio-historical differences, 139–140
- Anthropological sensibility, 33
- Anthropologists, 64
- Anticipatory controls, 115
- ARC/NHMRC funding, xviii
- Aristotelian analysis, 12
- Articulating social science research ethics, 130
- Association of Research Ethics Committees (AREC). *See* UK Association of Research Ethics (AfRE)
- ‘Atrocity tale’, 130
- Autoethnography, xxv

- Autonomy, 63–64  
 Autonomy of individuals, 114–116  
 Awareness, xlvii
- Belmont principles, 24  
 Belmont Report, xv  
 Benchmarks, 6–7  
 Big Data, xlii, 119  
   analyses, 178–179  
 Big Society, 14  
 Bioethicists, 133  
 Bioethics, 129, 130  
   principles of, 58  
 Biomedical ethics, 6  
 Biomedical models, 48, 112  
 Biomedical research, 40, 42, 140  
   frameworks, 62, 63  
   of research ethics, 131–132  
 Biomedical research ethics,  
   132–133, 137, 139  
   history of medicine’s unique  
   capacity, 57  
   oldest rule in medical ethics, 56  
   ‘possibly public role’, 60  
   principle-based ethics for social  
   sciences, 58  
   *See also* Social science research  
 Biomedical researchers, 136  
 Biomedical sciences, 38  
   normative objectives of ethical  
   regulation in, 39  
   powerful/knowledgeable position  
   in, 42–43  
 Bottom-up process, 134  
 Boyd, Kenneth M., xlv, liii  
 Brey, Philip, liii–liv  
 British Humanities Index, xii  
 British Library, 113  
 British Psychological  
   Association, 163  
 British Psychological Society (BPS),  
   xxxix  
 British Sociological Association  
   (BSA), xxxix, xlii  
 Bureaucratic nature, 62
- Canada’s research ethics policies,  
   70  
 Canadian experience  
   develop standards for research  
   practice, 104  
   governance of responsible  
   conduct of research, 108  
   indigenous community, 106  
   questionable research practices,  
   107  
   TCPS, 2, 105  
 Canadian Institute of Health  
   Research (CIHR), 66  
 Canadian research ethics boards,  
   106  
 Canadian Tri-Council Policy  
   Statements (TCPS), xvi,  
   xviii  
 Carpenter, David, xliii, liv, 20,  
   51–52  
 Casuistry, 133  
 Caveat, 81  
 CECs. *See* Clinical Ethics  
   Committees (CECs)  
 CFRS recommended the  
   establishment, 206  
 Charter, 202  
 CIHR. *See* Canadian Institute of  
   Health Research (CIHR)  
 Civil society organisations (CSOs),  
   192  
 Clinical Ethics Committees (CECs),  
   140  
 ‘Coalface’, xix

- Code, 163
- Codification, 31  
consequences of, 137
- Codified principles, 137
- CoIME Issues. *See* Committee on Conflict of Interests, Scientific Misconduct and Ethical Issues (CoIME Issues)
- Collaborative process, 134
- Collegiality, 52
- Colonisation process, 69
- Colonizer and the Colonized, The* (1965), 69
- 'Commitments', 31
- Committee on Conflict of Interests, Scientific Misconduct and Ethical Issues (CoIME Issues), 203
- Committee on Freedom and Responsibility in Conduct of Science of International Council for Science, 205
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), xvi, 216–217
- Common good, 9, 24
- Common principles, 113, 186, 207, 213
- Communitarian principles  
deontology, 21–22  
ESRC, 24–25  
principlism, 20–21  
research ethics principles, 20  
social acceptability, 22–23
- Communitarianism, 15–16
- Competence, 52
- Comprehensive literature reviews, xii, xiii, 79
- Concordat to Support Research Integrity* (2012), 79, 85, 97
- Conference, xli  
group's priorities after, xli–xliii
- Confidentiality, conceptions of, 67
- Consensus, 80–82
- Consequentialism, 10, 21
- CONSIDER, xx
- Contemporary societies, 113
- Corporate Social Responsibility standards  
(CSR standards), 193
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS), xv, 191, 192
- Council of EU Research Ministers, 203
- Council of the European Union, the*, 203
- Creative arts, 114
- CRID. *See* Research Centre on IT and Law—University of Namur (CRID)
- Cross-cultural research, xlii, 119
- Cross-disciplinary principles, 5–6
- CSOs. *See* Civil society organisations (CSOs)
- CSR standards. *See* Corporate Social Responsibility standards (CSR standards)
- Cultural difference/pluralism, respect for, 52
- Danish Code of Conduct for Research Integrity, 205
- 'Datascape', 135
- De-identification, 212

- Declaration of Helsinki, 178–179  
for World Medical Association,  
xv
- Democratic participation, 52
- Deontology, 10, 21–22
- DG. *See* Directorate General (DG)
- Dignity of individuals, 114–116
- Dingwall, Robert, xxxviii, liv, 132
- Directorate General (DG), 191  
Information Society, 159, 169
- Discrepancy of virtues, 70–72
- Dissemination ethics, 217
- Diversity of individuals, 114–116
- Double effect, 46n3
- E-research, xxv
- Economic and Social Research  
Council (ESRC), xvii–  
xviii, xxxvii, 24–25, 38,  
127, 138, 159, 160  
Framework for Research Ethics,  
43, 144n4
- Editorial advisory group,  
xxxi–xxxii
- EGE. *See* European Group on  
Ethics in Science and  
New Technologies (EGE)
- EHRC. *See* Equality and Human  
Rights Commission  
(EHRC)
- Embedded ethics, 31  
ethical project, 30  
ethics principles, 33–34  
respect, 32–33  
social science research, 31  
up-front ethics, 31–32
- Embracing codification, 140
- Emmerich, Nathan, xlvi, liv–lv
- Empathy, 53
- Equality and Human Rights  
Commission (EHRC),  
218
- ERC. *See* European Research  
Council (ERC)
- ERC Executive Agency (ERCEA),  
202–203
- ERC Scientific Council, 203
- ERCEA. *See* ERC Executive  
Agency (ERCEA)
- ESF. *See* European Science  
Foundation (ESF)
- Ethical  
analysis, 6–7  
assessment, 187–188, 213  
boundaries, 200  
decision-making, 49–51  
guidance, 187–188, 213  
guidelines, 159, 164–165, 169  
implications, 53  
issues, 129–130  
principles, 49, 118, 216  
regulation, 20, 24, 38  
review, 5, 49–51, 152–153
- Ethical Guidelines for International  
Comparative Social  
Science Research, 160
- Ethical protocols and standards  
aims of workshop, 179–180  
‘Declaration of Helsinki’,  
178–179  
follow-up activities, 183–184  
issues, 180–181  
recommendations, 181–183  
and social sciences research, 178
- Ethics  
assessors, 188  
across disciplines, xvi  
of ethics governance, 126

- governance, 127
- on ground and ethics templates, 68
- of medical practice, 56
- policies, 66
- principles in social science research, 51
- regimes, 62, 69
- review, 118
- Ethics assessment, 187–188
  - in scientific fields, 188–190
  - in types of organisations, 192–193
- Ethics codes, 62
  - for ‘trust’ and ‘trustworthiness’, 71
  - of academic and professional societies, 73
- Ethics rupture, 152, 212–213
  - ethics guidelines, 155
  - professional codes of ethical practice, 154
  - SRA ethics guidelines, 153
- Ethics Rupture Summit, 172
- Ethnography, 4, 31
  - sensibility, 33
- Ethos, 136
- EU. *See* European Union (EU)
- Eudaimonia, 13
- Europe, safeguarding research integrity in
  - initiatives at EU level, 201–205
  - international organizations, research integrity and, 205–207
  - Singapore Statement on Research Integrity, 200
- European Anti-Fraud Office, 203
- European Charter for Researchers*, 202
- European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity, 201–202, 204
- European Commission (EC), xxix, 186, 191, 210n18, 213
  - Framework Programme, 159
- European Federation of Academies of Sciences and of Humanities, 204
- European Group on Ethics in Science and New Technologies (EGE), 191, 203
- European Research Area (ERA), xix, 162
- European Research Council (ERC), 179, 202
- European Research Funding Organisations (RFO), 184n8
- European Research Integrity Initiative, 204
- European Research Performing Organisations (RPO), 184n8
- European Research Values post-FP7, xx
- European Science Foundation (ESF), 201–202, 204, 205
- European Standards of Social Science Research, 161–162
- European Union (EU), 201
  - countries, 193–195
  - and global ethics assessment and guidance, 188–189, 190–192
- Fabrication, 210n2
- Far-reaching implications, 119–120

- Fifth Framework Programme (FP5), xvi
- Finch, Dame Janet, 215
- 'Fluid social mediums', 59, 60
- Fostering Responsible Research, 206
- Foundations for ethical research, xv–xxiv
- Framework for Research Ethics (FRE), xliii, 41, 131  
sixth key principle, 46*n*7
- Freedom of Information Act in UK, 43
- Generalisation, 117
- Generic ethics principles, 83, 104, 138  
background, xxxvii–xxxviii  
basic standards, 83–84  
conference, xli  
constructing principles, 8–9  
cross-disciplinary principles, 5–6  
ethical analysis, 6–7  
group's priorities after conference, xli–xliii  
initial work, xxxviii–xxxix  
Kantianism, 10–11  
less contentious theories, 9  
ongoing process, 83  
prescriptive or non-restrictive principles and standards, 87–88  
principlism, 7–8  
prioritization, 14–15  
regulatory function, 4–5  
researcher virtues, 13–14  
sample documents, 85–87  
in social science research, 3–4  
socio-political issue, 15–16  
symposia, xxxix–xl  
virtues, 12–13
- Generic principles, 136–138, 153
- Global Ethics Code, xxi
- Global Research Council (GRC), xxx, xxxi
- Global Summit of National Bioethics Advisory Bodies, 192
- Golden Rule of perspective-taking, xxvii
- Gorup, Meta, lv
- Government Social Research Unit and Department of Health, 160
- h-index, xiv
- Hammersley, Martyn, xliii–xliv, lv
- Harmonisation, xxi, xxii
- Health Research Authority (HRA), xl
- Hemmings, Annette, 65, 72
- Higher Education Funding Councils (HEFCE), xxxviii
- Hippocratic Oath, 56
- HIVA. *See* Research Institute for Work and Society–University of Leuven (HIVA)
- Honesty, 25
- Hoonaard, Will C. van den, xlv, lx, 202
- Horizon*, 2020, xxix, lvi, 172, 202–204
- Human dignity, 105
- Humility, 25
- Hunter, Rosemary, xlv, lv–lvi
- Hybrid approach, 84

- IES. *See* Institute for Employment Studies (IES)  
 ‘Impact agenda’, 214–215  
 Impact assessment, 215  
 Imperialism, 127  
 Inclusiveness, 53  
 Independence, 52  
 Individual autonomy and dignity, 52  
 Information Society, 162  
 Information Society Technologies (IST), xvi  
 Institute for Employment Studies (IES), 164  
 Institute for Information, Telecommunications and Media Law (ITM), 164  
 Institutional approach, 130  
 Institutional legitimacy, 112  
 Institutional Review Boards (IRBs), xviii–xix, xxii, 45*n*1, 152  
 Institutionalised approach, 130  
 Institutionalised medical research, 114  
 Integrity, xlvi–xlix, 52  
 Interagency Advisory Panel on Research Ethics, 106–107  
 Interdependence, 63–64  
 Interdisciplinary ethics, xlvi–xlix  
 Interdisciplinary research, 162  
 International organizations, research integrity and, 205–207  
 International research, 159, 162  
 International Sociological Association (ISA), 160  
 Internet, xiv  
 Internet-mediated research, xxvi  
 Inverted totalitarianism, 65  
 Iphofen, Ron, xxxviii, xlvii, xlix, lvi  
 ISA. *See* International Sociological Association (ISA)  
 ITM. *See* Institute for Information, Telecommunications and Media Law (ITM)  
 Jansen, Philip, lvi  
 ‘Jobbing’ researchers, xiii–xiv  
 Journalism, 115, 216  
 Kantianism, 10  
 Kritikos, Mihalis, xlvii, lvi–lvii  
 Kudos, xiv  
 Learned Societies, 3–4, 128, 129  
 Legal boundaries, 200  
 Legal partners, 164  
 Less contentious theories, 9  
 Lewis, Janet, xxxviii, lvii  
 LinkedIn, xiv  
 Lombardo, Gabi, xlvii, lvii  
 Lower/middle-income countries (LMICs), xxiii  
 MacDonald, Sharon, xlv, lvii, 52, 126–127  
 ‘Machinery’ for searching, xii  
 Mandatory guidance, 89–90  
 Mandatory principles and standards, 88–91  
 ‘Marginalised’ communities, 215  
 Market research, 215  
 Mass media, 217–218  
 Medical model of ethical codes and ethics committees, 57–58  
 Medical research, 57  
     unspoken ethics of, 56–57  
 Medical Research Council, xvii  
 Medical sociologist, 129  
 Medicine, 131–133

- Member States, 204  
 Memorandum, 201  
 Mendeleev, xiv, 121n8  
 Mental Capacity Act (2005),  
 121, n8  
 Milgram's experiments, 158  
 Model clauses for ethics codes,  
 122–123  
 Montreal Statement on Research  
 Integrity in Cross-  
 Boundary Research  
 Collaborations,  
 206–207  
 Moral philosophy, 133  
 Morality, 9  
 Motherhood and apple pie, 117
- National ethics committees (NECs),  
 192  
 National Ethics Councils Forum  
 (NEC Forum), 191  
 National Institutes of Health  
 (NIH), 138–139  
 National Research Ethics Service  
 (NRES), 131  
 National Science Foundation  
 (NSF), xxx  
*National Statement on Ethical  
 Conduct in Human  
 Research* (2007), xviii  
 National Union of Journalists  
 (NUJ), 160–161  
 Natural sciences, 132, 134  
 NEC Forum. *See* National Ethics  
 Councils Forum  
 (NEC Forum)  
 NECs. *See* National ethics  
 committees (NECs)  
 New Brunswick Declaration, 153,  
 154–155
- NIH. *See* National Institutes of  
 Health (NIH)  
 Non-EU countries, 193–195  
 Non-restrictive principles and  
 standards, 87–88  
 Normative ethical theory, 5  
 Normative ethics, 32  
 Normative principles, 38  
 Normative social structure, 134  
 NRES. *See* National Research  
 Ethics Service (NRES)  
 NUCLEUS, xx  
 NUJ. *See* National Union of  
 Journalists (NUJ)  
 Nuremberg Code (1947), xv, 43
- Oates, John, xxxviii, lvii  
 OLAF, 203  
 Ongoing process, 83, 92  
 Open-mindedness, 53  
 'Ordinary' researchers, xiv  
 Organisation for Economic  
 Co-operation and  
 Development (OECD),  
 xxx, 191–192, 205  
 Osmosis theory of medical  
 education, 56
- PAR. *See* Participatory action  
 research (PAR)  
 Paradigmatic closure, xii  
 Parry, James, lvii–lix  
 Participant protection model  
 (PPM), xl, xlv, 38–40,  
 48, 49–51, 114–115, 131  
 Participatory action research  
 (PAR), 21  
 Patient protection model. *See*  
 Participant protection  
 model (PPM)



- Performance management, 112  
 Philosophers, 136  
 Philosophical approach, 136  
 Philosophical project, 133  
 Phronesis, 13  
 Plagiarism, 210*n*2  
 Pluralistic approach, 213  
 Popularisation, 216  
 Practical machinery, 64  
 Practitioners, 115  
 Preference satisfactions, 9  
 Prescriptive principles and standards, 87–88  
*primum non nocere* (oldest rule in medical ethics), 56  
 Principle-based ethics for social sciences, 58  
 Principle-based medical ethics, 58  
 Principles of justice, 46*n*4  
 Principles of research integrity, 200  
 Principlism, 5, 6, 7–8, 20–21, 113  
 Principlism approach, 190  
 Privacy of individuals, 114–116  
 Professional ethics, 139  
 Professional researchers, 139–140  
 Professional standards, 164, 168, 170  
 Programming rules, 118  
 Promoting Integrity as Integral Dimension of Excellence in Research project (PRINTEGER project), xx, 172–173  
 Putative principles, 5  
  
 Quasi-legal phrase, 200  
 Questionable research practices, 82, 107  
 Questionnaire survey, 116  
  
 R&I. *See* Research and innovation (R&I)  
 Rawlins, Sir Michael, xxxviii  
 Rawlins Review, xxxviii  
 Rawlsian notions, 15  
 RCR Framework. *See* Responsible Conduct of Research Framework (RCR Framework)  
 REB. *See* Research Ethics Board (REB)  
 REF. *See* Research Ethics Framework (REF); Research Excellence Framework (REF)  
 Reflexivity, 48, 52  
 REG. *See* Research Ethics Group (REG)  
 Remote communities, 215  
 Research, 7  
     assessment, 215–216  
     community, xxvi  
     governance, 112, 118  
     misconduct, 200–201  
     organizations, 204  
     participants, 39, 48, 122  
     standards, 94–95  
 Research and innovation (R&I), 186, 187, 201  
 Research as social practice  
     ethical decision-making, 49–51  
     ethical review, 49–51  
     PPM, 49–51  
     values, 51–53  
 Research Centre on IT and Law–University of Namur (CRID), 164  
 Research Councils UK (RCUK), xxxviii

- Research ethics, xvi, xxxii, 6, 25, 48, 80, 97–98, 112–113, 114, 127, 164, 168, 170, 173, 179, 182–183, 211–212
- approach and treatment, xxv–xxvi
- COPE, 216–217
- developments in ethics, 214
- ethics rupture, 212–213
- fourth key principle of ESRC's Framework for, 43–44
- impact agenda, 214–215
- integrity in, 218
- mass media, 217–218
- principle of, 42
- professionals, 138
- recommendations, 218–221
- research assessment, 215–216
- SATORI Project, 213–214
- and social sciences, xliii–xlvi
- Research Ethics Board (REB), 65
- Research Ethics Committees (RECs), xxxii, 57, 128, 152, 192, 212
- Research Ethics Framework (REF), 131
- Research Ethics Group (REG), 126–128, 130
- activities of, 136
- principles, 138
- purpose of, 134
- Research ethics review process
- biomedical research, 74
- colonised societies, 69
- conceptions of confidentiality, 67
- critique or affirmation of status quo, 65–66
- differences between social and biomedical research, 63
- discrepancy of virtues, 70–72
- ethics committees, 72
- ethics on ground and ethics templates, 68
- policy makers, 73
- principle of autonomy flies, 64
- REBs, 66
- social science paradigm, 62
- Research Excellence Framework (REF), 215
- Research Institute for Work and Society–University of Leuven (HIVA), 164
- Research integrity, xvi, 32, 77–78, 97–98, 104, 120–121
- ethical project, 30
- ethics principles, 33–34
- initiatives at EU level, 201–205
- international organizations, research integrity and, 205–207
- respect, 32–33
- Singapore Statement on Research Integrity, 200
- social science research, 31
- United Kingdom issues of, 78
- up-front ethics, 31–32
- Research practice, developing standards for
- consensus, 80–82
- generic ethics principles, 83–88
- mandatory principles and standards, 88–91
- relevance of standards for research, 94–97
- research ethics, 97–98
- research integrity, 78
- research integrity, 97–98
- self-evident, 80

- standards for research, 91–94
- UKRIO, 79–80
- voluntary principles and standards, 88–91
- Researcher/participant relationship
  - conceptualisation, 42–44
- Researchers, 48, 200
  - ‘jobbing’, xiii–xiv
  - ‘ordinary’, xiv
  - virtues, 13
- ResearchGate, xiv
- RESPECT project, xvi, xvii, xix, xx, xxvii, xlvii, 158
  - balancing conflicting requirements, 165–167
  - DG Information Society, 159
  - dissemination and impact of project, 167
    - DG Information Society, 169
    - Europe-wide code, 168
    - Internet search in, 168–169
  - embarking on project, 162
  - European Standards of Social Science Research, 161–162
  - harmonising heterogeneous team, 164–165
  - idea surfaces, 160–161
  - professional standards guidelines, 171
  - publications in, 176
  - recent initiatives, 171–173
  - social scientists, 170
  - SRA, 160
  - ‘tick box’ approach, 170
  - See also* SATORI project
- Responsible conduct of research, 200
  - global guide to, 2063
- Responsible Conduct of Research Framework (RCR Framework), 105
- Responsible research, principles for, 206
- Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI), xx, 187
- RFO. *See* European Research Funding Organisations (RFO)
- Rhode, Barbara, xix
- Rights, 6
- Rodrigues, Rowena, lix
- Rousseau’s notion, 22
- RPO. *See* European Research Performing Organisations (RPO)
- ‘Rule of As’, 56–57
- Scandals, 78
- Scientific community, 201
- Scientific integrity, 77–78
- Second World Conference on Research Integrity, 201–202
- Seduction of Ethics, The*, 151
- Self-evident standards, 80
- Seventh Framework Programme (FP7), xx, 186
- Shelley-Egan, Clare, lix
- Singapore Statement on Research Integrity, 200, 206
- Sixth framework programme (FP6), xix
- Sleat, Matt, xlv, lix–lx, 48, 56, 62, 131–132
  - argument, 50
- SLSA. *See* Socio-Legal Studies Association (SLSA)
- Social ‘impact agenda’, 32
- Social acceptability, 9, 22, 51–52
- Social activity, 48
- Social and political philosophy, 5

- Social anthropological research, 30
- Social Care Research Ethics Committee (SCREC), xl
- Social community, 72
- Social good, 66
- Social media, 119
- Social research, 215
- Social Research Association (SRA), xxxvii–xxxviii, xlii, 159, 160, 164
- Social researchers, 63
- Social responsibility, 52
- Social science research, 82, 83, 158, 168, 170, 178
- conceptualisation harm, 40–42
  - conceptualisation researcher/participant relationship, 42–44
  - ethics, 51, 127
  - European Standards of, 161–162
  - PPM, 38–40
  - in United Kingdom, 172
  - See also* Biomedical research ethics
- Social science researcher, 122, 127
- acknowledge their social responsibilities, 116–117
- Social sciences, 32, 38, 62, 63, 65, 106, 116, 132, 215, 216
- based on plurality of interests, funding, methods and perspectives, 114
  - carried out to highest degree of scientific integrity, 116
  - events history involvement in research ethics work, l-li
  - maximise benefit and minimise harm, 117
  - principle-based ethics for, 58
  - research ethics and, xliii–xlvi
  - research integrity in, 120–121
- Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC), 152
- Social scientific methodology, 62
- Social scientific research, 136
- Social scientists, 71, 80–81, 92, 112, 116, 117, 127–128, 129, 134, 139–140
- Socialscapes, 135–136
- Societal treatment of journalism, 114
- Societies, 118, 120
- Socio-Legal Studies Association (SLSA), 48, 128–129
- Statement, 49, 53
- Socio-political concepts, 5
- Socioeconomic research, 161
- Sociologists, 64–65, 70
- Sociology, 129–130, 134–135
- SSHRC. *See* Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC)
- Stakeholders, 90
- relationship with standards, 94
  - of UK's *Concordat to Support Research Integrity*, 97
- Stakeholders Acting Together On ethical impact assessment of Research and Innovation Project (SATORI Project), xxi–xxii, xlvii, 172, 186, 213–214
- ethics assessment, 187–188, 193–195

- in scientific fields, 189–190
  - in types of organisations, 192–193
- ethics assessors, 188
- EU and global ethics assessment and guidance, 190–192
- phases, 186–187
- SATORI D1., 1, 190–194
- See also* RESPECT project
- Standards for research practice, 91–94, 104
- relevance of, 94–97
- State legislatures, 118
- Statements of principle, 112, 113, 207
- Surveillance methods, application of, xxv
- Symposia, xxxix–xl, 120
- Symposium on Generic Ethics Principles in London (2013), 62
- ‘Thick’ moral arguments, xxviii
- ‘Thin’ moral arguments, xxviii
- ‘Tick box’ approach, 170
- ‘Top-down’ approach, 30
- Transparency, 52
- Tri-Council model, 118
- Tri-Council Policy Statement:
  - Ethical Conduct for Research Involving Humans (TCPS 2), xlvi, 65, 74n5, 74, 105
- Trust, 53
- Trustworthiness, symbols of, 56
- 2015 Workshop (*Science Europe*), xxii–xxiii
- UK Academy of Social Sciences, xxxvii
- UK Association of Research Ethics (AfRE), xxxviii, 118
- UK Council for Science and Technology (CST), xxviii
- UK Government Social Research Unit (GSRU), xvii
- UK Learned Societies, 137
- UK Research Integrity Office (UKRIO), xl, xlv, 79–80, 138
- UN. *See* United Nations (UN)
- Un- or under-disclosed research, 129
- Uniform Law Commission, 118
- United Nations (UN), 191–192
- United Nations Educational, Social, and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), xxx, 191–192
- Universal Ethical Code for Scientists, xxviii
- Universal research ethics codes, xxvi–xxxi
- Universities UK (UUK), xxxviii, xl
- Up-front ethics, 31–32
- US National Research Council, 118
- Utilitarianism, 9
- Values, 49, 51–53
  - distinction between ‘principles’ and, 49
- Verstehen*, 135
- Virtue ethics, xlii, 23, 33
- Virtues, 62
  - discrepancy of, 70–72
- Virtuous researcher, 119–120
- Voluntary guidance, 88
- Voluntary principles and standards, 88–91

- Working Group, xxxviii, 113,  
120–121
- World Conferences on Research  
Integrity (WCRI),  
205–206
- World Health Organization  
(WHO), 192
- World Science Forum, xxx
- World Wide Web, xiv
- Worthiness of research and social  
good, 66
- Zimbardo's prison experiment,  
158
- Zimmerman, Susan V., xlv–xlvi, lx,  
118