Academic activism, 35	findings, 20–21
Academic citizenry, 28	objectives, 13
Academic freedom, 14, 20, 22–23, 30 Academic leaders, 4, 65	quality of education from quality of teachers, 21–22
Academic leadership, 5, 63	research methodology, 16–20
Academics, 27, 84	review of literature, 13–16
Adjusted goodness-of-fit index	Autonomous institutional
(AGFI), 72	environment, 14
Administrative Leadership In	Autonomy, 4–5
academia, 105	Autonomy, 4–3 Average variance extracted (AVE), 72
Affiliative leadership, 64	Average variance extracted (AVL), 72
Afghan families, 92	Balancing, 89, 91
Afghan government, 86	Balancing typical role of wife and
Afghan Human Rights Defenders	careerist, 93
Committee (AHRDC), 92	Barrier Free Partnerships, 55
Afghan women	Basic education, 13
Afghanistan and Women, 86–87	Behavior, 12–13
context of study, 85	Bootstrapping method, 74
discussion of themes, 89–93	Bullying, 103
in higher education, 85	,8,
limitations of study and further	Career
scope of research, 93	development, 84
methodology, 85–86	growth, 20, 106–108
participants, 87–88	in higher education, 84
qualitative themes, 89	Caste, 31, 33
rights and gender equality, 86	Challenges, 6, 8, 22
Afghan Women Chamber of Commerce	Change, 20–21
and Industries, 87	Change-oriented leadership behavior,
American Association of University	45
Professors (AAUP), 99	Citizenship behavior, 13
ASSOCHAM, 63	Collaboration, 46
Attributes of servant leader, 66–67	Commitment, 15–16, 27, 47, 100
Autonomous Educational Institutions	Communities, 46, 54
(AEI), 13	school connection with, 51
ethical challenges in autonomous	Community building, 67
higher educational	Comparative fit index (CFI), 72
institutions, 21	Comprising minimum discrepancy
ethics-oriented culture for growth	per degree of freedom
of teachers and students, 22	(CMIN/DF), 72

Confirmation biases, 98	Educator, 63
Confirmatory factor analytics (CFA),	Effective schools, 53
72	Empathy, 66
Congruity theory, 85	Employee engagement in HEIs,
Constructivist leadership, 64, 70	servant leadership style
Contingency leadership theory, 45	and, 68
Conventional In-service teacher	Environments, 29–30
training, 53	Equal representation, 7
Convergent validity, 72–74	Ethical practices in higher educational
Corruption, 15, 21, 23, 35	institutions, 14–15
COVID-19, 89	Ethical standards, 12–13, 20
Creators, HEIs as, 62	Ethical transformative leadership,
Credibility, 105	37–38
Critical leadership, 29	Ethics-oriented culture
Cronbach's–α values, 71	for growth of teachers and
Cultural system, 86	students, 22
Culture, 6, 12, 19, 44, 47	quality of education from, 19-20
Curriculum co-ordination, 55	European Commission (EC), 107
Curriculum design, 61	European Council, 107
5 /	European Union (EU), 106–108
Deans, 4	EU-funded LIBRA project, 106
Deemed to be University, 62	Exclusion from Informal Networks,
Democracy, 6	100
Descriptive gender stereotypes, 98	Exercise teacher power to enable
Development, 12–13	quality of education, 15–16
Digitalization of education, 21	Exploratory factor analysis (EFA), 72
Direct discrimination, 34	p
Director of studies, 4–5	Face daunting challenges, 89
Disciplinary-based departments, 4	Family-oriented programs, 103
Discriminant Validity, 72–74	Fear for life theme, 92
Disparity, 12	Financial support, 21
Disseminators of knowledge, HEIs	"Fix the women" approach, 85
as, 62	Flemish Inter University Council, 106
Distributed leadership, 45–46, 52–53	Foresight, 67
	Formal mentoring, 101
E-Modules, 61	Former school, 46
Education, 12, 14–15, 60	Functional integration, 55
action plan on gender equality and	Functional leaders, 47
equilibrium in, 108	T direction readers, Tr
system, 13	GDP, 60
Educational environment, 14–15, 17,	Gender, 30–31
20–21	Gender Action Plan, 106
Educational institutions, 61, 63	Gender herion Flan, 100 Gender barriers, 99
Educational leadership models, 45	Gender discrimination, 102–103
Educational sector, 65, 76	Gender equality, 33, 84, 107

Subject Index 131

Gender equality and action plan, 108	mediation effect, 74-75
Gender equality and equilibrium in	need for transformation in, 18–19
research, action plan on,	research design, 70
108	research methodology, 70
Gender Equality Plan (GEP), 107	respondents' characteristics, 71
Gender equity, 93	results and findings, 72
Gender leadership, 105	sample and data collection, 70
Gender stereotype, 98, 103	servant leadership, 64–66
Gender stereotyping, 103	servant leadership and work
Gender-based workplace harassment,	engagement, 67–68
103–104	servant leadership style and
Glass ceiling, 7	employee engagement in,
Glass Ceiling Index (GCI), 109	68–69
Global University Network & for	structural equation model, 74
Innovation (GUNi), 26	structure of, 62
Goodness-of-fit index (GFI), 72	validity analysis, 72
Governance, 27–29	Historical legacies, 30
Government, 15, 34, 63	Human Development Index, 60
Government of India, 62	Traman Development Index, 60
Great man theory, 44	Illegal, 13, 15–16
Great man theory, 44	Imaginaries, 39
Heads of department, 4	Implicit bias, 106–108
Healing process, 65	Inclusion, 47
Higher education (HE), 26–27, 60,	Inclusive schools, 47
102–103	learning culture in school, 50
Higher Education Studies (HES), 26	practices, 49
Higher educational institutions	school connection with
(HEI), 5, 61, 70 (see also	communities, 51
Autonomous Educational	school improvement plan and
Institutions (AEI))	teams, 51
convergent validity and	school response to SEN children,
discriminant validity, 72–74	52
as creators, maintainers,	shared leadership in school, 49–50
and disseminators of	India, 27
knowledge, 62	Indian context, 63
ethical practices in, 14–15	Indian education system, 13
important attributes of servant	Indian Higher Education Institutions
leader, 66–67	studying transformative
in India, 62	change in, 27–28
job satisfaction as mediating	Indian institutions, 36
variable, 68–69	Indian Universities, 61
literature review, 64	Individual Educational Plan, 55
major leadership challenges faced	Industry, 63
by higher education, 62	Inequalities, 30, 35
measurements 71–72	Inequality, 7, 26–27, 37

Informal exclusion, 100	leadership in practice, 44-46
Informal mentoring, 101	methodology, 48–49
Informal networks, exclusion from, 100	policies, 6, 46
Information and communication	in practice, 44–46
technologies (ICT), 21	practices, 47
Infrastructure, 12–14, 63, 92	review of empirical evidence, 46–48
Infrastructure facilities, 63	shared leadership in school, 49–50
Injustice, 26, 30	in UK Primary School, 44
Innovation, 61	Leadership behavior, 45
Institution of patriarchy, 35	change-oriented behavior, 45
Instructional leader, 45	passive leadership, 45
Instructional leadership, 45–46, 64, 70	relational-oriented behavior, 45
Integration, 55	task-oriented behavior, 45
Interactional dynamics, 32	Leadership challenges faced by higher
Invitational leadership, 29	education, 62
	leadership styles adopted in HEI,
Job satisfaction, 68–69	63
Joint Children's Unit, 49	Leadership styles, 4
Judgmental sampling (see Purposive	adopted in HEI, 63
sampling)	affiliative leadership, 64
	constructivist leadership, 64
Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin estimates (KMO	instructional leadership, 64
estimates), 72	servant leadership, 64
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KU	transformational leadership, 63
Leuven), 106	Learners autonomy, 14
"Keep striving" theme, 93	Learning
	culture, 45, 50
Lack of Mentorship Opportunities,	organizations, 46
100–101	process, 55, 64
Lack of Sponsorship, 101–102	programs, 45
Leaderism, 5	LIBRA project, 106
Leaders, 44	Likert-type scale, 71
in higher education, 4	Listening, 66
Leadership, 5, 29, 44, 84	Low income of teachers, 16
in academia, 105	Lower-bound confidence interval
activity, 4	(LCI), 75
building capacity of staff, 53–54	Y
as citizenship, 29	Maintainers, HEIs as, 62
distributed, 46	Management team, 53
distributed leadership & team	Measurement model, 72
planning, 52–53	factor loading, 73
inclusive school practices, 49–52	Mediating variable, job satisfaction as,
instructional, 45–46	68–69
involvement of stake-holder groups	Mediation effect, 74–75
facilitating response to	Microaggressions, 37
diverse needs, 54–56	Middle managers, 4

Subject Index 133

National Action Plan, 86 National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC), 70 National Priority Program (NPP), 87 Negotiation skill, 14 Normed fit index (NFI), 72 Occupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Perseption, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Poverty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primciple, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Qualitative interviews, 85 Qualitative themes, 89–90 Quality of education, 12–13 from ethics-oriented culture, 19–20 from quality of teachers, 21–22 Resilence of Aghan wether behavior, 16 Race, 30 Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1 Regressive culture, 19–20 Roccupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Qualitative research, 86 Qualitative themes, 89–90 Quality of education, 12–13 from ethics-oriented culture, 19–20 Quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality of teachers, 21–22 Research methodology, 16 Questionnaire, 16 Race, 30 Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1 Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leadership, 105 Research leadership, 105 Research leadership, 105 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	Mindfulness, 66 Ministry of Education (MoE), 87 in India, 14 Mixed-method study, 27 Motivators, 7 Muslims, 28  Nation's Security Council Resolution,	Privatization of academic institutions, 13 of education, 22 Professional barriers, 99 Program leaders, 4–5 Public universities, 62 Publications, 100 Purposive sampling, 71
National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC), 70 National Priority Program (NPP), 87 Negotiation skill, 14 Normed fit index (NFI), 72 Occupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Passive leadership, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Primary school, 48 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Quality of teachers, 21–22 Results of teaching, 13, 20 Quantitative research, 86 Qualitative themes, 89–90 Quality of education, 12–13 from ethics-oriented culture, 19–20 from quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality of teachers, 21–22 Results of education, 12 Race, 30 Race, 30 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	National Action Plan 96	Qualitativa interviews 85
Accreditation Council (NAAC), 70 National Priority Program (NPP), 87 Negotiation skill, 14 Normed fit index (NFI), 72 Occupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Quality of education, 12–13 from ethics-oriented culture, 19–20 Grom quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality of tea		
(NAAC), 70 National Priority Program (NPP), 87 Negotiation skill, 14 Normed fit index (NFI), 72 Occupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Powerty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality o		
National Priority Program (NPP), 87 Negotiation skill, 14 Normed fit index (NFI), 72 Coccupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 2		
Negotiation skill, 14 Normed fit index (NFI), 72 Coccupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patrior Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Poserty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Positional leaders, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle-policy gaps, 21 Participation, 20 Parents/teachers, 21–22 Quality of teachers, 21 Pach Cachers, 26 Pace, 30 Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha A		
Normed fit index (NFI), 72  Occupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Ping quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality of teachers, 26 Quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality of teachers, 26 Quality of teachership, 16		
Quality of teachers, 21–22 Occupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Quality of teachers, 21–22 Quality of teaching, 13, 20 Quantitative research methodology, 16 Questionnaire, 16  Race, 30 Reshtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1 Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Resea		
Occupational sexism, 99, 104–105 Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Quantitative research methodology, 16 Questionnaire, 16  Race, 30 Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1 Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4, 5 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	Normed III index (NF1), 72	
Organization change, 60–61 Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Quantitative research methodology, 16 Questionnaire, 16  Race, 30 Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1 Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	0	
Organizational citizenship, 76 Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Race, 30 Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1 Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 9 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	-	
Other Backward Class (OBC), 28  Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Race, 30 Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1 Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leadership, 105 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		
Parents, 63 Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Parents, 63 Race, 30 Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1 Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leadership, 105 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		
Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55  Participation, 27–28, 30, 37  Passive leaders, 45  Patriarchy, 35  Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47  Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21  Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22  Persuasion, 67  Policy, 26–28  Policy and principle gaps, 36–37  Population, 16, 37  Positional leaders, 48  Poserity theme, 92  Primary school, 48  Principle, 27–28, 30  Principle-policy gaps, 32  Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1  Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45  Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72  Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	Other Backward Class (OBC), 28	Questionnaire, 16
Parents/teachers association (PTA), 51, 55  Participation, 27–28, 30, 37  Passive leaders, 45  Patriarchy, 35  Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47  Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21  Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22  Persuasion, 67  Policy, 26–28  Policy and principle gaps, 36–37  Population, 16, 37  Positional leaders, 48  Poserity theme, 92  Primary school, 48  Principle, 27–28, 30  Principle-policy gaps, 32  Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RU SA 2. 0), 39n1  Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45  Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72  Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	Parents, 63	Race 30
51, 55 Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Passive leadership, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Regressive culture, 8 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 45 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leadership, 105 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		*
Participation, 27–28, 30, 37 Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Passive leaders, 45 Relational-oriented leadership behavior, 45 Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leaders, 49 Research leaders, 49 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		
Passive leaders, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Primciple-policy gaps, 32  Religious discrimination, 32 Research leaders, 45 Research leaders, 40 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		
Passive leadership, 45 Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leadership, 105 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Research leaders, 4–5 Research lead		
Patriarchy, 35 Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leadership, 105 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		
Patron Saint Catholic Primary School, 47 Research leaders, 4–5 Research leadership, 105 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Poserty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	<u>-</u> '	
47 Research leadership, 105 Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 in academia, 93 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Restricted mobility, 94 Persuasion, 67 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Salary inequalities, 102 Positional leaders, 48 School Positioning, 28 cohesion, 46 Poverty theme, 92 connection with communities, 51 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Principle search leadership, 105 Resilience of Afghan women leaders in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72  Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Perception, 61, 77 of education, 21 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Poverty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72  Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		
of education, 21 in academia, 93 Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Restricted mobility, 94 Persuasion, 67 Root mean squared error approximation Policy, 26–28 (RMSEA), 72 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Salary inequalities, 102 Positional leaders, 48 School Positioning, 28 cohesion, 46 Poverty theme, 92 connection with communities, 51 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  in academia, 93 Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52	Perception, 61, 77	- ·
Personality, 6, 13, 15, 17, 22 Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Poserty theme, 92 Porscriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Restricted mobility, 94 Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72  Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 Plans, 47, 52		
Persuasion, 67 Policy, 26–28 Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32  Root mean squared error approximation (RMSEA), 72  Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		*
Policy, 26–28 (RMSEA), 72  Policy and principle gaps, 36–37  Population, 16, 37 Salary inequalities, 102  Positional leaders, 48 School  Positioning, 28 cohesion, 46  Poverty theme, 92 connection with communities, 51  Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98  Primary school, 48 inclusion project, 48  Principle, 27–28, 30 leadership, 46  Principle-policy gaps, 32 plans, 47, 52		
Policy and principle gaps, 36–37 Population, 16, 37 Positional leaders, 48 Positioning, 28 Poverty theme, 92 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 Primary school, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 Principle-policy gaps, 32 Salary inequalities, 102 School cohesion, 46 connection with communities, 51 improvement plan and teams, 51 inclusion project, 48 leadership, 46 plans, 47, 52		
Population, 16, 37  Positional leaders, 48  Positioning, 28  Poverty theme, 92  Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98  Primary school, 48  Principle, 27–28, 30  Principle-policy gaps, 32  Salary inequalities, 102  School  cohesion, 46  connection with communities, 51  improvement plan and teams, 51  inclusion project, 48  leadership, 46  plans, 47, 52		(
Positional leaders, 48  Positioning, 28  Poverty theme, 92  Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98  Primary school, 48  Principle, 27–28, 30  Principle-policy gaps, 32  School  cohesion, 46  connection with communities, 51  improvement plan and teams, 51  inclusion project, 48  leadership, 46  plans, 47, 52		Salary inequalities, 102
Positioning, 28 cohesion, 46 Poverty theme, 92 connection with communities, 51 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 improvement plan and teams, 51 Primary school, 48 inclusion project, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 leadership, 46 Principle-policy gaps, 32 plans, 47, 52		
Poverty theme, 92 connection with communities, 51 Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 improvement plan and teams, 51 Primary school, 48 inclusion project, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 leadership, 46 Principle-policy gaps, 32 plans, 47, 52		
Prescriptive gender stereotypes, 98 improvement plan and teams, 51 Primary school, 48 inclusion project, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 leadership, 46 Principle-policy gaps, 32 plans, 47, 52		
Primary school, 48 inclusion project, 48 Principle, 27–28, 30 leadership, 46 Principle-policy gaps, 32 plans, 47, 52		
Principle, 27–28, 30 leadership, 46 Principle-policy gaps, 32 plans, 47, 52		
Principle-policy gaps, 32 plans, 47, 52		
	-	
	Private universities, 62	practices, 6–7, 49

response to SEN children, 52	Stereotypical barriers
shared leadership in, 49–50	exclusion from informal networks, 100
Security threats theme, 92	GCI, 109
Self-awareness, 66	gender discrimination, 102–103
Self-preservation, 31	gender equality, 107
Senior leaders, 5	gender equality and action plan, 108
Senior management team, 5	gender leadership, 105
Servant leader	gender-based workplace
community building, 67	harassment, 103–104
empathy, 66	higher education, 102–103
foresight, 67	impacting women leaders, 99
important attributes of, 66	lack of mentorship opportunities,
listening, 66	100–101
persuasion, 67	lack of sponsorship, 101-102
self-awareness, 66	occupational sexism, 104–105
stewardship, 67	reducing implicit bias, 106–108
trust, 66	reducing stereotypical barriers, 106
Servant leadership, 29, 64–68, 70	salary inequalities, 102
and job satisfaction, 69	tokenism, 99–100
style and employee engagement in	women leaders, 99
HEIs, 68	Stereotypical barriers impacting
Sexism, 32, 104	women leaders, 99
Shared leadership in school, 49–50	Stewardship, 67
Social justice, 32	Strategic leadership, 70
Social location, 30, 36	Striving, 13, 89
Society, 63	Structural equation modeling (SEM),
South Africa, 27	7, 70, 74
South African Higher Education	CFA and SEM estimates, 74
Institutions, studying	hypotheses testing, 75
transformative change in,	Students, 63
27–28	diversity, 47
Special Educational Need	voice, 47
Co-ordinator (SENCO), 55	Superiority-based casteism, 32
Special educational needs (SEN), 48	Sustainability, 26
school response to SEN children,	in HE, 28
52	Sustainable development, 29–30, 36
Spiritual growth, 60	Sustainable development goals
Sponsorship, lack of, 101–102	(SDGs), 26–27
Sponsorship program, 101	Sustainable institutions, 29
St. Arnold primary school, 49	
Staff composition, 26, 32	Task-oriented leadership behavior, 45
Stakeholders, 6, 63	Teachers
Standardized root mean square	absenteeism, 63
residual (SRMR), 72	autonomy, 14
Stereotypes, 98	as leader to cultivate ethical
components, 98	culture, 15

Subject Index 135

Teacher-student autonomy, 16	South African institutions, 31
Team planning, 52–53	studying transformative change
Technology, 21	in Indian and South
Thematic analysis, 89	African Higher Education
Themes, 89–93	Institutions, 27–28
Theoretical baggage, 89	Trust, 66
Token women, 99	
Tokenism, 99–100	Unethical, 13–16, 20
Training, 12, 51, 64	United Nations Assistance Mission in
Trait theory, 44	Afghanistan (UNAMA), 92
Transcription, 89	University Grants Commission
Transformation, 16, 18–19	(UGC), 62
in HE, 28	University Grants Commission Act, 62
Transformational leaders, 6	University of Bergen, 107-108
Transformational leadership, 63, 70	Unprofessional behavior of teachers, 13
Transformative change, 34	Unstable conditions, 87
Transformative leadership, 28 (see also	Upper-bound confidence interval
Servant leadership)	(UCI), 75
analysis of responses from	
contexts, 29	Validity analysis, 72, 75
critical review of literature, 29-30	Value education, 13, 16
findings from Indian institutions,	Values, 36
31–36	Values driven teaching professionals,
findings from South African	15
component of study, 29-31	Values-driven culture (VDC), 16
higher education and SDGs, 26-27	Vice-chancellors, 4
Indian institutions, 36	VlaamseInteruniversitaireRaad
institute of technology of national	(VLIR), 106
importance, 33–35	
institution of eminence, 35–36	Ward Coordination Group, 49
old and large university of high	Women, 101, 102, 105
national ranking, 32–33	Afghanistan and, 86–87
qualitative insights from SAI2,	empowerment, 85
30–31	human rights defenders, 92
qualitative insights from semi-	stereotypical barriers impacting
structured interviews, 32	women leaders, 99
questionnaire responses, 31	Women's Economic Empowerment
questionnaire responses from two	National Priority Program
South African institutions, 30	(WEE-NPP), 87
research findings and implications,	Work engagement, 67–68
36–38	Workplace, 93