INDEX

Acceleration dynamics, 30-31 Accessibility, 114, 120 Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC), 16, 25 Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS), 14 Advanced Travel Information Services (ATIS), 70 Agenda, 88 Agent-Based Modelling (ABM), 55 AIMSUN, 55 Arriving Late, 76 Artificial Intelligence (AI), 5, 115, 142 AstaZero campaign, 33-34, 39, 41 Australia, 102-103 Austria, 106 Automated and Connected Transport (ACT), 4, 48, 140 ACT-based public transport, 147 - 150benefits, 12 context, 7-9 green vehicle H2020 research projects, 15 opportunities and risks, 9-12 potential ACT contributions towards SDGs, 15 sustainable, 13-16 synergies and trade-offs between transport and SDGs, 13-14 threats, 12 Automated driving, 7-8 Automated driving systems (ADS), 9,113 Automated Lane Keeping Systems (ALKS), 24, 102 Automated mobility, 87 Automated public transit, 71 Automated transport's projected impacts on different spheres of sustainability, 88-94

Automated vehicles (AVs), 66, 102 methods applied in automated vehicle acceptance and user preference studies, 66-70 possibility of testing Avs. in context of logistics, 110-111 AutomatFahrV, 106 Automatic Emergency Braking (AEB), 40 Automatic Teller Machines (ATMs), 131 Automation, 25, 86 Autonomous, 7 Autonomous cars, 124 Autonomous delivery robots (ADR), 173-174 Autonomous mobility, 126 Autonomous transport, 124 Autonomous vehicles (AVs), 8, 48, 120 autonomous driving trials, 121 big data for planning during widescale deployment of AVs, 131-132 data issue, 130–132 impacts of autonomous road transport on sustainable urban development, 124-129 lack of data during for current planning purposes, 130-131 methodological framework, 122 - 124recommendations for planners, 132 - 133AutoX. 102 AVG Share, 76 Behaviour, 17, 24 Big data for planning during wide-

scale deployment of AVs, 131–132 Bus Rapid Transit system (BRT system), 131 Business, 10–12 Business models, 95 California, 103

Capability and capacity (C&C), 173 Capacity drop, 24 Car-platoon, 26 Cellular messaging, 28 Choice model, 73-74 Choice probabilities, 73–74 Citizen, 128 Climate change, 127–128 Collision Avoidance System, 25 Comparative analysis, 17, 103 Connected and automated vehicles (CAVs), 24, 48, 86, 163 energy consumption, 39-40 experimental observations of CACC, 25-28 observable response time, 33-34 single ACC dynamics, 28-33 string stability, 35-36 traffic flow, 36 traffic hysteresis, 36-39 traffic safety, 40-41 Connected Cooperative Automated Mobility (CCAM) Association, 16 Connected transport, 141 Connectivity, 8, 25 Cooperative, connected and automated mobility (CCAM), 120 Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control (CACC), 16, 25, 27 experimental observations, 25-28 **Cooperative Automation Research** and Mobility Applications (CARMA), 27-28 Cooperative-ACC, 25 COP21, 4 Cross-nesting (CN), 76 Cross-scientific cooperation, 132

Cybersecurity risks, 93 Czech Republic, 107 Data, 120 ethics, 10 processing algorithms, 26 De facto dominance, 18 Decision-makers, 48 Dedicated short-range communications (DSRC), 28 Denmark, 107 Design, 15, 26 Digitalisation, 162 Disruptive future technologies, 163 freight mobility, 163-165 passenger mobility, 165-166 Driver behavior, 68 Driverless cars (see Self-driving vehicles) Driverless operation, 9 Driving automation, 9 system or technology, 9 Drones, 9

Eco-driving, 9 Economic sustainability, 91-92 Electric cars (EC), 18, 177-179 Electrical vehicles (EVs), 10, 90 Electrification, 60 Emissions. 60 Energy, 127-128 consumption, 39-40, 60 Energy Research Institute at the Nanyang Technological University (ERI@N), 151 Environment, 127-128 Environmental gains, 190-191 Environmental sustainability, 89-91 Error components, 74 European perspective, 103 European Union (EU), 122, 190 Exclusions in ACT-based transport, 140Expected Travel Time, 76

Experience, 76 Expert, 7 Expert Group, 10 Finland, 9, 11, 107 5G, 110 France, 109 Freight, 162 Freight mobility, 163-165 Future mobility, 162 disruptive future technologies, 163-166 SDG-mobility analysis framework, 168 - 179sustainable development goals as framework for sustainable mobility policy development, 166-168 GA experiments, 27 Game-based model (GBM), 73, 75 General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 113 Germany, 106 Global, 113 Governance, 18 Greece, 108 Greenhouse gas emissions (GHG emissions), 4, 78, 89, 120 H2020 SHOW project, 17 Health, 125 Heavy goods vehicles (HGVs), 164 Highway, 165 Human driven vehicles, 27 Human-driven car-platoon datasets, 28 Hydrogen, 94 Hydrogen Heavy Goods Vehicles, 18 Hydrogen-fuelled heavy goods vehicles

Impacts, 120 Implementation, 103 Inclusive mobility, 18

(H2HGV), 174-176

Inclusive transport system, 140 ACT-based public transport, 147 - 150exclusionary character of transport modes, 141-142 privately-owned fully automated ACT vehicles, 144-145 privately-owned partially automated ACT vehicles, 142 - 144sequential sharing of fully automated ACT vehicles, 146 - 147Singapore case, 150–153 INDIMO¹⁹ project, 15 Information Communication Technologies (ICTs), 59, 70 Infrastructure, 125–127 Instability, 24 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 13, 188 Internal Combustion Engine Vehicles (ICEVs), 90 Israeli Shekel (ILS), 71 Italy, 28, 104

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), 15, 17, 49 for ACT simulation scenarios, 59 and relation to policy needs, 58–61

Land Transport Authority (LTA), 151 Land use, 61 Lane Change Assistance, 25 Lane Keeping Assist, 25 Legal framework, 106 Lessons learnt, 153 Likert scales, 104 Living Lab, 5, 16 Logistics, 104 Logit kernel (LK), 73 Lower speed value, 38

MA experiments, 27 Macroscopic models, 130

Management, 126 Mass Rapid Transit (MRT), 150 Mesoscopic model, 55 Methods, 130 Microscopic models, 50, 55 Ministry of Domestic Affairs, 105 Ministry of Ecological Transition, 105Ministry of Economics, 105 Mixed-multinomial logit model (MMNL model), 73 Mobility conditions, 124–125 Mobility-as-a-Service (MaaS), 11, 78, 89, 124, 165, 176-177, 191 Mode and departure time choice dynamics, 76–77 Motorways, 9

National frameworks review in European countries Austria, 106 Czech Republic, 107 Denmark, 107 Finland, 107 France, 105 Germany, 106 Greece, 108 Italy, 108 Netherlands, 107 Spain, 106 Sweden, 106 Naturalistic experiments, 69 Netherlands, 107

Observable response time, 33–34 Observation, 26 'One-size-fits-all' approach, 120 Online survey with national experts on automated transport, 108 OpenACC dataset, 27 Operational design domain (ODD), 9, 104 Operational procedures, 113 Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs), 107, 163 **ORSEE** web-based Online Recruitment System, 71 Packaging automation, 87 Paris Agreement, 127 PARKGAMESG, 70 Passenger mobility, 165–166 Phantom traffic jams, 24 Planning, 49 Platoon string stability, 35 Policy, 4 Policy implications, 66 Policy-making conclusions, 18 Predictive validity, 70 Privacy, 93 Private car. 130 Private ride in automated vehicle, 71 Privately-owned fully automated ACT vehicles, 144-145 Privately-owned partially automated ACT vehicles, 142-144 Proactive Fuzzy Safety metric (PFS), 41 Process validity, 70 Professionals, 26, 124 Psychological validity, 69-70 Public opinion surveys, 68 Public transit (PT), 71, 74 Public transport, 141 Quadruple Helix approach, 5, 15–16 Quintuple Helix innovation models, 15

Random parameter (RNP), 74 Intercept, 76 Rebound effect, 10 Regulation, 143, 147 Regulatory frameworks basic aspects regarding permit application procedures, 108–109 method, 104–105 number and type of requirements for permit application, 109–110

operator and remote operation, 111-112 possibility of testing Avs. in context of logistics, 110-111 reporting duties, 112 results, 105-108 Remote operation, 111–112 Reporting, 112 Requirements, 112 Responsibility, 10 Responsible innovation, 10 Revealed preference surveys (RP surveys), 67 Ridesharing, 66, 78 Road safety, 10, 124–125 Road transport networks, 24 Robot Operating System (ROS), 28 Rural communities, 93 Safety, 60 driver, 102 Scenarios development, 50 Selection bias, 88 Self-driving vehicles, 8 Sequential sharing of fully automated ACT vehicles, 146–147 Serious games (SGs), 66, 69-70 approach, 17 choice model, 73-74 methods applied in automated vehicle acceptance and user preference studies, 66-70 objectives and context, 66 policy implications, 77-79 research methods, 70-73 results and inference, 74-77 Servitisation of mobility, 176 Shared Autonomous Electric Vehicles (SAEVs), 90 Shared autonomous vehicles (SAVs), 48, 55, 66, 90 Shared Car (SC), 74, 127 Shared ride in autonomous car, 71 Shared-Ride (SR), 74 SHOW project, 104

Simulations, 49 pipeline, input and assumptions, 50 - 58scenarios related to ACT studies, 51 - 54studies for automated transport, 50 Simulators, 68-69 Singapore case, 150 guidelines and regulations, 150-151 lessons learnt, 153 promoting ACT-based public transport, 151 research. 152-153 transport vision, 150-151 trials, 152 Single ACC dynamics, 28–33 Single-dimension automated functionalities, 25 Smart City infrastructure, 126 Social challenges of ACT, 18 Social generations, 165 'Social implications' of ACT, 191-192 Social sustainability, 92-94 Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), 8 Levels of Automation, 10–11 Socio-economic sustainability, horizontal challenges of, 128 - 129Spain, 106-107 Spatial development, 125–127 Spatial planning, 122 Specification, 74 Speed distributions, 31 Stakeholders, 58 Stated preference-based studies (SP-based studies), 67–68 String stability, 25, 35–36 Structural validity, 70 **SUMO**, 55 Survey, 167 Sustainability, 48, 86, 141 automated transport's projected impacts on different spheres of. 88-94

commitments, 166 KPIs and relation to policy needs, 58-61 simulation pipeline, input and assumptions, 50-58 simulation studies for automated transport, 50 Sustainable automated and connected transport, 13-16 Sustainable development, 130 Sustainable implementation, 103 Sustainable mobility, 78, 114 paradigm, 130-131 Sustainable transport, 4 Sustainable urban development energy, environment and climate change, 127-128 horizontal challenges of socioeconomic sustainability, 128 - 129impacts of autonomous road transport on, 124-129 infrastructure and spatial development, 125-127 mobility conditions and road safety, 124-125 Sustainable Urban Mobility Plan (SUMP), 120 Sweden, 106

Tactical safety, 40 Technology, 114–115 Tesla, 162 Testing, 103 The Netherlands, 107 Time To Collision (TTC), 41 Tractive energy, 39 Traffic efficiency, 59–60 Traffic flow, 36 Traffic hysteresis, 24, 36–39 Traffic oscillations, 24, 36–37 Traffic safety, 40–41 Traffic simulation models, 50 Transit Oriented Development (TOD), 95 Transport, 4 planning, 120 Travel behaviour, 60 Trial operation, 108 Trials, 108 Triple bottom line problematisation, 87 automated transport's projected impacts on different spheres of sustainability, 88-94 future research directions, 96-97 methodology, 87-88 Triple Helix innovation model, 5, 15 26th United Nations Climate Change Conference of Parties 26 (COP26), 4 U-blox, 27 Uber. 162 **UITP. 103** UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 13-14, 49, 127 ADR, 173-174 dependencies on transport and mobility, 167-168 EC, 177-179 as framework for sustainable mobility policy development, 166 H2HGV, 174-176 MaaS. 176-177 SDG 11, 122 SDG-mobility analysis framework, 168 SDG9, 14 as tool for sharing perspectives on sustainability, 166-167 UAV, 171-173 **UNECE**, 113 United Nations, 127 Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), 171 - 173Urban development, 120 Urban planning, 189 Urban railways, 131

Index

Urban traffic congestion, 91 User-centred co-creative approach, 16

Value of Travel Time (VOT), 10 Vanderbilt University dataset, 27 Vehicle automation, 86 Vehicle dynamics, 31 Vehicle miles travelled (VMT), 69 Vehicle-Kilometres-Travelled (VKT), 10, 188 Vehicle-to-everything (V2X), 26 Vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I), 26 Vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), 26 Virtual Reality (VR), 68 VISSIM, 55

Waymo, 102 Wider Impacts and Scenario Evaluation of Autonomous & Connected Transport (WISE-ACT), 7–8 COST Action, 189 future agenda, 192–193

ZalaZone campaign, 34