## INDEX

Abnormality, 216 Access, 16-17, 60-63, 89 in RTS, 200-203 at Transitory Hospital, 197-200 Accessibility, 12-14, 60-61, 210 Bogotá and unequal distribution, 17 - 26challenging, 6-7 to destinations, 106 local, 5-6 as measure of urban (in) equality, 15 - 17mesoscale, 16 reflections for policy and practice in Latin America, 26-28 structural dimensions of, 3-4 Active commuting, 150 Active travel, 5-6 Activity-based approach, 44-53 Adolescents, 154 After-school programmes, 176-177 Age, 155 Annual average of daily traffic (AADT), 181 Anti-asylum movement, 192 Appropriation, 88-89 Asylums, 193 Atlas. ti software, 197 'Automobility', 105, 108 Autonomy, 142

Belo Horizonte, 60, 207, 210 mobility experiences of people with disabilities in, 224–228 urban accessibility in, 210–218
Belo Horizonte Metropolitan Region (BHMR), 217
BHTrans Plan of Accessibility for Urban Mobility in Belo Horizonte, 211

Bicimachismos, 143 Bicycle, 136, 139 Biking, 150 Body mass index (BMI), 157 Bogotá, 101 household employees, transportation and socio-spatial stratification in, 91-97 public transportation system, 89-91 and unequal distribution of accessibility, 17-26 Brazil's Federal legal framework, 210 Brazilian Law for Inclusion of People with Disabilities, 210 Bus rapid transit system (BRT system), 17, 90, 225 Calibration factor, 65 Capetillo, 180 Care. 193 crisis, 35 mobility, 4, 38, 42-43 role, 141 trips, 71 Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 153, 156 Chained journeys, 63 Chi-square test, 55n4 Child Friendly City movement, 173 Children/childhood, 60, 63, 66, 170 activities, 184 mobility disadvantages, 171-172 obesity, 154 playability, 171-173, 177-181 social disadvantages of children's capability to play outside in neighbourhood, 176-177 subvert time and space structure in favour of greater playability, 182-183

City planning, 36 City spaces methodology, 195-197 research problem, 194-195 theoretical discussion, 192-194 Class position, 87 Collective taxis, 123 Competence, 89 Complete streets concept, 185 Complex survey data analysis, 159 Comunas, 111-116, 121 Congress for the New Urbanism ('CNU'), 174 Covid-19 pandemic, 235–237 Crime perception in poor neighbourhood, 181–182 Culture, 155 Cycle taxis, 121 Cycling, 106, 108, 116, 121, 123, 136, 147. (see also Walking) analysis, 140-144 linking to well-being, 139 methodology, 140 modal share by women, 138 woman cyclists in Latin America, 137 - 138for women, 136

Daily mobility, 34 Daily travelling practices of people with disabilities, 219–224 Daily trips, 138 Democracy, symbol of, 144 Domestic labour, 87

Ecologies of modes, 123 Economic necessity, 87 *Ella se mueve segura* (ESMS), 118 Emotive factors, 135. (*see also* Social factors) freedom and autonomy, 142 happiness, 142–143 self-esteem and empowerment, 142 Empleadas Domésticas in Colombia, 87–88 Empowerment, 142

Encuesta de Movilidad Domiciliaria, 138 Equality, 193 Equity, 12 in sustainable transport, 104–105 Ethnicity, 155 Everyday life, 34 Exercise, 155, 159 Expansion factor, 65 Family mobility patterns, 60 methodology, 63-65 mobility, access and gender, 61-63 results, 65-79 Family pressure, 87 Fear, 96 Female household employees, 86 Bogotá's public transportation system, 89-91 household employees, transportation and socio-spatial stratification in Bogotá, 91-97 household labour, space and mobility, 87-89 Financial access, 61 Freedom, 142 of mobility, 192–193 Gender, 61-63, 105-109, 137 relations. 36 roles, 87 in Santiago, 128-129 in sustainable transport, 104-105 Gender-neutral transport, 104 Gendered mobility patterns, 39-42 Gini indices, 29 Gross domestic product (GDP), 60 Happiness, 142-143 Health, 153-156 Hispanic high school students, 150, 152 data source, 156 descriptive statistics of survey

responses, 157–158

method, 156–157

participants, 157

physical activity and health, 153-156

policy recommendations, 162-164 race/ethnicity differences, 159-162 results, 157-162 statistical analysis, 156 study measures, 157 in United States, 152-153 Home-based care and work trips, 45 Horizontal accessibility, 214 Household employees, 86-87, 91-97 labour, 87-89 Immobility, 65-66, 223 Impairments, 215 Insecurity, 96 Institute for Transportation and **Development Policy** (ITDP), 137 Instrumental factors care role and labour market, 141 security and sexual harassment, 140 - 141technical skills, 141-142 Integrated Public Transport System (SITP), 90, 93-94 Interlocutors, 92-93, 95-96, 102 International Network for Transport and Accessibility in Low Income Communities (INTALInC LAC), 8 Labour market, 141

Latin America, 1, 12–13, 34 cities in, 8 reflections for policy and practice in, 26–28 urban mobility and transport policies in, 3 woman cyclists in, 137–138 Livable cities, 174 Liveability, 17 Local accessibility, 5–6

Men differential itineraries, 44–53 Mental health policies, 193 Meso accessibility, 28

Mesoscale of accessibility, 16 Metro underground train system, 116 Metropolitan Santiago, 120 Micro accessibility, 28 Micromachismos, 143 Mistrust. 96 Mobility, 4, 36, 61-64, 66, 87-89, 170 disadvantages of children, 171-172 experiences of people with disabilities in Belo Horizonte, 224-228 general mobility statistics and patterns, 101-102 mode of transport, 72-77 motivation, 67–72 patterns, 34, 63 poverty, 6, 170 practices, 211, 214 ratio of trips per journey, 77-79 in RTS. 200-203 to school. 150 in social sciences, 192 at Transitory Hospital, 197-200 turn perspective, 215 Modal share, 111-116 evolution and investment by transport mode, 109-111 Model of Mental Health Care, 192 Montevideo case study, 37 conceptual framework, 35-37 data and methods, 37–38 gender differences in, 34 results, 38-53 Montevideo Metropolitan Region (MMR), 35 Motherhood practices, 36 'Motility', 88-89 'Move' system, 225 Multitasking, 63-64

National Youth Physical Activity and Nutrition Study (NYPANS), 152, 156–157 Neighbourhood of Río Piedras children's mobility, 171 children's playability, 177–181

findings, 181-184 literature review, 173-177 mobility disadvantages of children, 171 - 172playability of children, 172–173 Neighbourhood perception, 151 Neo-traditional cities and neighbourhoods, 174 Non-governmental organisations (NGOs), 136 Non-mandatory accessibility, 24-25 Organisational access, 61 Orientation, 170 Origin–Destination Survey (O–D Survey), 60, 63-64, 67, 211 Palma ratios, 29 Parents' perception of traffic, 151 People with disabilities, 216, 227 Perceptions and experiences of safety, 96-97 Permanent Accessibility Commission of Belo Horizonte, 211 Personal safety, 138 Physical access, 61 activity, 153-156 Planning, 120–122 Play, 171 opportunities to play in city, 174-176 and relationship to children's mobility in neighbourhood, 173-174 social disadvantages of children's capability to play outside in neighbourhood, 176-177 Playability, 171, 184 of children, 172-173, 177-181 study area, 178-181 Population growth, 150 Poverty, 111-116, 176 Project for Public Spaces ('PPS'), 174-175 Psychiatric Reform Policy, 193

Psychosocial attention network, 193 factors, 155 Public policies, 192 Public spaces, 7 Public transport, 21–22 subsidies, 27 Public transportation system (Bogotá), 89–91, 101 Puerto Rico State Highway System, 178

Quasi-Poisson regression, 152, 156

Race/ethnicity differences in effects of active commuting and physical activity behaviours, 155, 159–162 Residential Therapeutic Services (RTS), 194 mobility and access in, 200–203 Río Piedras, 178–181 Road PR-27, 181 Road safety, 138 Rural-to-urban migration, 87

Safety perception and sexual harassment in Santiago's public transport system, 118–120 perceptions and experiences of, 96-97 Santiago de Chile modal share evolution and investment by transport mode, 109–111 origin-destination data revealing about women's travel in, 109 - 118poverty and modal share, 111-116 safety perception and sexual harassment in, 118–120 trip purpose, 117-118 Security, 140-141 Self-esteem, 142 Serra Verde Clinic (SVC), 194

'Sex', 105 Sexual division of labour, 35 harassment, 140–141 Shadowing, 197 Single-use residential subs, 175 SIU-Mobile application, 228 Social equity, 1-2, 152, 193 Social factors, 143 bicimachismos, 143 socialisation with others, 143 symbol of democracy, 144 Social inclusion, 170 Social justice, 15 Social mobility, 141 Social sustainability, 106 Socialisation with others, 143 Socio-geographical stratification, 89 in Bogotá, 91-97 Socioeconomic status, 155 Space, 87-89 space-time constraints, 36, 62 Spatial segregation, 95-96 'Splintering Urbanism', 19 State Department of Metropolitan Management (SEGEM), 217 Stratification. 86 Structural dimensions of accessibility, 3 - 4Subjective well-being (SWB), 139 'Subjectivity', 139 Subsecretaria de Movilidad Sustentable v Segura, 138 Superintendence of Urban Trains of Belo Horizonte (STU-BH), 218 Sustainable transport challenges and opportunities for, 120 - 123gender and equity in, 104–105 Tactile paving, 226

Technical skills, 141–142 Temporal access, 61 Time geography, 36 Time-structured and place-determined 'play' in neighbourhood, 183-184 Traditional city, 175 Transitory Hospital, 194 mobility and access at, 197-200 Transmilenio, 17-18, 23, 90, 93 Transport, 3-4. (see also Sustainable transport) in Chile, 105 corridors, 17 deficiency, 14 planners, 136 projects, 136 in Santiago, 128–129 services. 15 'Transport justice', 104–109 Transport of Contagem (TransCon), 218 Transportation, 91–97 means of, 93-95 planning, 170 Travel behaviour, 34, 40 motivations, 67-72 satisfaction, 139 Trip, 64-65, 69 chaining, 117, 123 purpose, 117-118 trip-based analysis of gendered mobility patterns, 44 Two-trip itineraries, 45

United Nations (UN), 150, 164 United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 13 United States, Hispanics in, 152–153 Universal design, 210 Urban (in) equality, accessibility as measure of, 15–17 Urban accessibility in Belo Horizonte, 210 conceptual framework, 216–217 data collection and systematization, 217–218

frameworks and methods, 216–218 literature review, 212-216 results, 218-228 Urban design-models, 174 Urban development, 15 Urban environments, 14 and services. 214 Urban geography, 89 Urban mobility, 1–2, 60–62, 66, 152, 206. (see also Mobility) Urban planning, 174 Urban policies, 211 Urban transport planning process, 12 limitations of traditional approaches to, 13 Urbanisation, 150 Uruguay, 37

Vertical accessibility, 213–214

Walking, 88, 94, 106, 108–109, 122, 150-151. (see also Cycling) to school, 150-151 trips, 104, 116 Well-being, 14 cycling linking to, 139 Women cyclists in Latin America, 1 37-138 differential itineraries, 44-53 mobility, 91, 93 Work schedules, 91–93 trips, 71 work-related activities, 48 work-related mobility, 68

Zoning ordinances, 175