

INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by “*n*” indicate end notes.

- Accountability, 163
 - accountability-based framework, 168
 - framework, 171
- Accountants, 47, 66
- Accounting
 - efforts by PCAOB to enhancing
 - quality in accounting firms, 114–117
 - EM, 164
 - law and ethics as applied to, 110–114
 - scandals, 106
- Accounting Ethical Dilemma Instrument (AEDI), 8
- Accounting profession, 64
 - combined results, 76
 - effect size results, 79–80
 - incongruency in values, 80
 - Mann–Whitney U test results, 74–76
 - median test results, 76
 - methodology and research questions, 71–73
 - results, 74–81
 - theoretical background and prior literature, 65–71
- Aggressive accounting, 52
- Aggressive skeptics, 6
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), 65, 180
 - Code of Conduct materials, 186
 - Code of Professional Conduct, 180, 184, 188
- Aristotle’s Nicomachean Ethics, law and ethics in, 109–110
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), 48
- Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB), 92
- Attest service, 186, 191*n*2
- Attraction–selection–attrition framework, 67
- Audit techniques to detecting fraudulent accounting, 112–114
- Auditing Standards Board (ASB), 106, 110
- Auditor independence, 181, 183–184
 - set of facts indicate impairment in, 182
- Auditor independence compliance rules
 - cognitive load and learning, 181, 183–184
 - progression of materials implementation, 184–186
 - set of facts indicate impairment in, 182
 - student performance, 188–190
 - student reaction to materials, 186–187
- Auditors (AD), 168
- Banks, 170
- Business ethics, 168
- Capitalism, 144
- Capitalistic economic ideology, 143–144
- Cash flow wedge, 28–29, 32
- Certified Public Accountants (CPAs), 7, 64, 72, 76, 83, 166, 180
 - CPA/employee, 186
- China, 152–154

- Code of Hammurabi*, 107–108
- Cognitive load and learning, 181
- cognitive load theory, 181, 183
- decision aids, 183–184
- research questions, 184
- Cognitive load theory, 181, 183
- Cognitive style, 49–52
- Collectivism, 166
- Competence values, 71
- Concern for others, 3, 9–10
- Confederates, 6
- Conflicted skeptics, 6
- Conformity, 68
- Confucian culture, 142–143, 147, 153
- Corporate citizenship (*see* Corporate social responsibility (CSR))
- Corporate ethics training programs and business schools, 99
- Corporate social performance (*see* Corporate social responsibility (CSR))
- Corporate social responsibility (CSR), 24, 127
- control variables, 33
- data source, sample selection and methodology, 29–33
- independent variables, 31–32
- prior literature and hypotheses, 26–29
- research design, 30
- results, 33–39
- sample, 29
- scores, 30–31
- variable measurements, 30–33
- Costing model, 66
- “Covered member”, 186, 191*n*3
- Creative accounting, 163
- Cross-levels measurement technique, 70
- Cultural background, 142
- and whistleblowing, 145–147
- Cultural dimensions, 166
- Cultural factors, 155
- Culture dimensions’ theory, 144
- Culture system, 142–143
- Daoism, 119*n*2
- Deceptive accounting, 52
- Decision aids, 183–184
- Defining Issues Test (DIT), 6, 12
- P*-score, 7–8
- Deliberative reasoning, 8
- Dental Ethical Sensitivity Test, 6
- Descriptive statistics, 13, 33–34, 149–151
- Direct investment, 181
- Dispositional skepticism, 6
- Diversified investment, 181
- Dual-class firms, 24–26, 28, 30–32, 43
- Earnings management (EM), 28, 52, 161, 172
- Earnings management ethics
- interview findings, 169–171
- literature review, 163–167
- questionnaire results, 171–174
- research methods, 168–169
- research results, 169
- theoretical framework, 167
- Economic EM (*see* Real EM)
- Economic ideology, 144
- Economics, 142–143
- Educational interventions, 92
- Effect size results, 79–80
- Egalitarian culture, 144
- Egocentrism, 3, 10–12
- measurement, 21
- Environmental CSR, 31, 33
- Ethical/ethics (*see also* Earnings management ethics), 3, 70, 72, 82, 106–109
- act, 107
- as applied to accounting, 110–114
- in Aristotle’s *Nicomachean Ethics*, 109–110
- decision making, 48
- disposition, 3–4
- evaluations, 52–53, 57
- orientation, 6–7
- reasoning, 3, 7–9
- sensitivity, 3, 6–7, 11
- socialization process, 7
- standards, 156

- Expectancy theory, 49
- External auditors, 112
- Extroversion, 50

- Fallacies of thinking, 10
- False omnipotence, 13
- False omniscience, 13
- Feasts, 108
- Feelers, 50
- Feeling, 50
- Femininity, 166
- “Financial numbers game”, 164
- Financial reporting
 - field, 162
 - process, 161, 163
- Firm turnover, 64–65
- Food laws, 108
- Fraud, 47–48
 - detection and prevention, 48
 - triangle, 112
- Fraudulent financial accounting by managers, reasons for, 111–112
- Fraudulent reporting, 52

- Giving Voice to Values program (GVV program), 92
 - assignments, 103
 - case studies and role-plays, 99
 - curriculum, 92–93
- Governance CSR, 31, 33
- Group-oriented culture or ideology, 152
- Guanxi*, 146
 - confucian cultures, 147

- Heckman two-stage model, 37, 39, 41
- High employee turnover, 65–67

- Idealism, 7, 165
- Illegal practice, 146
- Illegitimate practice, 146
- Immoral practice, 146
- Incentives, 4
- Incongruity in values, 80

- Independence decision tree, 181, 183, 186, 189
- Indirect cross-levels measurement, 70
- Indirect investment, 181
- Individual-oriented culture and ideology, 152
- Individualism, 144, 156*n*2, 166
- Individualistic culture, 144
- Industry values, 124–125
- Institute of Management Accountants (IMA), 129, 148
- Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS), 32
- Instrumental values, 71
- Intangible costs, 66
- Internal auditors, 112
- Internal controls, 156
- International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB), 114
- Interpersonal Trust Scale, 5
- Interpersonal understanding, 10
- Interview findings, 169–171
- Interviews method, 168
- Intrinsic independence, 180
- Introversion, 50
- Intuition, 50
- Intuitive-thinkers (NT), 50
- Inverse Mills ratio (IMR), 39
- Invulnerability, 13
- Islamic law, 109

- Jewish law, 108
- Job protection, 147
- Judaism, principles of, 108
- Judgers, 50
- Judging, 50

- Knowledge, 4
- Kruskal–Wallis test (KW test), 172

- Law, 106–109
 - as applied to accounting, 110–114
 - in Aristotle’s *Nicomachean Ethics*, 109–110

- Learning, 92
 cognitive load and, 181, 183–184
- Libya, 170
- Libyan commercial banks (LCBs), 163
- Lockheed Martin Ethics Awareness training, 98
- Maccody's instrument, 69
- Management accounting frauds, 112
- Mann–Whitney U test results, 74–76
- Masculinity, 166
- Master in Business Administration (MBA), 165
- Matched-pairs sample design, 37, 39–40
- Material investment, 181
- Means and standard deviations, 149–151
- Measured skeptics, 6
- Median test results, 76
- Morality, 107–109
- Morals, 107–109
 laws, 108
 reasoning, 3, 49
 sensitivity, 56
 values, 71
- Multiple regression analysis, 189
- Myers–Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI), 50
- National cultures, 143–145
- Neutrality view of PS, 4–5
- Non-financial rewards, 146
- Non-GAAP accounting (*see* Fraudulent reporting)
- Non-neutral financial reporting, 162
- Non-shareholders, 165
- Observers, 49
- Offerings, 108
- Ordinary least squares regression models (OLS regression models), 30, 38
- Organizational commitment (OC), 123
- Organizational culture profile (OCP), 70
- Organizational socialization (OS), 122, 124
- Orthodox culture, 142–143
- Peer assessment, 92–94
 implementing, 94–96
 perception, 96–100
- Peer feedback, 93, 96
- Peer learning, 94
- Perceived opportunity, 111
- Perceived pressure, 111
- Perceivers, 50
- Perceiving, 50
- Perceptions, 163
 of peer assessment, 96–100
- Performance appraisal, 126
- Personal values, theory of, 65
- Personality, 49–52
- Person–organization fit (P–O fit), 64, 67–68
 elements, 66
 lack of, 84
 measuring values and, 68–70
- Phar-Mor Inc., 111
- Power distance, 144–145, 166
- Preparers (PR), 168
- Prescriptive reasoning, 8
- Pressure, 58
- Presumptive doubt form of PS, 4–5
- Problem-solving ability, 3
- Profession autonomy, 138
- Profession dedication, 138
- Professional affiliation, 126–127
- Professional associations, 126–127
- Professional autonomy, 128–129
- Professional bodies, 126
- Professional dedication, 127–128
- Professional exclusiveness, 125
- Professional skepticism (PS), 1–2, 180
 literature review and hypothesis development, 4–11
 measures used to examining constructs, 11–13
 model of auditors' PS, 3
 model of determinants of PS in audit performance, 2

- participants, 11
- research method, 11–13
- results, 13–16
- Professional socialization, 123–124
- Professional values, 85, 123–125
- Professionalism, 122, 138
 - literature review and hypothesis development, 123–129
 - research methodology, 129–130
 - results, 130–131
- Propensity score matching (PSM), 37
- Public accounting firms, 127
- Public and industry accountants, 125
- Public Companies Oversight Board (PCAOB), 106, 110
 - efforts by PCAOB to enhancing quality in accounting firms, 114–117
- Purity laws, 108
- Quality Control standards (QC standards), 114
- Questioning mind, 10
- Questionnaire
 - results, 171–174
 - survey method, 168
- Quiet life, 25, 27
- Rationalization, 111
- Real EM, 164
- Red flags techniques, 113–114
- Regression
 - model, 98
 - results, 35–37
 - of Trait PS, 14–15
- Regulators (RG), 168
- Relativism, 7, 165
- Reliability and validity test, 151
- Religion, 107–109
- Reluctant skeptics, 6
- Respondents background, 148–149
- Rest's *P*-score, 12
- Robustness analyses, 37
 - Heckman two-stage model, 39
 - matched-pairs sample design, 37, 39
- Rokeach Value Survey (RVS), 65, 70–71, 73
- Role-plays, response and feedback for, 104
- Russia, 152–154
- Sacrifices, 108
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX Act), 112, 114–115, 166–167, 175n2
- Schwartz Value Questionnaire, 69
- Scripted role-plays, 95
- Search for knowledge, 10
- Self-interested behavior, 10
- Self-regulation, 128–129, 139
- Semi-structured interview method, 168
- Sensing, 50
- Sensor-feelers (SF), 50
- Sensors, 50
- 7-point Likert scale, 96, 99
- Shareholders, 165
 - pressure, 25, 27, 42
- Sharia*, 109
- Short-termism, 25–26, 28
- Skeptical judgment, 4
- Skepticism, 3, 10
 - trait, 4
- Social CSR, 31, 33
- Social justice, 147, 152
- Social laws, 108
- Social obligation, 127–128, 139
- Social systems, 145
- Socialistic economic ideology, 144
- Socialization, 122
 - of auditors, 66
 - literature review and hypothesis development, 123–129
 - research methodology, 129–130
 - results, 130–131
- “Soft” attributes, 70
- Stability, 68
- Stakeholders, 162
- Standard deviations, 149–151
- State skepticism, 4
- Strongly agree (SA), 172
- Strongly disagree (SD), 172

- Structuring transactions, 164
- Student performance, 188–190
- Student reaction to materials, 186–187
- Survey Monkey Audience (SMA), 130
- Suspension of judgment, 10
- Suspicion, 10
- Sustainalytics, 31
- Sustainalytics Global Platform database (SGP database), 29, 31

- Taiwan, 152–154
- Tangible costs, 66
- Teaching, 92
 - method, 180–181
- Teaching aid, 180–181
- Terminal values, 71, 75–76
- Theory of Moral Sentiments, The*, 9
- Thinkers, 50
- Thinking, 50
- Thorne's *P*-score, 12, 16
- Total CSR, 31, 33
- Trait(s), 4
 - PS, 3, 8, 10, 13
 - skepticism, 4

- Uncertainty avoidance, 166
- United States (US), 152–154
- Unrealistic optimism, 13
- Upper-level accounting students, 71–72
- US GAAP, 58, 163, 175*n*6

- US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), 114
- Users (US), 168

- Validity test, 151
- Value(s), 65
 - development, 82
 - measurement, 68–71
 - orientation, 49
- Voicing values, 96

- Western national culture, 143–144
- Whistleblowing, 48–49, 142
 - background of respondents, 148–149
 - comparisons among Russia, China, Taiwan, and United States, 152–154
 - cultural background and, 145–147
 - descriptive statistics, 149–151
 - intentions, 49, 56–57
 - judgment, 56
 - limitations and future research, 58
 - literature review, 48–52
 - methodology, 52–54, 147–148
 - national cultures, 143–145
 - practical implication, 155–156
 - reliability and validity test, 151
 - results, 54–57, 148
- Work values, 68–69
- Work–family values, 68–69