

INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by “n” with number indicate page notes.

- Accounting
 - culture of accounting firms, 93–94
 - frauds, 100
 - influence on earnings quality, 116–118
 - principles producing higher quality earnings, 118
 - standards producing higher earnings quality, 118–121
- Aggressive CPA, 33
- Aggressive strategic policies, 141
- Aluminum National Association, 70
- Ambiguous position, 32–33, 36, 38, 42
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), 32, 136
- ANCOVA, 12–13, 20
- Annual Report to Congress on the Dodd–Frank Whistleblower Program*, 2, 6
- Application levels, 64
- AS2401 Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit*, 145
- Asset–liability approach, 110
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE), 2
- Audit
 - client, 84, 140
 - firm, 140
 - profession, 96, 142
 - quality, 137
 - relationships, 84, 87
- Auditing/auditors, 92
 - auditor-to-membership group, 88–90
 - auditor-to-overseer PCs, 87–88
 - auditor-to-Society PCs, 90–93
 - firms, 92, 136
 - PCs, 84–93
 - profession, 84, 88, 91, 94–95
- Australian Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board (APES), 136–137
- Awards, 30
- Awareness of Dodd–Frank Act, 11
- Big Four firm, 90
- Cash flows, 112–113
- Center for Human Rights and Environment (CHRE), 78
- Certified Public Accountant (CPA), 32
 - decision-making, 37
 - descriptive statistics of study variables, 43
 - exemplars of open-response comments regarding tax positions, 46
 - federal tax position standards, 34–35
 - firms, 137
 - H1a, 42
 - H1b, 44
 - H1c, 44–45
 - H2a and H2b, 45
 - hypotheses development, 36–39
 - limitations and future research, 48–49
 - migration from realistic possibility to substantial authority standard, 35–36
 - online survey, 40–42
 - participants, 39–40

- results, 42–47
 - study results, 44
 - supplemental analyses, 45–47
 - willingness, 33
- Chief financial officers (CFOs), 28,
 - 100–101, 110, 116, 123
 - perceived red flags of
 - misrepresenting earnings, 125–126
- City of Baltimore’s sustainability report (2009), 72
- Client, 92
 - audit fees, 147
 - continuation ethics decision tree, 139
 - entrenchment, 89
 - evaluation process, 140
 - integrity, 145–147
 - management integrity, 134
- Client ethics
 - CPA firms, 137, 140
 - evaluation, 134
 - evaluation checklist, 143–144
 - firm, 136–137
 - IESBA NOCLAR Items and PCAOB AS2405 Illegal Acts, 138
 - model for evaluating, 142–145
 - professional guidance for evaluating, 136
- Coalition for Environmentally Responsible Economies (CERES), 72, 78
- Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants, 134, 137
- Codes of Ethics, 134, 137
- Cognitive dissonance theory, 135
- Communication distortion, 82
- Competence, 142
- Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting No. 8 (SFA C8), 100, 106
- Confidentiality, 142
- Conservatism, 118
- Conservative accounting principles, 102
- Consistency, 142
- Continuance decision, psychology of, 134–135
- Contract, 82
- Cooperative relationship, 86
- Corporate social responsibility reporting (CSR reporting), 58, 61–65
- Covered judicial or administrative action, 29
- Culture of accounting firms, 93–94
- Cumulative prospect theory, 33
- Decision model, 134
- Dependent *t*-test, 45
- Descriptive statistics, 104–106
- Digital Reporting Alliance, 62
- Discontinuing clients, 140–142
- Discretionary determinants of earnings quality, 114
- Dodd–Frank Act, 3, 8–9, 18
 - awareness of, 11, 15
 - whistleblowing provisions, 28
- Dodd–Frank Provisions, 4–6
- Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, 2
- Earnings, 111
 - benchmarks, 102–103, 123
 - information, 103, 106
 - manipulation, 114, 130n7
 - persistence, 110
 - revenue, 100
- Earnings management, 100, 118
 - accounting principles producing higher quality earnings, 118
 - accounting standards producing higher earnings quality, 118–121
- Earnings misrepresentation, 121
 - CFO’ perceived red flags, 125–126
 - misstatement of earnings, 121–122
 - motivation of earnings management, 123–125
- Earnings quality, 100–101, 106, 109–110

- accounting influence on, 116–118
- descriptive statistics, 104–106
- higher earnings quality, 110–113
- impacts, 114–116
- industry distribution and innate characteristics of sample, 104
- Japanese CFOs, 101–102
- management system, 108–109
- questionnaire and response rate, 104
- rating of importance of earnings, 108
- red flags, 103–104
- respondents, 106–107
- survey design, 104
- Efficient contracting, 109
- Emergency Economic Stabilization Act (2008), 35
- Employing auditing firm, 84
- Escalation of commitment, 135
- Ethical leadership, 141
- Ethical standards, compliance with, 147
- Ethics (*see also* Client ethics), 134, 142
- External auditors, 134, 137, 142
 - client continuation ethics decision tree for, 139
- External whistleblowing on
 - timing (*see also* Whistleblowing), 2
 - controls, 14
 - dependent measures, 11, 18
 - design, 9, 16–17
 - direct effects, 8–9, 15–16
 - hypotheses, 7–8
 - independent variables, 11, 18
 - limitations and future research, 23
 - literature review, 4–7
 - manipulation checks, 11, 18–19
 - materials and procedures, 10–11
 - open-ended interview, 15
 - participants, 9–10, 17–18
 - supplemental analysis, 13, 20–22
 - tests of hypotheses, 12–13, 19–20
- False Claims Act, 5, 7
- Familiarity heuristic, 135
- Federal tax position standards, 34–35
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), 100
- Financial performance information, 129*n*1
- Financial reporting process, 114, 121
- Firm
 - business models, 115, 121
 - innate characteristics factor, 114
- Fraternization, 89
- Fraud, 4
 - dollar amount of, 11
 - materiality, 3, 8
 - reporting, 8, 16, 20, 28
 - statistics, 2
 - Triangle, 4
- Free-form participant comments, 46
- Frivolous standard, 34, 52
- 14th Global Fraud Survey: Corporate Misconduct—Individual Consequences*, 6
- Fukuoka Stock Exchange, 104
- GE fire KPMG, 140
- Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), 102–103, 114, 120, 128
 - policies, 119
- Global Fraud & Risk Report* (Kroll), 2, 25*n*1
- Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), 62
 - framework, 58–59, 66, 73
 - guidelines, 60
 - standards, 62
- Government and not-for-profit organizations (GNFPs), 58, 73, 78–79
 - number and quality, 59
 - purposes of/motivation for GNFPs sustainability reporting, 70–71
 - sustainability reporting in, 58
 - sustainability reports distribution, 68

- Government sector, 58
- Higher earnings quality, 101, 110–113
 - accounting principles producing, 118
 - accounting standards producing, 118–121
- Honesty, 142
- In-accordance (IA), 60, 62, 64
- Independent *t*-tests, 42
- Individual-to-individual PCs, 84–87
- Innate characteristics, 101–102
- Integrated reporting framework (IR framework), 59, 61
- Integrity, 142
 - of management, 138
- Internal controls regulation, 101
- Internal Revenue Code (IRC), 34
- Internal Revenue Service (IRS), 33
- International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA), 134
 - NOCLAR Items, 138
- International Federation of Accountants, 134
- International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), 102
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC), 61
- Investor Protection Fund, 2
- Japanese CFOs, 101–102, 110, 114
- Japanese firm's earnings quality, 101
- Japanese generally accepted accounting principles (J-GAAP), 102
- Linkway Trading, 141
- Long-term audit relationships, 86–87
- Management
 - approach, 63
 - performance, 108
 - system, 108–109
- Mandatory auditor rotation, 90
- Mandatory moral virtues, 142
- Mandatory rotation, 89–90
- Mechanical Turk (M-Turk), 9–10
- “Mental map” of organizational or professional relationships, 83
- Misrepresentation of earnings, 103
- Misstatement of earnings, 121–122
- Monetary incentives, 7
- Monetary sanctions, 30
- “More-likely-than-not” standard, 34, 52
- “Mutual” contract, 82
- Nagoya Stock Exchange, 104
- National Hockey League (NHL), 78
- Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), 78
- Non-compliance with laws and regulations (NOCLAR), 137
- Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), 70
- Not frivolous standard, 34, 52
- Not-for-profit organizations (*see also* Government and not-for-profit organizations (GNFPs)), 58
 - sustainability reporting in, 58
- Office of Whistleblower (OWB), 2
- Opinion shopping, 90
- Organizational culture, 83–84
- Organizations, 63
- Original information, 29–30
- Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 70
- Pat Blogger, 29
- PCAO B AS2405 Illegal Acts, 138
- Pearsons' correlations, 45
- Performance, 110
- Performance indicators, 63
- Pierce County's initiative and reporting, 72
- Professionals, 9

- Psychological contracts (PCs), 82–83
 - auditor PCs, 84–93
 - culture of accounting firms, 93–94
 - organizational culture and, 83–84
 - SOX Act, 84–93
 - suggestions, 94–95
 - impact of unmet expectations, 91
- Psychology of continuance decision, 134–135
- Public accounting, 82
- Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), 87–89, 136

- Qualitative materiality, 145
- Quality Control for Firms, 136
- Quality Control Standard 8, Section 10 (QC 10), 136
- Questionable behaviors, 145–146
- Questionnaire, 104

- Realistic possibility standard, 34, 52
- Reasonable basis, 34
 - standard, 34, 52
- Red flags, 103–104
- Related action, 30
- Reporting, 2–3, 6
 - incentives, 7
 - likelihood of, 11
 - timing of external, 7–8
- Research, 6, 11
 - participants, 18
- Respondent firms, 105–106
- Response rate, 104
- Responsibility
 - auditor's, 95
 - corporate fiduciary, 142
 - ethical, 89
 - fiscal, 74
 - social, 61–62
- Revenue–liabilities approach, 110

- Sapporo Stock Exchange, 104
- Sarbanes–Oxley Act (SOX Act), 84–93
 - J-SOX, 130*n*5
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), 2, 29, 87, 92, 100
 - whistleblowing awards, 6
- Self-justification hypothesis, 135
- Shared organizational culture, 83
- Signal aggressive tax compliance
 - behavior, 38
- Small and medium-sized organizations (SME organizations), 69
- Small Business and Work Opportunity Tax Act (2007), 35
- Social Accountability International (SAI), 79
- Social desirability bias, 11, 14
- Social responsibility of
 - businessperson, 61
- Societal PCs, 90
- Stakeholders, 60–61
 - in aluminum industry, 70
- Standards, 34
- Statements on Standards for Tax Services (SSTS), 32
- Strategy and profile disclosures, 63
- Substantial authority, 34, 36
- Substantial authority standard, 32, 34, 52
 - migration from realistic possibility to, 35–36
- Survey
 - research, 103
 - study, 123
- Sustainability, 72
- Sustainability disclosure database (SDD), 59
- Sustainability reporting, 58
 - analysis of reporting incentives/ purposes, 70–73
 - application level distribution, 69
 - characteristics, 67–70
 - data collection, 66
 - distribution, 67–68
 - in GNFPs, 58
 - in not-for-profit organizations, 58
 - purposes of/motivation for GNFPs, 70–71
 - prior studies, 65–66

- Sustainable earnings, 111–112
- Tax
 - Position Standards, 52–56
 - practitioners, 37, 48–49
 - preparers, 32
 - professionals, 38
 - standards, 49
- Tax Relief and Health Care Act, The (2006), 5
- Term limit, 86
- Tokyo Stock Exchange's Intermediate Classification*, 104
- Top-down approach, 121
- Transparency, 142
- Treasury Decision 9436, 35
- True audit client, 89
- U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), 5, 141
- Unaggressive CPA, 33
- United States Postal Service (USPS), 72, 79
- US gross domestic product (GDP), 58
- US Securities and Exchange Commission, 137
- US Sustainable Investment Forum (US SIF), 79
- Vested interests, 89
- Virtues, 142
- Web-based surveys, 49
- Whistleblower, 30
- Whistleblower incentives, 5
- Whistleblowing, 4–6
 - awards, 7
 - conflict and delays, 6–7
- Women's Economic Self-Sufficiency Team (WESST), 72, 79
- Wrongdoing, 6–7
- XYZ Group, Inc., 28–30