| Academic marketing researchers, | Adobe Advertising Cloud TV, |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| implications for, 43–45 | 156–160 |
| Accessibility, 288–289 | Adobe Analytics. See Omniture |
| Accountability, marketing | SiteCatalyst |
| impact of advertising intensity, 224 | Advertising Intensity, 217–218 |
| classification of selected articles, | Affective commitment, 271–272 |
| 202 | Affiliation network, 235 |
| contributions, 220-225 | Age, 83–84 |
| correlations and descriptive | Aggregate data approaches |
| statistics, 221 | to attribution, 161–162 |
| data and sample, 217 | advantages and disadvantages of |
| environmental performance, | aggregate data, 163–164 |
| 197–198 | Aggregate store and service portfolios, |
| limitations and future research | 297–301 |
| directions, 225-226 | Akaike information criterion (AIC), |
| marketing actions, 200 | 218–220 |
| marketing actions impact on | Algorithmic approach, 170 |
| environmental and social | Algorithmic attribution models, |
| performance, 216–220 | 171–173 |
| impact of marketing intensity, 222 | Ambience, 287–288 |
| measures and models, 217-220 | American Customer Satisfaction |
| measuring environmental and | Index (ACSI), 51–52, |
| social performance, | 283–284 |
| 202–204 | ANOVA, 122 |
| method, 201–204 | Antecedents of brand equity, 77, |
| related frameworks, 200-201 | 79–80 |
| results, 204, 216, 220 | Artificial intelligence, 5 |
| impact of selling, general, and | Asset, 19–20 |
| administrative expenses | Attention, interest, desire, and |
| intensity, 223 | action model (AIDA |
| social performance, 198-200 | model), 51 |
| Accountable, 49–50 | Attitudinal drivers of WOM, 264 |
| Accountants, 16 | data, 264 |
| Accounting, 16, 19 | drivers of word of mouth, 266–267 |
| equation, 27–29 | nature of word of mouth, 265 |
| performance model, 142-144 | simultaneous impact of, 267–273 |
| rules, 21–25 | Attractiveness, 286 |
| Activism, 4 | Attribution modeling |
| Actors, 235, 247 | channel analysis breadth in, |
| actor-level analysis, 256–257 | 165–169 |

| data handling approaches in, | Brand(s), 75–76 |
|--|---|
| 156–165 | awareness, 52 |
| experimentation and optimization | consideration, 52 |
| of, 174–178 | continuity, 168–169 |
| optimizing, 176–178 | display sales, 87 |
| process for successful adoption of, | evaluation, CFMs for, 64–66 |
| 178–180 | feature sales, 87 |
| testing efficacy of attribution | liking, 52 |
| models, 174–176 | management, 77 |
| | positioning, 77, 79–80, 83, 86, 93 |
| Backfire effects, 269 | 96 |
| Backward-looking, 5 | price deal sales, 87 |
| Balance sheet, 17 | structure, 79–80, 82–83, 93 |
| Baseline market-based assets creation, | valuation, 30, 66 |
| 33 | Branding, 75–76 |
| Bidirectional network ties, 235 | strategy, 79–82, 85, 91, 93 |
| Big data, 4 | structure, 86 |
| handling, 182–183 | Brick-and-mortar stores |
| Bimodal network, 235 | conceptual framework, 286–289 |
| Binary framework, 116 | data and methodology, 289–295 |
| Blame, 112 | future research implications, |
| Brand deal | 310–311 |
| depth, 87 | managerial and societal |
| level, 87 | implications, 309–310 |
| Brand equity, 6, 64–65, 75–77 | related literature, 283–285 |
| data and measures, 84–85 | results, 296–306 |
| determinants of price and volume | Budget allocation, 184 |
| premium, 81–84 | Buzz, 9 |
| distribution of revenue premium, | Buzz, 7 |
| 89–90 | Calculative commitment, 272–273 |
| and effect on price, volume, and | California Consumer Privacy Act |
| revenue premiums, 91–99 | (CCPA), 162 |
| extended framework on brand | Cash flow statement, 17 |
| | |
| equity and antecedents, 77, 79–80 | Category assortment, 82–83 Category characteristics, 84, 87, 96, |
| large number of manufacturer | 99 |
| brands endangered, 99–102 | Category-level measure, 87 |
| model fitting, 87–89 | Cause-related marketing, 4 |
| model formulation, 85 | Centrality, 255 |
| price vs. volume premium, 90–91 | Channel analysis breadth in |
| relative importance of brand equity | attribution modeling, |
| antecedents on price and | 165–169 |
| volume premiums, 102–105 | Citizen Satisfaction Index (CSI), |
| revenue premium, 81 | 283–284 |
| Brand value chain (BVC), 78 | City centers, 280 |
| Brand value creation, 78 | Click, 169 |

| Customer feedback metrics (CFMs) |
|-------------------------------------|
| 50–51 |
| impact of, 60 |
| for brand evaluation, 64–66 |
| challenges of, 66–68 |
| classification, 52–54 |
| dashboard creation, validation, |
| and usage, 62–64 |
| deep dive into customer-focused |
| feedback metrics, 54–56 |
| drivers of, 59 |
| future, 68–70 |
| history of, 51–52 |
| to make marketing more |
| accountable, 61–66 |
| in McKinsey's customer decision |
| journey model, 53 |
| relation CFMs with customer |
| behavior and firm perfor- |
| mance, 56–61 |
| relation to retention, 64 |
| selection to include in dashboard |
| 61–62 |
| Customer influence value (CIV), |
| 194–195 |
| Customer knowledge value (CKV), |
| 194–195 |
| Customer lifetime values (CLVs), 6, |
| 50, 194–195 |
| Customer referral value (CRV), |
| 194–195 |
| Customer satisfaction, 54–55, 270 |
| Customer valuation, 30 |
| Customer-focused feedback metrics, |
| deep dive into, 54–56 |
| customer satisfaction, 54–55 |
| net promoter score, 55–56 |
| other CFMs, 56 |
| Customer-focused metrics and |
| analytics, 5 |
| analytics, 5 |
| Dashboard creation, 62–64 |
| Data handling approaches in |
| attribution modeling, |
| 156–165 |
| Density, 255 |
| |

| Dependent variable, 85 | economic balance sheet of business |
|--|--|
| Descriptives, 296–297 | look like, 31–32 |
| Direct traffic reduced approach, 170 | financial statements, 32–43 |
| Distribution, 296 | IAS, 25–26 |
| Diversity, 283–284 | material complaint, 26–29 |
| Dollar Index, 86 | Financial performance (FP), 194 |
| Dynamic capabilities of market | Financial reporting, 5–6 |
| orientation, 130–131 | Financial statements, 32–43 |
| offentation, 150–151 | adjustment for market-based assets |
| Economotrical model 55 | approach, 33–34 |
| Econometrical model, 55 Economic balance sheet of business | example of adjusting, 34–41 |
| | implications for academic |
| look like, 31–32 | marketing researchers, |
| challenge of value arising, 31–32 | 43–45 |
| market-based assets, 31 | |
| Economic commitment, 272–273 | implications for senior marketers, 42–43 |
| Education, 83–84 | |
| Efficacious attribution models, 174 | information needed to adjust, 32–33 |
| Ego network, 235 | |
| Emotions, 271 | limitations of adjusting for |
| Environmental disclosure score, 218 | market-based approach, |
| Environmental performance (EP), 8, | 40–42 |
| 194, 197–198 | Firm performance, 8–9 |
| marketing actions impact on, | CFMs with, 56–61 intrafirm networks and, 245 |
| 216–220 | measures of, 4–7 |
| measuring, 202–204 | The state of the s |
| Extended framework on brand | Firms, 49–50, 67 |
| equity and antecedents, | balance economic profits, 194 |
| 77–80 | marketing, 153–154 Forced commitment, 272–273 |
| External attribution, 113 | Forward-looking, 5 |
| External reporting, 16 | Fractional attribution. See Shapley |
| | |
| Facebook, 156–160 | value attribution (SVA) FTA, 170 |
| spend, 161 | F1A, 1/0 |
| Failure and multiple loci causal | Canaral Data Protection Population |
| agents, 114–115 | General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 162 |
| Financial accountants, 23 | Generally accepted accounting |
| Financial accounting, 16–18 | |
| accounting conventions fail to | principles (GAAP), 17–18 |
| represent economic reality, | |
| 21–30 | German Federal Statistical Office, 289 |
| accounting created to solve, 18–21 | Global Financial Crisis, 21–22 |
| actions to measure and report | Google, 156–160 |
| intangible value, 29–30 | Google Applytics 156 160 |
| concerns about accounting rules, | Google Analytics, 156–160 |
| | Granhouse gas (GHG) 8 218 |
| 21–25 | Greenhouse gas (GHG), 8, 218 |

| Handelsverband Deutschland (HDE), 281 | Last touch attribution (LTA), 155, 170 |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Heterogeneity among store and ser- | Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA), 69 |
| vice provider categories, | Lead, 171 |
| 302–305 | Learning, 131–133 |
| Heuristic approach, 170 | Level of analysis, 249, 256–257 |
| Heuristic attribution models, | Linking marketing, 5 |
| 171–173 | Locus, 114 |
| Hierarchical Bayes model, 275 | , |
| Hierarchical interaction, 170 | Managerial accounting. See also |
| Hierarchical interaction attribution | Financial accounting, |
| (HIA), 171, 173 | 16–17 |
| Household | Manufacturer brand |
| affluence, 83–84 | joint effect of store image and |
| size, 83–84 | manufacturer brand equity, |
| HR professionals, 24 | 118–123 |
| Hypothesized model, 139 | in product failures, 114–115 |
| Tijpetitetitet medel, 103 | Market asset, 6 |
| Identifiable asset, 19 | Market exploration, 133, 140 |
| Income statement, 17 | Market orientation, 7, 49–50, 130, |
| Independent variables, 85–87 | 132, 150–151 |
| Indirect economic value, 194–195 | accounting performance model, |
| Industry-level CFMs, 53–54 | 142–144 |
| Inner city, 280, 284 | capabilities, 130–136 |
| attractiveness, 283–284 | capability, 140 |
| Instagram, 156–160 | data, 139–140 |
| Intangible assets, 22, 27 | exploration, 133, 141 |
| Inter-organizational networks, 8–9 | framework, 136–139 |
| Internal attribution, 113 | fundamental market orientation |
| Internal reporting, 16 | capabilities, 132–136 |
| International Accounting Standards | limitations and future research, 147 |
| (IAS), 25–26 | measures, 140–142 |
| International Accounting Standards | research method, 139–142 |
| Board (IASB), 17–18, 25 | results, 142–146 |
| International Integrated Reporting | self-reported performance model, |
| Framework (IRF), | 145–146 |
| 200–201 | tacitness, 135, 141 |
| International Organization for | tests of measure validity and |
| Standardization (ISO), 66 | reliability, 142 |
| Interorganizational networks, | theoretical contribution, 146–147 |
| 246–247 | Market-based assets approach, 31 |
| Intrafirm networks, 8–9 | adjusting level of assets related to |
| and firm performance, 245 | marketing, 34 |
| and min periormanee, 243 | adjustment for, 33–34 |
| Key performance indices (KPIs), | baseline market-based assets |
| 51–52 | creation, 33 |
| J1 J2 | Cication, 33 |

| estimating marketing investments | Material complaint, 26–29 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| and marketing expenses, | Materiality, 20 |
| 33–34 | Multichannel attribution models, 176 |
| limitations of adjusting for market- | Multichannel data-driven attribution |
| based approach, 40-42 | models |
| Marketers, 5–6 | background literature, 155–156 |
| Marketing, 3, 10 | brand continuity, 168–169 |
| academia, 4 | channel analysis breadth in |
| adjusting level of assets related to, | attribution modeling, |
| 34 | 165–169 |
| analytics, 4 | Clickstream and aggregate data |
| expenses, 33–34 | approaches to Attribution, |
| intensity, 217–218 | 161–162 |
| investments, 33–34 | common attribution models, |
| mix, 84, 87, 99 | 169–173 |
| SNR review in, 235-247 | data handling approaches in |
| Marketing accountability, 194 | attribution modeling, |
| marketing accountability for mar- | 156–165 |
| keting and nonmarketing | experimentation and optimization |
| outcomes, 3–4 | of attribution models, |
| measures of firm performance, 4-7 | 174–178 |
| measures of social interaction, 7–9 | future research agenda for |
| measures related to societal out- | marketing attribution, |
| comes, 9–10 | 180–185 |
| Marketing Accountability Standards | offline-only attribution research, |
| Board (MASB), 30 | 166 |
| brand investment, 76 | Omnichannel attribution research, |
| Marketing actions, 200 | 167–168 |
| impact on environmental and | online-only attribution research, |
| social performance, | 166–167 |
| 216–220 | process for successful adoption of |
| Marketing attribution, 154–155, 157, | attribution models, |
| 160 | 178–180 |
| differences in, 169–171 | Multiloci attributions, 113 |
| future research agenda for, | cause of product failure, 123 |
| 180–185 | |
| | establishing multiple loci, 117–118 |
| Marketing-Performance Outcome | failure and multiple loci causal |
| Chain framework, 130, | agents, 114–115 |
| 136–137, 139 | joint effect of store image and |
| hypothesized model of effects, 138 | manufacturer brand equity, |
| Markov chain, 170 | 118–123 |
| Markov chain attribution (MCA), | limitations, 124–125 |
| 171–172 | recommendations, 125 |
| Matched market testing, 175 | role of manufacturer brand and |
| Matching, 22–23 | store image in product |
| costs and revenues, 23 | failures, 114–115 |

| theoretical and managerial | Private label, 76, 79–80, 82–85 |
|--|---|
| implications, 124 | Proactive market orientation, 130 |
| theoretical background and | Probit, 170 |
| hypotheses, 114 | attribution, 171 |
| Multitouch, 169 | Probit model attribution (PMA), 172 |
| | Procter and Gamble, 84 |
| National brands, 90 | Product attractiveness, 52, |
| National business systems (NBS), 199 | 136–139 |
| Net promoter score (NPS), 50, 55-56 | Product awareness, 52 |
| Networks | Product failures, 112, 114 |
| dynamics and evolution, 256 | manufacturer brand and store |
| mechanisms, 251 | image in, 114–115 |
| mode, 248 | Product innovativeness, 136–139, |
| ties, 235 | 142 |
| and WOM, 236–244 | Product superiority, 141 |
| Node, 235 | Product variations, 82 |
| Nonexhaustive list, 52 | Product-as-a-Service, 133 |
| Nonreduced traffic reduced approach, | Profit maximization, 184 |
| 170 | Profitability, 142–144 |
| North American Industry | Proportional hazard, 170 |
| Classification System | Proportional hazard attribution |
| (NAICS), 274–275 | (PHA), 171, 173 |
| | Purchase price allocation (PPA), 22 |
| Offline-only attribution research, 166 | 1 |
| Omnichannel attribution research, | Quality vs. quantity effects in cities' |
| 167–168 | store and service provider |
| Omnichannel frameworks, 183 | portfolios, 305–306 |
| Omniture SiteCatalyst, 167 | portionos, 303 300 |
| Online-only attribution research, | Random coefficient simultaneous |
| 166–167 | equation model (RCSEM), |
| Open-ended questions, 117 | 87–89 |
| OpenStreetMap (OSM), 282, 289–290 | Reactive market orientation, 130 |
| Operationalization, 290–291 | Retail agglomerations, 281–282, |
| Opportunity, 171 | 284–285 |
| Ordinary least squares (OLS), 291 | Retail brand equity, 112–113 |
| Organizational theory, 233–234 | Return on assets (ROA), 218–220 |
| Overstating intangibles, 18 | Return on investment model (ROI |
| D: 450 | ` |
| Pixer, 170 | model), 56–58, 156, 160 |
| Place branding, 283–284 | Return on sales, 136–139 |
| Position-based attribution (PBA), 171 | Revenue, 81 |
| Price premium, 6, 78, 85, 90–91 | Revenue premium. See also Price |
| brand equity and effect on, 91–99 | premium, 6, 76, 78, 81 |
| determinants of, 81–84 | brand equity and effect on price, |
| relative importance of brand equity | volume, and, 91–99 |
| antecedents on, 102–105 | distribution of, 89–90 |

| Root mean square error of | direct measures of network |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| approximation (RMSEA), | processes, 258 |
| 142 | interorganizational networks, |
| | 246–247 |
| Sale, 171 | intrafirm networks and firm |
| Sales growth, 142 | performance, 245 |
| Salesperson performance, 8–9 | level of analysis, 249 |
| social networks and, 244-245 | level of analysis, 256–257 |
| Same-session vs. 7-day, 170–171 | network boundaries, 248–249 |
| Self-brand connection (SBC), 273 | network dynamics and evolution, |
| Self-reported performance model, | 256 |
| 145–146 | network impact, 249–251 |
| Self-reported profitability, 142 | network mechanisms, 251 |
| Selling, general, and administrative | network mode, 248 |
| expenses Intensity (SG&A | practical considerations of, |
| Intensity), 217–218 | 247–251 |
| Senior marketers, implications for, | research agenda, 254 |
| 42–43 | research agenda and classification |
| SERVQUAL, 53 | schema, 251–258 |
| Shapley value, 170 | review in marketing, 235–247 |
| Shapley value attribution (SVA), | selective review of marketing |
| 169–171 | subareas using, 237–243 |
| Sharing economy platforms, 133 | social networks and salesperson |
| Shopping streets, 281–282 | - |
| Single touch, 169 | performance, 244–245 |
| Social capital theory, 245 | Social networks, 8–9, 235 |
| Social disclosure scores, 218 | Social performance (SP), 8, 194, 198, |
| Social function, 9–10 | 200 |
| Social interaction, measures of, 7–9 | marketing actions impact on, |
| Social listening, moving to, 68–70 | 216–220 |
| Social network research (SNR), 8–9, | measuring, 202–204 |
| 233–235 | Societal well-being, 4 |
| actors, 247 | Stakeholders, 49–50 |
| antecedents of network formation | Standardize reporting, 19 |
| and position, 257–258 | Stimulus–Organism–Response model |
| classification schema, 251–252 | (SOR model), 286 |
| compositional performance, 253 | Store and service portfolio, 287 |
| compositional process, 254 | Store image |
| configurational performance, | joint effect of store image and |
| 252–253 | manufacturer brand equity |
| configurational process, 253-254 | 118–123 |
| consequences of social networks, | in product failures, 114–115 |
| 249 | Strength of weak ties (SWT), 233–234 |
| cross-network interactions, | Structural equation model (SEM), |
| 255–256 | 291–295 |
| beyond density and centrality 255 | Structural VAPA 171 |

Swedish Customer Satisfaction Barometer (SCSB), 51–52 System dependence, 135–136

Tacit knowledge, 135
Tangible assets, 27
Teachability, 135–136
Text-mining technique, 68
Time decay attribution (TDA), 171
Touch, 169
Town center management (TCM),
281
Twitter, 156–160
TwitterScraper, 69

Underreporting of intangibles, 18
Unidirectional network tie, 235
Uniform resource locator (URL), 167
Urbanity, 283–284
US Financial Accounting Standards
Board (FASB), 17–18
US Patent and Trademark Office
(USPTO), 85

Validation, 62–64 Valuation, 21 model, 76 Vector autoregression (VAR), 170 Vector autoregression attribution (VARA), 171-173 Vector of dummy variables, 86 Video-mining technique, 68 Virtual reality, 5 Vis-à-vis manufacturer, 116 Voice-mining technique, 68 Volume premium. See also Revenue premium, 6, 78, 90-91 brand equity and effect on, 91-99 determinants of, 81–84 model, 86 relative importance of brand equity antecedents on, 102-105

Web analytics tool, 156–160 Word of mouth (WOM), 8–9, 235, 249–250, 264 drivers of, 266–267 nature of, 265 networks and, 236–244

YouTube, 156–160