Note: Page numbers followed by "n" indicate notes.

Age gap relationships of age heterogamy, 60 research, 61 Age-discrepancy relationships, role of schooling and social class in significance of, 71–73 Age-discrepant unions, 71 Age-gap role of schooling and social class in significance of, 71–73 in three generations of study, 67–71 Age-heterogamy, 221, 223 age-gap and age discrepancy in three generations of study, 67–71 gender inequality in couple relationships, 73–74 method and procedure, 65–66 results, 66–67 role of schooling and social class in significance of age- gap and age-discrepancy relationships, 71–73 theory and concepts, 62–65 Age-homogamy age-gap and age discrepancy in three generations of study, 67–71 gender inequality in couple	method and procedure, 65–66 results, 66–67 role of schooling and social class in significance of age-gap and age-discrepancy relationships, 71–73 theory and concepts, 62–65 Analytic strategy, 136–137 Arranged marriage, 130–131, 145n2 "Baby mamas" concept, 249 "Base-born" concepts, 242 Bastards concepts, 242 Births, 215 Black individuals, 3 Black young adults, 4 Breadwinner system, 43 Breakup distress casual sexual relationships, 27–28 committed sexual relationships, 26–27 current study, 28–29 data analysis, 31 hypotheses for breakup distress, 29 interaction effect, 34–35 materials and measures, 30 method, 29 participants, 29 procedure, 30 relationship differences, 34 results, 31–32 sample demographic information, 30 sex differences, 33–34 strengths and limitations, 36–37 Breakups, 27
generations of study, 67–71 gender inequality in couple	sex differences, 33–34

Casual sex(ual) relationships, 27–28,	Conjugal relationships civil war-time
33	(1967–1970), 243
Causal frameworks, 217	cohabiting, 243
Changes	surge of "illegitimate" children
constellation of, 112	and loss of true identity,
in marriage and family laws, 125	243
Children, 55	Conjugal Relationships
Christianity, 245	Contemporary Times
Civil unions, 181	(2001), 247
Civil war, 235	side chicks, 247–248
Civil war-time (1967–1970)	Conjugal relationships post-civil war
conjugal relationships civil	(1971–1980), 244
war-time, 243	sugar daddies and sugar mummies
family structure and conjugal	244–245
relationship, 242	Conjugality
family structure-step-parent and	challenges to ideas of family
single-parent family system,	and conjugality from
242–243	transnational "young"
"Close range" variables, 82	experience, 52–53
Coding process, 156	creative adjustments as lens for
Cohabitation, 86, 215	interpreting family life,
Cohabiting unions, 243, 250	45–46
Colima Metropolitan Zone (ZMC), 65	at distance, 42
Colima-Villa de Álvarez Metropolitan	(in)stability of bond, 50–52
Zone, 77 <i>n</i> 4	interwoven life stories, 47–50
Collective agency, 166	methodology, 46-47
Colorism role in romantic relationship	space, 53–55
quality, 4–6	theoretical background, 43-46
Committed romantic relationships, 33	Contemporary families in United
Committed sexual relationships, 26–27	States, 226
Community Development Chairman	Contemporary times family structure
(CDC), 238	and conjugal relationship,
Companionate love, 51	245
Companionate marriage, 132–133,	conjugal relationships
145 <i>n</i> 1	contemporary times,
Competence-promoting parenting, 3	247–248
Concept marriage market, 62	monogamy, single and step-parent
Concubines, 240–242	family, 245–247
Confucian societies, 132	single and step-parent family, 247
Conjugal relationship pre-civil war	Continuous independent variables, 9
(1901–1966), 240	Control variable, 136
concubines, 240–242	Correlations, 9
Conjugal relationships, 242	coefficients, 94
intimacy mutations over time in,	Costa Rica, 152
53–55	total fertility rate in, 157

Costa Rican households	data analyses, 204
economic investment strategy,	descriptive results and
161–166	comparisons, 204
household types, 166–170	divorce/separation, 220
literature review and theoretical	evaluation of findings, 205
framework, 153–155	female participants, 204–205
marriage and fertility strategy,	gender, 206–207
157–161	limitations, 207–208
methodology, 155-156	literature review, 198
profile of persons and households	male participants, 205
interviewed, 157	measures, 203
results, 157	method, 202
Couple relationships, gender	number, 204
inequality in, 73–74	practical implications, 208-209
Courtship process, 117	predictors of divorce number by
time of, 116–117	gender, 204–205
Covariates, 8	procedure, 202–203
Creative adjustments, 43–46	remarriage and, 198-199
as lens for interpreting family life,	results, 204
45–46	sample, 203
Croatia, 84	sociodemographic characteristics,
Croatian families, 83	203
Croatian population, 84	sociodemographic predictors, 200
	Domestic partnerships, 181
Darker skin individuals, 16	
Dating process, 117	East Asia, 130
Delicate process, 88	contemporary marriage in, 132
Demographic dynamics, 42	educational effect on marriage in, 131
Demographics, 2, 85	marriages in, 132
Dependent variables, 7–8, 135	East Asian country, 134
Developmental idealism, 134	East Asian Social Survey (EASS), 135
education as developmental	Economic investment, 156
idealism generator, 133–134	strategy, 161
evolving with marriage cohorts,	Education, 246
134–135	as developmental idealism
Developmentalism-marriage, 139	generator, 133–134
framework, 130, 133	Education-developmentalism-
Direct trajectory, 179	marriage, 134
family relationships and dynamics	Educational strategy, 156, 160
on, 189–190	El Colegio de la Frontera Sur
wedding ceremonies on, 185–186	(EDCOSUR), 47
Distress, 101, 103	El Colegio de México (COLMEX), 47
Divorce	Emotional attitude, 51
common reasons for, 200, 203	Emotional Sexual Regret, 31
current study, 202	Endogeneity problems, 136

Facebook, 55	Gender inequality, 76
Family, 234	in couple relationships, 73–74
Nigeria's Civil War as catalyst of	Generation Z, 34–36
change in, 235–236	college students, 27
relationships and dynamics on	Guadalajara Metropolitan Zone
short and direct trajectory,	(AMG), 65, 78 <i>n</i> 5
189–190	
scholars, 130	Hierarchical linear regressions (HLR), 9
structure, 242	Hierarchical logistic regression
Family development theory (FDT),	models, 204
236	Higher-order marriages (see
Family life, 43–46	Remarriages)
of Mrs Alpízar, 169	Hispanic, 53
of third generation of interviewees,	Household, 152–153
159	income, 164, 200-201
trajectory, 157-158, 166	types, 166–170
of women, 166	Huston's socio-ecological model, 104
Farming, 245	
Fertility strategy, 156–157	Igbo
Financial resources, 201	family structure, 234–235
First Demographic Transition (FDT),	society, 234
155	Illegitimate children, 250
First-order marriages, 198–199	surge of illegitimate children and
2 220 22 22 22 23 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	loss of true identity, 243
Gay Men	Illegitimate concepts, 242
family relationships and dynamics	Independent samples <i>t</i> -tests, 9
on short and direct	Independent variables, 8, 136
trajectory, 189–190	Inductive approach, 185
and lesbians, 184	Industrialization, 83
life changes on long and winding	INEGI 2020 statistics on marriage, 62
trajectory, 190–192	Infidelity, 200
life-course trajectories and	(In)stability of bond, 50–52
transitions, 181–183	Institutional Review Board, 183
literature review, 179	Intended childbearing, 227
methods, 183–185	Intercept, 101
results, 185	Intergenerational changes, 152
transitioning into same-sex	Internal conversation, 45
marriage, 179–181	Interwoven life stories, 47
wedding ceremonies on long and	living apart together in low-income
winding trajectory, 187–189	migration, 47–50
wedding ceremonies on short and	Intimacy, 43–46
direct trajectory, 185–186	mutations over time in conjugal
Gender	relationships, 53–55
predictors of divorce number by, 204	Intraclass correlation (ICC), 96
theory, 64	Introduced marriage, 130–132, 134

Learning process, 47 Legal relationship recognition, 181 Lesbians family relationships and dynamics on short and direct trajectory, 189–190 descriptive statistics, 94 level 1 and level 2 predictors of marriage as behavioral ecosystem, 8 marriage characteristics or level 2 variables, 86–87 method, 87
Legal relationship recognition, 181 marital quality, 89–93 Lesbians marriage as behavioral ecosystem, 8 family relationships and dynamics on short and direct trajectory, 189–190 method, 87 marriage as behavioral ecosystem, 8 marriage characteristics or level 2 variables, 86–87 method, 87
Lesbians marriage as behavioral ecosystem, 8 marriage characteristics or level 2 on short and direct variables, 86–87 method, 87
family relationships and dynamics on short and direct variables, 86–87 trajectory, 189–190 method, 87
on short and direct variables, 86–87 trajectory, 189–190 method, 87
trajectory, 189–190 method, 87
1'C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
life changes on long and winding model, 84
trajectory, 190–192 partners' characteristics or level 1
life-course trajectories and predictor variables, 85–86
transitions, 181–183 present study, 83–84
literature review, 179 results, 101–102
methods, 183–185 results, 94
results, 185 sample, 87–88
transitioning into same-sex variables and measures, 88
marriage, 179–181 Marital relationships in FDT, 237
wedding ceremonies on long and Marriage, 14, 110, 132, 179, 234
winding trajectory, 187–189 decision-making rights, 120–123
wedding ceremonies on short and duration, 102–103
direct trajectory, 185–186 formation in Vietnam, 123
Levene's tests, 8 market, 199
Lexicon, 242 strategy, 156–157
Life-course trajectories and system, 43
transitions, 181–183 Marriage cohorts, 136
Lighter skin individuals, 16 developmental idealism evolving
Lighter skin young adults, 16 with, 134–135
Liminal rituals, 48 Mate selection, 112
Livelihood dynamics, 245 criteria of, 113, 117–120
Long trajectory, 179 process, 113
life changes on, 190–192 Material goods, 169
wedding ceremonies on, 187–189 Materialism, 86
Mating, 132
Marital attitudes, 8 Meaning, life-course trajectories, 181
and impact on relationship quality, Means, 9
4 Mexican heterosexual men, 62
Marital harmony, 103–104 Mexican heterosexual women, 62
Marital Horizon Theory, 6 Mexico
Marital partner wellbeing, 86 age-gap and age discrepancy in thre
Marital processes, 86 generations of study, 67–71
Marital quality, 82, 88 (see also age-heterogamy in three
Romantic relationship generations of heterosexua
quality) women and men in, 62
aims of study, 84 gender inequality in couple
analytic strategy, 94–100 relationships, 73–74

method and procedure, 65–66	data management and analysis, 238
results, 66–67	Igbo family structure, 234–235
role of schooling and social class	methodology, 237
in significance of age-	observed changes and dynamism in
gap and age-discrepancy	Abia's Family Structure, 236
relationships, 71–73	post-civil war family structure
theory and concepts, 62–65	and conjugal relationship,
Migrants, 48	243–245
Migration, 42	pre-civil war-family structure
Ministry of Culture, Sport and	and conjugal relationship,
Tourism (MOCST), 114	238–240
Mistresses (see Side chicks)	result, 238
Modern idealism, 132	study area, 237
Monogamy, 240, 245–247	study design, population, and
family structure, 243–244	technique, 237
MPF, 216	theoretical background, 236–237
Multilayered-interdependent causal	Nuclear families, 44, 234
pathways, 82	
Multilevel linear regression modeling,	Partners
96	personality, 85
Multiple logistic regression models,	value system, 86
136	Pathways to marriage in East Asian
Multiplication of currency agencies,	Societies
48	analytic strategy, 136–137
Multivariate analysis, 113	control variable, 136
	data and method, 135
National culture, 53	dependent variable, 135
National Longitudinal Study of	descriptive findings, 137–138
Adolescent to Adult	developmental idealism evolving
Health, 2	with marriage cohorts,
National Survey of American Life	134–135
(NSAL), 6	different pathways to marriage,
National Survey of Family Growth	131–133
(NSFG), 218	education as developmental
Nigerian Civil War	idealism generator, 133–134
case study, 234	findings, 137
as catalyst of change in family,	independent variable, 136
235–236	literature review, 131
civil war-time family structure	model estimations, 139–142
and conjugal relationship,	robustness test, 143
242–243	Perceived Relationship Quality
contemporary times family	Component (PRQC), 7
structure and conjugal	Physical age, 64
relationship, 245–248	Physical health, 118
data instrument and procedure, 238	Physical violence, 200

"Picture-perfect" romantic	Romantic relationship breakups, 27
relationships, 34	Romantic relationship quality (see
Polygamous families, 234	also Marital quality)
Polygamy, 239, 246	analysis, 8–9
Polygyny, 238–240, 243	correlation matrix, means, and
Population-household relationship, 152	standard deviations for
Post-Civil War (1971–1980)	study variables, 10
conjugal relationships post-civil	current study, 6–7
war, 244–245	dependent variables, 7–8
family structure and conjugal	independent variables, 8
relationship, 243	marital attitudes and impact on
family structure-predominately	relationship quality, 4
monogamy, 243–244	measures, 7
Post-familial family, 44	method, 7
Post-hoc simple slopes analyses, 9	participants, 7
Post-hoc <i>t</i> -tests, 33	procedure, 7
Pre-civil war (1901–1966)	relationship quality in racially/
conjugal relationship pre-civil war,	ethnically minoritized
240–242	individuals, 3–4
family structure and conjugal	results, 9
relationship, 238	role of skin tone and colorism, 4–6
family structure–predominately	
polygyny, 238–240	Satisfaction subscale, 8
Primary sampling unit (PSU), 136	Schooling role in significance of age-
	gap and age-discrepancy
Qualitative approach, 183	relationships, 71–73
Quality of Marriage Index (QMI), 88	Second demographic transition
	(SDT), 152
Racial/ethnic minoritized status, 8	Second-generation women, 163, 165
Racially/ethnically minoritized	Self-initiated marriage, 130
individuals, relationship	Self-initiated relationship formation, 132
quality in, 3–4	Sequentially ordered transitions, 182
Radical inclusivity, 36	Serial cohabitation, 215–216
Red River Delta, 115	Sexual partner, 35
Relationship quality, 7	Sexual regret, 28, 31
Relationship status, 28	casual sexual relationships, 27–28
Relationship-centered problems, 201	committed sexual relationships,
Remarriages, 198	26–27
prevalence of, 199	current study, 28–29
Reproduction strategies, 152, 154	hypotheses for sexual regret, 29
of Costa Rican households, 152	interaction effect, 36
of households, 154	relationship differences, 35-36
Residual, 101	results, 31–33
Resource theory, 65	sex differences, 35
Robustness test, 143	strengths and limitations, 36–37

Short trajectory, 179	Third-generation people, 164
family relationships and dynamics	Traditional norms, 70
on, 189–190	Traditional pre-socialist society of
wedding ceremonies on, 185-186	Vietnam, 110
Side chicks, 247–248	Trajectories concept, 181
Single-parent family system, 242–247	Transition to marriage, 182
Skin tone role in romantic relationship	Transitional events concept, 236
quality, 4–6	Transnational "young" experience,
Snowball sampling technique, 155–156	challenges to ideas of family
Snowballing technique, 237	and conjugality from, 52–53
Social class role in significance of age-	Transnational conjugality, 45
gap and age-discrepancy	Transnational family, 42, 44
relationships, 71–73	•
Social investment, 156	Unintended births, 215, 220, 228
strategy, 164	Unintended childbearing, 214
Social media, 27	disentangling, 216–218
Socialistic sociopolitical system, 83	Unintended higher-order births
Socio-ecological model, 83–84,	background, 215
86, 103	current study, 218–219
Sociodemographic predictors of	data, 219–220
divorce, 200	disentangling unintended
age, 201	childbearing, marital ties,
education, 201	family complexity, and
income, 201	instability, 216–218
length of marriage, 202	measures, 220–221
presence of children, 200	multivariate findings, 223–226
race and ethnicity, 201–202	results, 221–223
Socioeconomic context, 152, 155	Union stability
Socioeconomic status (SES), 201	background, 215
Stable couple, 43	current study, 218–219
Stable transnational family, 44	data, 219–220
Standard deviation (SD), 9	disentangling unintended
State's court system, 202	childbearing, marital ties,
Statistical package for social scientists	family complexity, and
(SPSS version 20), 96	instability, 216–218
Step-parent family system, 242–247	measures, 220–221
Stepfamilies, 199, 206, 208, 216	multivariate findings, 223–226
Stress, 46	results, 221–223
Subsequent divorce, 198, 200, 204	Unions, 64
Substance abuse, 200	dissolution, 220
Sugar daddies and sugar mummies,	United States, 216
244–245	Universidad Autónoma de México
concept of, 250	(UAM Iztapalapa), 47
Symbolic investment, 156	Universidad Nacional Autónoma de
strategy, 164	México (UNAM), 47

Urbanization, 83 Vietnam Population and Housing US Supreme Court, 178 Survey (2016), 112 Vietnamese society, 120 Vietnam criteria of mate selection, 117–120 Wedding ceremonies on long and winding trajectory, data, 112-113 findings, 113 187-189 on short and direct trajectory, getting acquainted and courtship before marriage, 115 185-186 marriage decision-making rights, Western idea of love-based 120 - 123companionate marriage, marriage formation in, 112 number of potential partners, 117 Western-based "companionate marriage", 131 reasons for getting married, 113-114 Winding trajectory, 179 time of courtship process, 116-117 life changes on, 190–192 ways of getting acquainted, 115-116 wedding ceremonies on, 187-189 Vietnam Family Survey 2006 (VFS Women, 34 2006), 112, 118, 120 determinism, 50 Vietnam Household Living Standards Survey (2001), 112 Young adults, 2, 7, 26–27