

INDEX

- Ableism, 190
- Access, 11
- Accessibility policies, 11
- American Community Survey (ACS), 47–48
- American Diabetes Association (ADA), 162–163
- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA), 140
- Art-making, 11
- Artistry, 8
 - approach, 9
 - context, 8–9
 - themes, 10–20
- Artists, 8
- Asian Values Scale-Revision (AVS-R), 166
- Assisted living facilities, 110, 115
- Asylum for Idiots, 62
- Autism spectrum disorder (ASD), 104
 - background, 104–105
 - common challenges, 105–108
 - data collection and analysis, 108–109
 - demographic data, 110–111
 - interview procedure, 109–110
 - quality of life after integration in institution and relationship with parents, 112–113
 - quality of life after person's integration in supported living home, 111–112
 - research methodology, 108–110
 - results, 110–113
- Autonomy, 28
- Autotheory, 16, 20
- Balance, 8
- Best interest of the child, 63–66
- Biological parents, 71
- Black scholarship in Canada, 66
- Bodymind, 14–15
- Burdens, 149–153
- Care, 2
 - distribution, 29–30
 - principles in changing context of family, 74–76
 - theory, 74
 - work, 3
- Caregivers, 32–34, 186
 - couples parenting child with disabilities, 190–195
 - couples' work arrangements and gendered care in families, 193–195
 - experiences of everyday life and parenting, 192–193
 - parenthood as othering, 195–197
 - parenthood in historical disability discourses, 188–190
- Caregiving, 15
- Caring society, 29–30
- Child behavior, 124
- Child custody, 69
- Child welfare system, 3
- Childcare centers, 69
- Childhood disability policy, 64–65
- Childhood Studies, 63–64
- Children with disabilities, 30–31
- Clinical family, 141–142
- Coding, 108–109
- Coleman v Attridge Law*, 30–31, 37
- Commodification of care, 65–66, 71, 73
 - best interest of child, 65–66
 - care principles in changing context of family, 74–76
 - constructions of disability, 64–65

- de-constructing disability
 - institutions, 67–74
- good parent, 66
- status, 66–67
- Communication cycles, 123
- Community organizations, 69
- Constrained choice, 2–3
- Constructivist grounded theory, 90
- Content analysis (CA), 143–145
 - analysis, 144–145
 - data, 143–144
 - deductive codes, 145
 - disabilities, families, and burdens, 149–153
 - limitations, 146
 - medical conceptualizations of disability and family, 141–142
 - results, 146–153
 - social science and research on disability and family, 142–143
 - support systems for families with disabled family members, 149
 - views of burden in medical discourse on disability and family, 142
- Coping, 188–189
- Couples' work arrangements, 193–195
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), 38
- COVID-19 pandemic, 44
 - analyses, 49–50
 - control variables, 49
 - data and participants, 47–48
 - dependent variable, 48
 - disability, 44–45
 - food insecurity, 44–46
 - household structure, loneliness, and food insecurity, 46–47
 - independent variables of interest, 48–49
 - limitations, 56–57
 - methods, 47–50
 - results, 50
 - variables, 48–49
- Creativity, 2, 4, 16, 20
- Crip sociology of fucking, 210–212
- Crip theory, 206
- “Crip time” concept, 12
- Critical Disability Studies, 63–64
- Cultural representation, 86
- Cultural sociology, 143
- Cultural values, 163
- Custodial care, 73
- Custody status, 67
- Cyprus, 104–105
 - supported living in, 107–108
- Decision-making
 - interaction of Korean group with, 168
 - across treatment conditions, 168
- Democratic equality, 36
- Dependency, 2–3, 10, 13
- Desire, missing discourse of, 209
- Developmental disabilities, 204
- Developmentalism, 64–65
- Diabetes mellitus, 162–163
- Disability, 1, 3, 8, 28, 40, 44–45, 84–86, 140–141, 149, 153, 205–206
 - approach, 9
 - arts, 9
 - community, 8
 - constructions of, 64–65
 - context, 8–9
 - cultural competence, 29–30
 - de-constructing disability institutions, 67–74
 - disability-centered organizing framework, 2
 - esthetics, 18
 - experts, 186
 - medical conceptualizations, 141–142
 - missing discourse of, 209
 - policy, 47–48
 - scholarship, 1–2
 - services, 69

- social science and research on, 142–143
- studies, 143
- textual definitions, 69–71
- themes, 10–20
- views of burden in medical discourse on, 142
- Disabled artists, 9, 11, 17, 19
- Disabled child, 64–65
- Disabled childhoods, 63
- Disabled Peoples Interest Groups (DPIs), 197
- Disabled Peoples Organizations (DPOs), 197
- Disabled scholars, 64
- Disabled sexualities, 204
- Discrimination, 30
 - by association, 30, 37, 40
 - informal care, 31, 34, 37
- Documentation, 70
- Dominated care, 39–40
- Doulia, 36–37
- Down syndrome, 123–124

- Economic vulnerability, 10
- Effective parental decision-making, 125
- Embodied experience, 84–85
- Embodied mothering, 14
- Embodiment, 2
- Emerging adulthood, 105–106
- Emotions, 122
 - analysis, 128–129
 - being in haze/fog, 129
 - fear, 130
 - feeling overwhelmed, 130
 - focus group format, 127–128
 - frustration, 130–131
 - joy in small things, 131–132
 - literature review, 122–125
 - method, 127–129
 - in parental sensemaking, 125–127
 - participants, 127
 - protectiveness, 132
 - recruitment, 127
 - results, 129–133
- sadness, 131
- staying in moment, 132–133
- Episode profiling, 90
- Ethics of care, 28, 31
- Everyday life and parenting, experiences of, 192–193

- False dichotomy, 3, 10–11
- Familial disorder, 153
- Familial role terms, 145
- Familial structure terms, 145
- Familiarity as moderator of decision-making, 170–175
- Family, 8, 141, 149, 153, 162
 - approach, 9
 - context, 8–9
 - involvement for people with ASD, 105–106
 - medical conceptualizations, 141–142
 - relationships, 1, 3
 - social science and research on, 142–143
 - studies scholarship, 1–2
 - system, 105
 - themes, 10–20
 - views of burden in medical discourse on, 142
- Family-centered decision-making (FCDM), 164
 - data analyses, 167
 - measures, 166–167
 - methods, 165–167
 - results, 167–175
- Federal poverty level (FPL), 49
- Field recording, 20
- Financial state, 107
- Food insecurity, 44–47, 52, 55
 - COVID-19 pandemic and, 45–46
 - social isolation and, 55–56
- Food insufficiency, 44
- Formal caregivers, 28–29
- Free market economy, 36

- Gender, 85–86, 186–187
- Gendered care in families, 193–195

- Gendered experiences of
 - reproduction, 86
- General familial terms, 145
- Genetic screening and testing, 86
- Good parent, 63–64, 66
- Guilt, 188–190

- Health and wellness, 106–107
- Healthy sexuality, 205
 - social construction of, 205
- Historic institutionalization, 62
- Home and community-based services (HCBS), 55–56
- House of Delegates (HOD), 144–145
- Household structure, 46–47, 52, 55
- Hybrid identity, 17
- Hyperglycemia, 86–87
- Hypoglycemia, 86–87

- Identity, 2, 4, 16, 20
- Inclusive Early Childhood Service System (IECSS), 63
- Indigenous programs, 69
- Individualized education plan (IEP), 123
- Informal care, 31, 34, 37
- Informal caregivers, 28–29
- Information processing model, 122–123
- Information seeking, 129
- Injustice, 30
- Institutional care, 63
- Institutional decision-makers and practitioners, 65–66
- Institutional ethnography approach, 67–74
- Institutionalization, 64
- International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF), 107
- Interpersonal violence, 2
- Intersectional identity, 17

- Ketoacidosis, 86–87

- Labor of participation, 71

- Level of Contact scale, 167
- Liberal egalitarianism, 34–35
- Life course, 84
- List of Issues prior to Reporting (LOIPR), 104
- Loneliness, 46–47
- Long Term Services and Supports (LTSS), 149

- Masculinity, 18
- Masturbation, 205
- Meaning-making, 140
- Medical journals, 141–142
- Medicalization of sex and sexuality, 206–208
- Medicine, 140
- Mothering, 14

- National Survey on Health and Disability (NSHD), 47–48
- Noise, 146

- Open-ended questions, 110
- Othering, parenthood as, 195–197
- Overburdening, 188–190

- Parental sensemaking, emotion in, 125–127
- Parenthood
 - in historical disability discourses, 188–190
 - as othering, 195–197
- Parenting, 11–12
- Parents, 122, 124, 186
 - behavior, 124
 - coping skills, 126
 - information processing, 124
- People with disabilities, 45
- Perceived effectiveness, 166
- Perceived satisfaction, 166
- Perceived severity as moderator of decision-making, 168–170
- Personal assistance services (PAS), 46–47, 55
- Personal relationships, 1
- Perspective taking, 166

- Physicians, 140, 142
 Pleasure, missing discourse of, 209
 Political theory of care, 30–31
 Politics of care, 31
 Post-COVID-19 pandemic, 10–11
 Poverty, 188
 Power differentials, 63
 Power of custodial status, 73–74
 Public discourse, 86
- Qualitative research methods,
 108–109
 Qualitative-oriented CA approach,
 145
 Quality of life, 104
 after integration in institution and
 relationship with parents,
 112–113
 after person's integration in sup-
 ported living home,
 111–112
 Quantitative research methods,
 108–109
- Recognition of caregivers, 33
 Registered status, 67
 Rehabilitation, 187
 Relational identity, 16
 Relationships, 1
 Reproduction, 84
 Reproductive trajectories, 62
 Risk management in reproduction,
 85–86
- Scientific motherhood, 124
 Self-decision-making models, 163–164
 Sensemaking model, 122–123
 Settler-colonialism, 63
 Severity scale, 166
 Sexism, 190
 Sexual expression, 204
 Sexual health, 205–207
 Sexual minority respondents, 56
 Sexuality, 205–206
 Shared decision-making (SDM), 163
- Social construction of “healthy
 sexuality”, 205
 Social forces, 84
 Social institutions, 68
 Social isolation and food insecurity,
 55–56
 Social model of disability, 28–29
 Social science and research on
 disability and family,
 142–143
 Social Security Disability Insurance
 (SSDI), 49
 Social Security Income (SSI), 49
 Sociological research, 143
 Sort and Sift approach, 90
 Special intervention discourses, 187
 Special parents, 187, 197
 Status, 66–67
Steve Law, 37
 Stigma, 190
 Stigmatization, 190
 Structural violence, 2
 Subjectivation, 4, 186, 195, 197
 Suffering, 188–189
 Support systems for families with
 disabled family members,
 149
 Supported living in Cyprus, 107–108
- Textual records, 70
 Tolerating uncertainty, 125–126
 Topic monitoring, 90
 Tragedy, 188–190
 Treaty Indian status, 67
 Type 1 diabetes (T1D), 84–85
 body layer, 95–97
 data analysis, 89–90
 data collection, 88
 disability, gender, and risk
 management in
 reproduction, 85–86
 as empirical case, 86–87
 findings, 90–97
 interactional layer, 93–94
 methods, 87–90
 recruitment and sample, 88

- reflexivity, 90
- sample demographic
 - characteristics, 89
- self-layer, 94–95
- structural and cultural layer, 91–92
- study design, 87–88
- Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM),
 - 162–163
- UN Convention on the Rights of
Persons with Disabilities
(UN-CRPD), 189–190
- United Nations Convention on the
Rights of the Child (CRC),
 - 65
- Vulnerability, 2, 10, 13
- Women with disabilities, 45
- Work/life balance, 33
- Workplace accommodations,
 - 2–3
- World Health Organization (WHO),
 - 162–163