INDEX

Abstraction hierarchy, 176–177, 181 - 185for case cart preparation, 186 for sterilization, 184 Alarm desensitization, 137-138 suppression, 169 "Alert, verbal, pain, unresponsive" scale (AVPU scale), 236-237 Algorithm-based patient assessment approaches algorithm development approaches, 235-236 considerations. 236-237 intensive care illness severity scores. 234-235 literature review of, 230-237, 231 - 233pre-operative and post-anesthesia care level risk assessment scores. 230-234 "track and trigger" algorithms, 235 See also System-based approaches for hospitals American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS NSOIP), 230-234 calculator, 238 American Heart Association (AHA), 161 American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA), 230 Bartlett's Test of Sphericity, 105

Bartlett's Test of Sphericity, 103 Beryl Institute, 256 "Best Care at Lower Cost" report, 198 - 199Blood-stream infections (BSIs), 38 Burnout, 100–101, 102 professionals, 104 structural equation model, 109 Cardiac monitoring days (CMD), 161.163-164 Care coordination, 239, 240-241 Case cart preparation, 174, 176-177, 179-180, 193-194 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 62-63 Fluview, 63–64 Clinical decision support tools, 77 environment, 104-105 patient-safe working environments, 101 quality, 259-260 reasoning skills, 243 space design, 77 Computer-based GIS applications, 62 - 63Conservation of Resources Theory, 104 Continuous cardiac monitoring (CCM), 160-161 guidelines for effective, 161 interventions, 161–162 methods, 162-164 orders, 162 patient classification, 163 policy, 169 results, 164-165

Continuous learning health care system, 198-199 case setting, 201-202 cultural catalyst and deterrent themes and sub-themes. 216 - 218cultural enabler and motivator themes and sub-themes, 207 - 215cultural evolution, 204–214 data analysis and interpretation, 202 - 204practice implications, 223 procedures and data sources, 202 study design overview, 200-201 theory, 200 See also Health care Cultural/culture, 200 catalysts, 206, 216-218, 221 deterrents, 206, 216-218, 221 evolution model, 204-214

Data

consumers, 245–246 data-driven approaches, 235–236 producers, 245–246 source review and open coding, 202–204

E-prescribing system, 12-13Early warning scores (EWS), 235 Electronic health record (EHR), 63-64, 67, 161 Electronic medical record (EMR), 136-137, 237 Emergency department (ED), 160-161boarding rate, 163-164, 166-169Ethnography, 199, 200-201, 203 Exploratory factor analysis (EFA), 105Extended Control Model (ECOM), 130, 131, 140 Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA), 194 Failure to rescue events (FTR events), 228 - 229analysis goals and assessment approach, 122-124 case example, 121–122 improvement investigation, 229 leverage point analysis, 134-144 macro-level systems analysis, 124 - 134mitigation system analysis, 121, 147 opportunity for systems analysis of patient safety programs, 120 - 121system requirements development and intervention selection, 144 - 146Foley catheters, 45, 46 General Surgical Care, 129 - 130Geographic information systems (GIS), 62-63 analysis of research gaps, 66-67 challenges to implementation of Hospital GIS, 70, 71 complexity assessment using NASSS framework, 67-69 design/methodology/approach, 64 - 65future directions, 72 GIS for infection prevention, 65 - 66hospital GIS for outbreak investigation, 66 limitations, 71–72

originality/value, 72 targeted literature review, 65–66 Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS),

236–237 Goodness of fit index (GFI), 107–109, 111 Health care delivery in modern hospitals, 146 delivery units, 37-38 design, 20-21 environment, 20-21, 40 landscape, 20 process model development to guide change, 22–23 PRODUCE, 23-31 professionals, 12, 104 services, 199 systems approach in, 38–39, 120, 198 - 199Health information technology (HIT), 38, 75-76, 92-93 Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), 36 central venous catheter, 48 complex system risk of infection model. 39-41 fractional factorial experimental design, 58-59 mixture design and contour plot development, 53-57 model validation and optimization, 42 risk, 47 systems approach in health care and infection control. 38 - 39systems model to simulate and identify effective interventions, 43 - 47See also Hospital-acquired infection (HAI) Hospital design, 3, 5, 10 Hospital GIS, 63-64, 65, 67, 72 challenges to implementation of, 67-69,71 for outbreak investigation, 66 See also Geographic information systems (GIS) Hospital-acquired infection (HAI), 62 See also Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs)

Human factors, 75–77 analysis to derive design requirements, 84-85 application to physical space design, 78 approach, 101 data collection, 79-85 evaluating candidate design plan against design requirements, 85 expert evaluation, 85-87 findings, 87-91 identifying vulnerabilities in proposed design, 85-87 implications, 92-94 in-depth individual interviews, 80 - 83Interim Build design concept assessment, 91 methods, 79-87 metrics development, 87 NICU redesign effort, 78–79 observations, 83-84 recommendations, 91 revisions to design plan, 91 stakeholder meeting, 79-80 Illness-severity scores, 230 Individuals and Moving Range charts (I-MR charts), 164 Infection prevention, GIS for, 65-66 Institute for Healthcare

Institute for Healthcare Improvement's Framework for Spread, 200 Institute of Medicine (IOM), 75–76 Integrated system approach to design, 239–248 clinical workflow design, 241–245 control model of patient care, 242 flowchart of notification process, 245 general information flow concept, 243

information systems infrastructure model, 245–246

initial stakeholder preferences, 240

modeling, 130-132 observations from current state data and algorithm literature review, 239-240 pilot implementation planning, 246 - 248scope and objectives, 240-241 Intensive care unit (ICU), 126, 162, 230 See also Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NNICU); Surgical critical care unit (SICU) Interim Build design, 79-80, 81-83 Intraorganizational Model for Developing and Spreading **Ouality Improvement** Innovations, 200 Intravenous medication (IV medication), 48

- Job Demands-Resources Model (JD-R Model), 101–102, 104, 112
- Latent safety threat (LST), 21-22Law of Stretched Systems, 166-169 Lean Six Sigma-based competency development program, 123, 238 Leverage point analysis, 134-144 current state analysis, 138-140 driver diagram, 145 dynamic system modeling, 140 - 141evidence review, 134-138 excerpt of requirements document, 146 inpatient rescue data analysis, 139 integrated fishbone diagram, 143 people and teams, 134-136 simulation of patient deterioration, 141 stakeholder feedback and analysis integration, 142-144

technology and socio-technical issues, 136-138 See also Macro-level systems analysis Licensed nursing assistants (LNAs), 129 - 130Life Safety Program, 127 Light(ing), 7-8optimization, 7-8Machine learning approaches, 235 Macro-level systems analysis, 124-134 current state analysis, 127-130 evidence review, 126-127 institutional-level baseline data for general and FTR specific metrics, 128 integrated system modeling, 130-132 process and resource mapping, 129 stakeholder knowledge elicitation and identification of key system leverage points, 132 - 134See also Leverage point analysis Macro-system enablers, 206-215, 220-221 motivators, 206-215, 221 Maslach's burnout measure, 113–114 Medical error(s), 2, 3, 75-76 in obstetric departments, 100-101 Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), 38-39

Model for Understanding Success in Quality (MUSIQ) model, 200 Modified Early Warning Score

(MEWS), 238 Multi-drug resistant organisms (MDROs), 62

National Early Warning Score (NEWS), 235 Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NNICU), 29–31, 77 redesign effort, 78–79 Noise reduction, 8–9 Nonadoption, abandonment, scaleup, spread, and sustainability framework (NASSS framework), 64–65 complexity assessment using, 67–69 Nudging to raise compliance, 12–13

to raise compliance, 12–13 solutions, 4–5 Nurses, 243–244

Obstetric care, study of, 99 hypothesized model of study, 105 item statements, 106 limitations, 113–114 method, 105–106 model fit indices, 111 one-way analysis of variance, 110 results, 107–111 theoretical framework, 103–105 Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center (OSUWMC), 162

Patient assessment, 239 integrated systems approach to design, 239-248 literature review of algorithmbased patient assessment approaches, 230-237 score calculations, 236 study hospital current state system information, 238-239 tools, 229 Patient experience, 256 analysis, 258 data collection. 257 demographics, 258 metrics of success. 258 multidimensional definition, 256 - 257performance measures beyond satisfaction scores, 259 satisfaction, 256

sharing of data, 259 survey instrument, 257 Patient safety, 93, 100, 228, 259-260 good practices for improving, 7 - 13opportunity for systems analysis of, 120-121 Patient Safety Indicator 4 (PSI4), 127 Pediatric Index of Mortality (PIM2), 39 - 40Performance improvement (PI), 257 Performance measurement, 247-248 Portsmouth Physiological and Operative Severity Score for the Enumeration of Mortality and Morbidity (P-POSSUM), 234.237 Post Anesthesia Recovery (PAR), 234, 237 Post-anesthesia care unit (PACU), 30-31, 129-130, 234 Process for design of user-centered environments (PRODUCE), 23 - 31assessing outcomes, 28 construction, 25-26 design, 23-25 post go-live, 27 pre-opening, 26-27 putting PRODUCE into practice, 31 scaling model, 28–29 women's services and neonatal intensive care, 29-31

Quality of patient experience, 259–260 Quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Score for Sepsis (qSOFA), 235

Registered nurses (RNs), 80–81 Rescue activation, 239 system, 127 Risk algorithms, 237 analysis model, 40 model, 40-41, 42 risk-based index, 40 scoring mechanisms, 47 Safety, Communication, Operational **Reliability and Engagement** survey (SCORE survey), 129 - 130Salmonella enteritidis, 66 Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Score (SOFA Score), 235 Sexton's tool version, 113-114 Simplified Acute Physiology Score 3 (SAPS 3), 234 Stakeholder knowledge elicitation, 132–134 meeting, 79-80 Steam sterilizers, 179 Sterile processing abstraction hierarchies. 181 - 185approach, 176 basic system description, 177-180 case cart interruptions, 189-191 department (SPD), 174, 175 design and setting, 175-176 general observations, 189 generalizability, 192 HTA, 181 limitations, 194-195 modeling, 176-177 performance sampling, 177, 189 - 192tray defect data, 192 variance matrix, 185-189 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), 105 - 106of burnout, 109 Structural model of burnout (SMOB), 104 Subjective, Objective, Assessment, Plan (SOAP), 244

Supporting services, 23–24 for patient experience, 256-257 Surgical critical care unit (SICU), 129 - 130Surgical site infections (SSIs), 62 System-based approaches for hospitals, 6-7 conceptual framework, 3-6 creating health-promoting environment, 5-6 directly reducing risks, 4 encouraging intuitive, safetypromoting behavior, 4-5good practices for improving patient safety by design, 7-13in health care, 229 noise reduction, 8-9 nudging to raise compliance, 12 - 13optimizing latent conditions, supporting staff performance levels, 4 optimizing lighting, 7-8reduction of interruptions, 9-11 standardization. 11-12 See also Algorithm-based patient assessment approaches Systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), 234 Systems engineering, 229, 242 approaches, 121 Systems Engineering Initiative for Patient Safety (SEIPS) model, 23-24, 38, 101, 176-177 SEIPS 2.0 model, 20-21, 22, 77

"Track and trigger" algorithms, 230, 235–236

Unit support assistants (USAs), 129–130 University Health System Consortium, 163–164 Urinary tract infections (UTIs), 38 User-centered design, 21–22, 23, 25 User-centered health care environment, 22

Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE), 39

Variance matrix, 176–177, 185–189 for case cart preparation, 190–191 for sterilization and case cart preparation, 187–188 Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), 38, 45 Visibility, 80, 87 effective, 85–87

Welch's two group t-test, 164 Women's services and neonatal intensive care, 29–31 World Health Organization (WHO), 8