

# INDEX

- Academic Learning Transformation Lab (ALT Lab), 110
- Accounting
  - careers, 232
  - educators and practitioners, 136
  - equation model, 68
  - foundations, 51
  - professionals, 109
  - role in society, 51
  - textbooks, 68–73
- Accounting class
  - AMC, 25, 28
  - engagement in accounting, 26
  - enhancing learning through games, 30–31
  - gameplay descriptive statistics, 37
  - level of student engagement, 24
  - method, 31–36
  - perceived value and ease of use on
    - game adoption, 30
  - effect of perceived value and
    - perceived ease of use on
      - gameplay, 39–40
  - pre-survey descriptive stats, 37
  - results, 37
  - serious games in accounting, 27
  - student characteristics effects on
    - game adoption, 37–39
  - student characteristics to facilitate
    - game adoption, 28–29
  - student characteristics to inhibit
    - game adoption, 29–30
  - student learning experience
    - enhancement, 40–42
  - TAM, 42–43
  - variable list, 47
- Accounting education, 24, 51, 201, 223
  - benefit, 105
  - bigger picture, 105–106
  - learning, 103–104
  - literature, 73–75
  - unanswered questions, 106–107
- Accounting Education Change Commission (AECC), 204
- Accounting Information Systems*, 52
- Accounting Mobile Challenge (AMC), 25, 28
- Accounting student selection of taxation
  - background information and
    - research questions, 224–228
  - research design and data, 228–229
  - results, 229–239
  - student enrollment in masters of
    - taxation programs, 223
- Active learning, 49–50
- American Accounting Association (AAA), 201–203
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), 138, 156, 206, 222
  - data on trends in accounting enrollment, 222
  - Tax Education Committee, 204
- American Taxation Association (ATA), 203, 222
- Aphorism, 94
- Association of International Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), 51
- Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB), 16, 110, 180
- Asynchronous teaching mode, 95
- Attendance, 121
  - and exam results, 126–128
  - and feelings of engagement, 128–129
- Audience-response system (ARS), 116

- Audit standards governing auditing estimates, 12–13
- Auditing (AUD), 109–110, 138, 156
  - and Practice, 179
  - VM and disclosures, 12–13
- Auditors
  - annual impairment assessment, 11
  - auditors need to understand valuation, 14
  - current audit standards governing auditing estimates, auditing FVM and disclosures and using work of specialists, 12–13
  - fair value of hard-to-price financial instruments, 12
  - integrating work of specialists, 13
  - need to understand valuation, 14
  - retains responsibility, 10
  - valuation of intangible assets acquired, 11
- Balance sheet equation, 73
- Bloom's taxonomy, 158
- Business Environment and Concepts (BEC), 138, 156
- Business leaders, 50
- Capital markets, 4
- Careers in accounting, 51
- Cash flow information, 67
- Cash-to-accrual reconciliation matrix, 68, 70, 73
- Center for Teaching and Learning Excellence (CTLE), 110
- Certified Management Accounting (CMA), 158
- Certified Public Accountant examination (CPA examination), 136, 156, 178, 204–205
  - background and research question, 157–159
  - candidates, 178
  - challenges, 189–191
  - content, 136
  - demographic profile, 186–187
  - educational background of respondents, 187
  - exam-related characteristics, 187–189
  - factors related to success on, 179–181
  - literature review and hypothesis, 137–139, 179–184
  - logistic regression analysis, 191–194
  - method, 139–140, 159–161
  - performance, 136
  - requirements, 178
  - research method and data, 185–186
  - research questions, 184–185
  - results, 140–149, 161–165, 186–194
  - socioeconomic conditions and ethnicity, 181–182
- Choice of tax specialization, 223
- Collaboration, 28
- College coursework, 138–139
- Competition, 28
- Competitive transformational play group (CMTP), 29
- Computer games, 24
- Cooperative transformational play group (COTP), 29
- Cost Accounting* course, 50, 52–53 (*see also* Forensic accounting)
- Cost accounting SL assignment, 52
  - grading rubric, 58–60
  - implementation guidance, 53
  - in-class distribution of project, 53–55
  - learning objectives, 52–53
  - project setting, 53
  - solution, 55–58
- Cost behavior, 52
- Cost of goods manufactured schedule (COGM schedule), 52
- Cost–volume–profit (CVP), 50
- Course content, 136
- Covid adversity, 95
- Covid intervention, 97
- Covid-19, 94

- pandemic, 119–120
- Critical audit matters (CAMs), 5
  - review, 10
- Curricula, 4
- Curriculum design, 229–232
- Data analysis, 33–34
- DEBORAH game, 28
- Delphi methodology, 27
- Descriptive statistics, 123–126
- Digital natives, 28
- Discounted cash flow model, 11
- Dynamic capability, 110
- e-Learning Design Innovation
  - Group, 98
- Education(al)
  - environment, 24
  - layers, 51
  - requirements, 229–232
  - research, 27
- Educators, 51
- Engagement, 120
  - in accounting, 26
- Enhancing learning through games, 30–31
- Essential skills, 51
- Experiential learning, 49
- Face-to-face (F2F), 120
- Faculty reflections on innovative
  - practices, 111–112
- Fair market values, 4
- Fair value measurements (FVMs), 4–5
  - auditor retains responsibility, 10–14
  - proposed courses/topics, 17–19
  - review of CAMs, 10
  - review of PCAOB, SEC, and IFIAR Inspection findings, 5–10
  - university curriculum review, 14–17
- Feedback from professionals, 87–88
- Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR), 88, 138, 156
- Financial instruments, 4
  - fair value of hard-to-price financial instruments, 12
- Financial reporting, 4
- Financial statement, 4
- Flashcards (*FC*), 158, 160
- Flipped classroom model, 95, 99, 102
- Flow theory, 27
- Forensic accounting
  - background, 95–97
  - consequences and future, 99
  - course evolution, 96
  - Covid intervention, 97
  - experiences, 95
  - literature review, 94–95
  - planning for 2020–2021, 97–98
  - results for fall 2020, 98–99
- Game(s)
  - description, 31–33
  - enhancing learning through, 30–31
  - game-based learning approach, 27, 29
  - perceived value and ease of use on, 30
  - student characteristics effects on, 34–35, 37–39
  - student characteristics to facilitate, 28–29
  - student characteristics to inhibits, 29–30
- Gameplay, 25
  - descriptive statistics, 37
  - perceived value effects and
    - perceived ease of use on, 35–36, 39–40
- Grade point average (GPA), 178, 226
- Grades, 136
- Grading rubric, 58–60
- Grading rubric and average scores for
  - each item, 87
- High-stakes tests, 156
- Horizontal worksheet, 70, 73, 75–76
  - conceptual advantages of, 83–84
  - direct method, 77–79

- indirect method, 79–82
- mechanical advantages of, 82–83
- “Hot seat” approach, 24, 26
- Hybrid courses, 120
- HyFlex course design, 120–121, 123
- Immersive virtual world, 24
- In-class
  - distribution of project, 53–55
  - paper-and-pencil assessment approach, 85
- Incentives, 183–184
- Individual transformational play group (ITP), 29
- Innovative classroom technology, 29–30
- Innovative course strategies, 115–116
- Instructor-led study program (*ILP*), 158, 160
- International Forum of Independent Audit Regulators (IFIAR), 4
  - review, 5–10
- International Valuation Standards Council (IVSC), 17
- Introductory course, 226
- Journal of the American Taxation Association (JATA), 203
- K-12 education, 182
- Knowledge gap, 4–5
- Law and Theory, 179
- Learning (*see also* Student learning)
  - designer, 99
  - objectives, 52–53
  - serious games, 25
- Lecture attendance and performance, 121–122
- Lecture modality
  - background and hypotheses, 120–122
  - limitations and future research, 130
  - method, 123
  - results, 123–129
- Logistic regression analysis, 191–194
- Long-term career, 234–238
- Managerial accounting, 26
- Master of Accountancy (MAcc), 95, 204
- Maxim, 94
- MBA programs, 204
- Mentoring, 183–184
- Mobile learning, 24
- Model Tax Curriculum (MTC), 202
  - development of research questions, 207
  - historical development, 204–206
  - method, 208–209
  - results, 209–213
- Monopoly game, 24, 26
- MTC Task Force (1996), 205
- Multivariate tests, 163–165
- National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA), 136, 178, 206
- Online course, transition of F2F course to, 112–115
- Online teaching, 94
- Online testing, 112
- Pandemic pedagogy, 104
- Participants, 31
- Perceived usefulness, 25
- Perceived value
  - and ease of use on game adoption, 30
  - effects and perceived ease of use on gameplay, 35–36, 39–40
- Pinterest, 26
- Post-test cost accounting course, 62–63
- Practicing problems (*PP*), 158, 160
- Pre-survey descriptive stats, 37
- Pre-test cost accounting course, 61–62
- Predict, 139
- Principles Aren’t That Hard (PATH), 24

- Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), 4
  - review, 5–10
- Puerto Rico (PR), 178
  - educational and socioeconomic background, 182–183
- Quality of life, 238–239
- Quitch, 24
- Quizzes, 112
- Regulation (REG), 138, 156
- Remote teaching, 110
- Review course (RC), 160
- Sarbanes–Oxley Act, 109–110
- Self-study review courses, 158
- Serious games in accounting, 27
- Service learning (SL), 50
  - active learning, 49–50
  - assignment material, 50–51
  - comparison of pre-and post-test scores, 61–64
  - cost accounting SL assignment, 52–61
  - literature review, 51–52
  - results of cost accounting assignment, 61
- Short-term career, 232–233
- Social media-based assignment, 26
- Society, accounting role in, 51
- Specialization, 51
- Statement of cash flows (SCF), 67
  - accounting education literature, 73–75
  - accounting equation model, 68
  - accounting textbooks, 68–73
  - article’s innovative contribution, 75–76
  - assessment of effectiveness, 85–88
  - extant pedagogy, 68
  - implementaton guidance, 77–85
  - limitations and suggestions for future research, 88
- Stepwise regression models, 24
- Student characteristics
  - effects on game adoption, 34–35, 37–39
  - to facilitate game adoption, 28–29
  - to inhibit game adoption, 29–30
- Student learning, 111
  - experience enhancement, 36, 40–42
  - objectives, 85–86
- Student(s)
  - engagement, 104, 111
  - journey mapping, 94–95
  - performance, 120
  - question analysis, 103
  - student-centric education, 101
  - written feedback comments from, 86
- Study methods, 156
- Study notes (SN), 158, 160
- Survey instrument, 168–175
- Synchronous teaching mode, 95
- T-account analysis, 68
- Target operating income (TOI), 58
- Task-based simulations, 138
- Tax courses, 204
- Tax education, 201
  - historical context, 202–204
- Tax knowledge, 202
- Taxation, 221
- Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), 25, 27, 30, 33, 42–43
- Theory of Planned Behavior
  - approach, 226
- 3D virtual learning environments, 27
- Traditional lecture group (TRA), 29
- Transaction-by-transaction
  - worksheet, 73, 74, 75–76
- Turbulent environments, 110
  - faculty reflections on innovative practices, 111–112
  - innovative course strategies, 115–116
  - transition of F2F course to online course, 112–115

- Univariate tests, 161–163
- University curriculum review, 14
  - findings, 16–17
  - pace of change, 14–15
  - university evaluation, 15–16
- University evaluation, 15–16
- University of Puerto Rico (UPR), 182–183
- US Securities & Exchange Commission (SEC), 4
  - review, 5–10
- Valuation methods, 4
- Vertical worksheet, 70–71, 75
- Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA), 51–52, 206
- WeChat, 27
- Work of specialists, 12–13
- Written feedback comments from students, 86
- Zoom app, 98