

INDEX

Note: Page numbers followed by “n” with numbers indicate notes.

- Academic integration, 50
- Academic performance, 48–49, 59–60
 - of accounting students, 51–55
 - in higher education, 50–51
- Accountants, 178
- Accounting, 180–181
 - accreditation, 70
 - policies to strengthen accounting graduates’ ethical skills, 182–183
 - profession, 69–70
 - research, 4, 6
- Accounting Advisory Board (AAB), 154
 - coordinate with faculty, 157–158
 - courses for program, 157
 - input impact on course topics and delivery, 160
 - limitations, 174
 - literature review, 154–156
 - meeting with AAB, 158
 - post-AAB meeting activities, 158–159
 - process, 156–157
 - research, 174
 - results, 159–161
 - selection, 157
 - systems, 164–167
 - traditional accounting, 161–164
 - unstructured accounting, 167–173
- Accounting Advisory Councils, 134–135, 147n1
 - background on advisory councils, 136–138
 - demographics of survey participants’ departments, 140
 - findings, 139–145
 - gap between practice and academe, 135–136
 - limitations, 146–147
 - meeting characteristics, 142
 - membership characteristics, 142
 - membership engagement characteristics, 144
 - organizational characteristics, 141
 - research method, 139
 - suggestions for future research, 147
 - survey instrument, 139, 149–151
 - value, 145
- Accounting Behavior and Organizations Section DC (ABO DC), 7
- Accounting Case Search* website, 87
- Accounting courses, 49
 - academic performance in, 48–49, 59
 - effect of gender differences, 49–50
 - literature review, 50
 - method, 56–58
 - results, 58–61
- Accounting curricula
 - and data analytics, 70–72
 - planning to have accounting data analytics course, 72–73
 - data analytics in accounting curriculum, 73–74
 - specific accounting data analytics course, 73
- Accounting department head, 30
- AACSB, 30–31
 - compensation/salary conversion, 41–42
 - demographics, 34–36
 - difficulties and challenges, 41
 - marketing department chairs, 32–33

- reasons for becoming department head, 42–43
- research, 37–38
- responsibilities, 31
- service, 38–41
- teaching, 35, 37
- training, 35, 37
- university accounting program leader, 29–30
- workload issues, 35
- Accounting Information Systems (AIS), 7, 155
 - Educators Conference, 26–27
- Accounting program, 48
 - major challenges facing, 41
 - stakeholders in, 30
- Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), 117
 - ASC 718 standard, 84
 - ASC 805 standard, 84
- Accounting students, 118
 - ethical skills, 188–190
- Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs (ACBSP), 39
- Actual and perceived ethical foundations, 187
- Adaptability, 121
- Advanced Financial Reporting, 170
- Advisory councils, 188–190
- AMA-Sheth Foundation Doctoral Consortium, 6
- American Accounting Association (AAA), 4, 6, 84
 - allocation of doctoral consortia program time, 8
 - consortia goals and objectives, 7
 - consortia program focus, 7–9
 - DC, 5–9, 27
 - history, 6–7
 - strategic vision project, 27
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), 48, 84, 114, 134, 146, 179, 187–188
 - Code of Professional Conduct, 189
 - Pre-Certification Core Competency Framework, 86–87
- American Marketing Association (AMA), 6
- American Taxation Association (ATA), 6
 - Educators Conference, 26–27
- Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), 49, 58
 - three-way mixed, 57–58
- Analytics, 69 (*see also* Data analytics)
 - analytics-minded business graduates, 68
- Assessment
 - of effectiveness, 89–91
 - tools, 121
- Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB), 30, 34, 70, 134–135, 156, 181
 - accreditation, 137, 139, 145–146
 - International Accounting Accreditation Standard A7, 114, 118
 - Schools of Business, 137
 - Standards for Accounting Accreditation, 143
 - 2013 AACSB Accreditation Standard A7, 78–79
 - 2018 AACSB Standard A5, 78–79
- Audit Boot Camp, 26–27
- Auditing section (AUD section), 7
- Big 4 accounting firms, 68
- Biometrics, 114
- Black-Scholes option pricing, 87, 90
- Black-Scholes-Merton model, 87
- Blueprints, 86
- Bowling Green State University (BGSU), 156
- Business
 - ethics, 180–181
 - tax ethics, 100

- Business data analytics course, 73
- Business Taxation course, 162
- Call option, 95
- Career management of doctoral consortia, 19–22, 25–26
- Career management sessions, 8
- Center for Advancing Accounting Research, 27
- Certified Public Accountants (CPAs), 30, 86, 178, 182, 184
 - accounting, 180–181
 - accounting students' ethical skills, 188–190
 - advisory councils, 188–190
 - business ethics, 180–181
 - ethics education, 181
 - policies to strengthen accounting graduates' ethical skills, 182–183
 - postgraduation ethics education, 183–188
 - rules *vs.* principles distinction, 179–180
 - State CPA Society Ethics CPE Offerings, 185–186
- Class exercise, 84–86
 - assessment of effectiveness, 89–91
 - implementation guidance, 88
 - learning objectives, 86–87
 - questions and solutions, 94–98
- “Closing the loop” process, 154, 159
- Code of conduct, 179
- Code of ethics, 179
- Code of Professional Conduct, 184
- College and University ethics education, 181
- “College without walls” concept, 100
- Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of Treadway Commission (COSO), 118
- Conferences on Teaching and Learning (CTLA), 26
- Continuing professional education (CPE), 179
 - ethics requirements, 184, 187
- Cooperative learning, 100–101
- Critical thinking, 86
- Cyber Age, 118
- Cybersecurity, 114, 123
- Cybersecurity education
 - demand for cybersecurity professionals, 117–118
 - related regulations, 116–117
 - student feedback analysis, 121–123
- Data analytics, 68
 - and accounting accreditation, 70
 - accounting curricula, 70
 - accounting education literature, 68–69
 - and accounting profession, 69–70
 - environment facing, 69
 - fit in accounting curriculum, 71–74
 - method, 71
 - research questions, 70–71
 - survey for accounting text/course, 77
 - 2018 AACSB Standard A5 *vs.* 2013 AACSB Accreditation Standard A7, 78–79
- Data/information security, 117
- Demographics, 34–36
- Department head, 44*n*1
- Direct assessment, 90–91
- Doctoral consortia (DC), 4
 - career management, networking, and integration, 25–26
 - career management and networking, 19–22
 - doctoral education, 26
 - key characteristics of AAA DC, 5
 - length of DC and mix of sessions, 24–25
 - limitations and suggestions for future research, 23–24
 - organizers and sponsors, 4
 - overall survey responses, 10
 - participants, 9
 - questionnaire, 9
 - recommendations, 24–27
 - related literature, 5–6

- research, 11–17, 25
- survey and data, 9–10
- survey response rate and demographics, 11
- teaching, 17–19
- teaching initiatives, 26–27
- teaching sessions, 25
- Doctoral education, 26

- Effectiveness assessment, 89–91
 - student perceptions, 89–90
 - student scores, 90–91
- Engagement, 143
- Ernst & Young Academic Resource Center (EYARC), 68
- Ernst & Young auditors (EY auditors), 178
- Ethics, 101, 104
 - business, 180–181
 - education, 181
 - ethical cases, 187
 - ethical reasoning, 189
 - rules, 189
- Exercise-learning objectives, 89
- Experimentation, 84

- Faculty engagement, 134, 143–144, 146–147
- Faculty rankings for Business Assurance, 164
- Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR), 7, 169
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), 84, 168, 170, 179, 189
 - Accounting Standards Codification, 84
 - Codification, 87–88
- Financial reporting II, 88
- Five-point Likert scale survey, 89
- “Fraud Triangle”, 162

- Gender differences
 - of accounting students, 51–53
 - in higher education, 50–51

- studies finding transfer shock effect and gender effect, 54–55
- studies with neither transfer shock effect or gender effect, 54
- Global economic interconnectedness, 100
- Grade point average (GPA), 48, 57
- Grading, 104–105
- Group formation and dynamics, 104

- Hasselback Accounting Directory, 34, 75*n*1
- Higher-order skills, 86

- IMA Foundation, 136
- Indirect assessment, 89
- Information Systems Auditing and Control (ISAC), 156
- Innovation, 84
- Instructors, 88
- Integrity, 101
- International Accounting Section (IAS), 7
- International Accounting Standards Board, 179
- International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education (IACBE), 39
- International Federation of Accountants (IFAC), 100
- Iron Law of Liberalism, 179

- Journal editor panels, 7–8
- Journal of Information Systems Conference*, 68

- Knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSAs), 154–155
- KPMG/ATA Tax Doctoral Consortium, 6

- Lamentations, 179
- Lattice model, 87
- Learning management systems, 104
- Learning objectives (LOs), 86–87, 101–103

- Likert-type scale, 40–41, 92*n*7
- Lower-order skills, 86
- Manual accounting practices, 68
- Market Research Media, 117
- Massive, open online courses (MOOCs), 183
- Master of Accountancy curriculum (MAcc curriculum), 154, 156, 158–159
- Materiality, 115
- Michigan Specific Ethics, 183
- Mid-Atlantic Region Doctoral/ Junior Faculty Consortium (MID), 6
- Multiple regression analysis, 51
- National Association of State Boards of Accountancy (NASBA), 40
- National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 48
- National Commission on Fraudulent Financial Reporting, 187
- National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), 120
- Networking of doctoral consortia, 19–22, 25–26
- New Faculty Consortium (NFC), 7
- Non-AACSB accredited schools, 39
- Non-controlling interests (NCI), 169
- Non-technical skills, 101
- Not-for-profit organizations (NFP organizations), 116
- Online scheduling tool, 104
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), 110
- Participants, 9, 139–140
- Pathways Commission Report (PCR), 134, 146
- Pathways integration approach, 26
- Performance-based metrics, 30
- Policies to strengthen accounting graduates' ethical skills, 182–183
- Postgraduation ethics education, 183–188
- Practitioners, 135
- Pre-Certification Core Competency Framework, 84
- PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), 69, 114
- Professional
 - accountants, 180
 - development skills, 31
 - skepticism, 164
 - skills, 86
 - tax ethics standards, 110*n*1
 - “Professional Code(s) of Conduct”, 184
- Professional Ethics course, 164
- Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), 163
- Public Interest Section Doctoral Student and Early Scholar Consortium (PI), 6
- Qualtrics, 139
- “Regulatory Review and Ethics” course, 187
- Repo 105 deals, 179
- Research sessions, 7, 25
- Risk appetite, 120
- Rules *vs.* principles distinction, 179–180
- Rules-based approach, 179, 181
- SABMiller case, 103, 109
- Sarbanes-Oxley Act, 117
- Scholarly academic (SA), 30
- Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT), 49
- Shock period, 50, 57–60
 - interaction effect after, 60
- Small-and medium-size entities (SME), 116
- Social integration, 50

- Sociocultural factors, 51
- Soft skills, 101
- State Board of Accountancy Ethics
 - Licensing Requirements, 182–183
- Statistical analyses, 57–58
- Stock option modification, 84, 86–87
- Student feedback, 105
 - analysis, 121–123
 - general feedback, 108
- Student group work across borders
 - case for assignment, 103
 - cooperative learning, 100–101
 - grading, 104–105
 - group formation and dynamics, 104
 - implementation recommendations, 109–110
 - LOs, 102–103
 - student feedback, 105–108
 - students, 103–104
- Student perceptions, 89–90
- Student scores, 90–91
- Student–council member engagement, 143–144
- Survey instrument, 34
- Systems
 - AAB rankings and comments, 164–165
 - comparison of rankings, 165–166
 - faculty reaction, 166–167
- Tax, 109
 - avoidance strategies, 102
 - ethics, 104
 - planning strategies, 103
 - strategies, 105
- Taxation, 104
- Teaching
 - aspects of doctoral consortia, 17–19
 - ethics, 101
 - initiatives, 26–27
 - sessions, 25
- Teaching modules
 - implementation strategies, 120–121
 - learning goals and contents, 118–120
- Technical competence, 101
- Three-way mixed ANCOVA, 57–58, 63*n*1
- Traditional accounting
 - AAB rankings and comments, 161–163
 - comparison of rankings, 163–164
 - curriculum, 68
 - faculty reaction, 164
- Transfer shock
 - effect, 54–55
 - theory, 48
- United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), 117
- University Council for Educational Administration (UCEA), 32–33
- University stakeholders, 100
- Unstructured accounting
 - AAB rankings and comments, 167–169
 - comparison of rankings, 169–170
 - faculty reaction, 170–173
- Variable measurements, 57–58
- Vectors, 57
- Visualization of data, 69
- Ward off administrative attacks, 30
- Workload issues of accounting program leaders, 35