

INDEX

- Aggregation model, 131
- Amygdala, 158
- Analysis of Functional NeuroImages software (AFNI software), 165
- Analytic technique, 107
- Anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), 156, 158
- Anti-psychopathology, 160
- Artificial intelligence (AI), 2

- Behavioral measures, 77, 81
- Bivariate *t*-tests, 86
- Buffering effect, 107

- Chi-square tests, 86
- Coalitions, 81
- Collectivism value theory, 159
- Collectivistic values, 160
- Confirmation bias, 31–32
- Consistency, 57–58
- Construction tasks, 149
- Cox proportional hazards model, 36–40
- Crimes, 35
- Crowdsource platforms, 6
- Culture-gene coevolution theory, 160
- Cyberball paradigm, 157, 163

- Debriefing, 147
- Dilemma tasks, 149
- Direct exchange patterns, 56–58
- Discussion group studies, 138–139
 - assessment, 149
 - camera and VCR issues, 143–147
 - coding and analysis of data, 147–148
 - compensating participants, 143
 - ensuring rigor in face-to-face studies, 142–147
 - fundamental properties of face-to-face group studies, 141–142
 - open interaction studies, 140–141
 - recommendations, 151–152
 - setting, 143
 - standardized experimental setting studies, 139–140
 - technology, 149–151
- Distress, 104
- Distribution of materials, 146
- Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC), 156–157, 160–161
- Dual coping mechanisms, 157
- Dual process models, 159

- Empathy, 3, 8
- Endorsement, 81
- Evaluation, potency, and activity (EPA), 128
- Exchange patterns, 55
 - design and participants, 59
 - manipulations, 61–63
 - measures, 63–64
 - methods, 59–64
 - model, 64
 - patterns of direct exchange, perceptions of predictability and fairness, and group identification, 56–58
 - power, 53–56
 - procedures, 60
 - results, 64–68
- Exchange theory, 78
- Exclusion, 156
- Expectation states, 120
- Experiments, 80, 122
- Explicit processing, 159
- Explicit/conscious neural system, 160–161

- Extra-legal information, 25
- Face-to-face group studies
 ensuring rigor in, 142–147
 fundamental properties of,
 141–142
- Face-to-face interaction, 139
- Female-on-female crimes (FF crimes),
 36, 40
- First-order expectations, 120
- Fisek-Berger-Moore theory and
 research (F-B-M theory
 and research), 120–122
- Fisher's *C* test statistic, 64–65
- Functional Magnetic Resonance
 Imaging scanner (fMRI
 scanner), 157, 173
 demographic characteristics,
 165–166
 experiment, 162–165
 image acquisition and analysis, 165
 ROI results, 169–171
 sample, 161–162
 social exclusion and neurological
 distress responses, 157–159
 values and neurologically disso-
 ciable dual mechanisms,
 159–161
 whole-brain fMRI results, 166–169
- Gender, 2–4, 13, 25
 role congruity theory of, 28–30
 roles, 29
- Generalized linear models, 86
- Glass ceiling, 78–79
- Grand Unified Theory, 151–152
- Group identification, 56–58
- H-statistic, 105
- High-power actors, 55–56
- Human-AI interaction, 2
 literature, 4–5
- Human-AI role-taking, 5–6
 analysis, 8
 attention checks, 8
 findings, 9–15
 methods, 5–8
 stimuli, 6–7
 variables, 7–8
- Human-computer interaction, 4
- Human-machine interaction, 4
- Ideal victim, 31
- Identity
 discrepancy, 104
 theory, 99–100
 verification, 100
- Implicit processing, 159
- Implicit/automatic neural system,
 160–161
- Inconsistency model, 131–133
- Individualism, 159
- Individualistic values, 160
- Inequality, 120
- Insula, 158
- Integration of AI into human
 processes, 13–14
- Interaction, 138
- Interaction plot, 110–111
- Justice evaluations, 52–53
- Kaplan-Meier survival functions,
 35–36
- Legitimacy, 76
 analyses and results, 86–91
 challenge to measure, 77–81
 design and participants, 83–85
 hypotheses, 81–82
 processes, 80–81
 theoretical development, 77–81
 time measures, 85–86
- Lighting, 144
- Low-power actors, 55–56
- Male-on-male crimes (MM crimes),
 36, 40
- Mental models, 28–29
- Modified tit-for-tat strategy, 62–63
- Moore's theory, 121
- Morality, 156–157

- Multiplex ties, 102–103
- Multiplexity, 99, 105–106
 - contextualizing self-complexity with, 102–103
- Multivariate regressions, 107
- National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS), 26–27, 33–34
- Negatively connected power-imbalanced networks, 54–55
- Negotiated exchanges, 55
- Negotiation, 52–53
- Neuroimaging studies, 158
- Neurological distress responses, 157–159
- Neurologically dissociable dual mechanisms, 159–161
- Non-lethal crime, 42
- Nonparametric estimates, 35–36
- Normal crime, 25–26, 31
- Novel theory, 158–159
- Offender-victim dyads, 25–26
 - data and measures, 33–35
 - gendered composition, 26
 - hypothesis development, 30–33
 - importance, 27–28
 - measures, 34–35
 - survival function analysis, 35–40
- Open interaction studies, 140–141
- Operations, 145
- Pairwise Wilcoxon tests of difference, 38
- “Paper and pencil” methods, 139
- Perceptions of predictability and fairness, 56–58
- Performance expectations, 120
- Perspective taking, 3, 7
- Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS), 162
- Power, 53, 56, 76
 - in groups and organizations, 78–80
 - power-dependence theorists, 53–54
 - power-imbalanced exchange networks, 54–55
- Prestige hierarchies, 79
- Problem-solving tasks, 149
- Qualified perceptions, 13–14
- Race, 162
- Reciprocal exchanges, 55
- Reciprocation, 53
- Reciprocity, 52–53
- Recording logistics, 146
- Region of Interest (ROI), 165
 - results, 169–171
- Regressions test, 107
- Rejection of AI’s human capacities, 13–14
- Relational approach, 26–27
- Reliability, 139–140
- Role congruity theory, 26
 - of gender, 28–30
- Role schema, 28–29
- Role theory, 29
- Role-taking, 2
 - with AI, 13–15
 - literature, 2–5
 - variation, 25
- Schemas, 28–29
- Seating, 144
- Second-order expectations, 120
 - comparing models, 129–131
 - empirical comparisons, 125–129
 - Fisek-Berger-Moore theory and research, 120–122
 - research, 131–134
 - study, 120
 - Troyer-Younts-Kalkhoff theory and research, 122–124
 - Webster-Whitmeyer-Rashotte theory and research, 125
- Self-awareness, 160
- Self-complexity, 98–100, 102, 105
 - benefits, 99
 - contextualizing self-complexity with multiplexity, 102–103

- data, 103
- identity theory, 99–100
- measures, 104–107
- results, 107–111
- sample, 103
- Self-enhancement, 160
- “Self-in-self” model of role-taking, 3
- Self-protection, 160
- Shipley’s test of direct separation, 64–65
- Social cognitive neuroscience, 160–161
- Social exclusion, 156–157, 159
- Social network analysis, 102
- Social neurosciences, 156
- Social order, 80
- Social psychology, 2
- Social roles, 28–29
- Sociology of morality, 156–157
- Sound recording, 144–145
- Source model, 131
- Source theory, 121
- Standardized experimental setting studies, 139–140
- Standardized experimental situation, 138
- Status, 76–77, 120, 138
 - in groups and organizations, 78–80
- Stratified Cox model, 38
- Structural equation modeling (SEM), 64
- Survival function analysis, 35–40
 - Cox proportional hazards model, 36–40
 - nonparametric estimates, 35–36
- Survival tasks, 149
- T-tests, 8
- Task, 138, 145
- Time to clearance, 24
- Troyer-Younts-Kalkhoff theory and research (T-Y-K theory and research), 122–124
- Unequal power, 79
- Validity, 138
- Values, 159–161
- Ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), 156–157, 160–161
- Virtual ball tossing game, 157
- Webster-Whitmeyer-Rashotte theory and research (W-W-R theory and research), 125
- Whole-brain fMRI results, 166–169
- Workplace friendships, 113–114
- Zoom, 149–151