

INDEX

- Academic publishing, 31
- Academy of Management, 33
- Academy of Management Journal*, 33
- Academy of Management Review*, 165, 173
- Acculturation, 120
- Adjustment
 - alignment between organizational practices and factors influencing SIE, 115
 - contextual factors impacting, 114–115
 - interpersonal factors influencing SIE, 113–114
 - managerial view of factors influencing relationships between, 111
 - personal factors inhibit SIE, 112–113
 - personal factors managers believe facilitate SIE, 111–112
 - personal factors with mixed impact on, 113
 - review of qualitative studies on SIE, 107–109
- Administration, 12
- Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk), 22–23
- American Economic Review*, 59
- American Psychological Association (APA), 21
- Analysts, 52
- Analytical approaches, 209
- Analytical path, 52
- Antecedents, 97
- Appraisal theorists, 143
- Arnold Ventures, 60
- Article organization, summary of, 203–205
- Artificial intelligence, 5
- Asia, evidence from, 171
- AsPredicted (non-profit online platform), 60
- Assigned expatriates (AEs), 94
- Attraction–selection–attrition process, 64
- Attribution, 180
- Attribution theory, 165
- Authentic leadership, 18
- Automatic appraisal process, 134
- Automation, 5
- Availability, 218–219
- Bayesian statistics, 46
- Behavioral immune system Theory (BIS Theory), 130–131, 135, 140, 142–144, 146–147 (*see also* Sociometer theory)
 - BIS-based responses, 140
 - BIS-based secondary appraisal of PTB, 144–145
 - immuno-behavioral response, 142–142
 - immuno-cognitive response, 141
 - immuno-emotional response, 140–141
 - immuno-physiological response, 141–142
 - perspective on PTB, 135–136
- Black box, 162, 168, 201
- Black women, 206, 228
- Boston Consulting Group, 61
- Boundary control, 232
- Bowen and Ostroff's HRM System Strength, 165–166
- Bring your own device to work (BYOD), 211
- Bronze OA, 32
- Bundles of HR practices, 162
- Bureaucratized process-oriented work context, 219

- Career Development International*, 99
- Career theories, 103
- Causality, 44
- Center for Open Science (OSF),
51, 60, 66
- Charismatic leadership, 44
- Chilling effects, 215–216
- Chrysalis effect, 40
- Co-variation
model of attribution theory, 166
principle, 165, 172
- Cobbling, 53
- Code, lack of, 21–22
- Collaborative time management, 229,
234
scheduling practices and
predictable time off,
220–221
- Collective process, 166
- Communality, 10
- Communication, 181
- Community, 202
- Company-transferred expatriates, 94
- Compensation system, 38
- Compilation process, 179
- Composition process, 179
- Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA),
42
- Consensus, 166
- Consistency, 166
- Consumer psychology, 136
- Context-based process, 143
- Contextual variables, 105
- Contingency-based Process Model,
183–184
- Contribution concept, 65
- COVID-19 pandemic, 52, 129, 200
- Credibility of science, 46
- Criticism, 50
- Cross-level moderated mediation
model, 172
- Crowdsourcing platforms, 22
- Cultural adjustment, 108
- Cultural support for flexibility policy
use, 212
- Customizability, 213
- Customizable flextime policy, 213
- Cytokines, 142
- Data
lack of, 21–22
reproducibility, 20
- Defense system, 136
- Descriptive norms, 10, 12
- Digital object identifiers (DOIs), 60
- Direct replications, 51
- Directory of Open Access Journals
(DOAJ), 32
- Disinterestedness, 11
- Dissemination process, 63
- Distinctiveness, 165
- Distributional concept analysis, 95,
101
- Diversity variables, 202
- Dual appraisal-based framework, 130
- EBSCOhost databases, 95
- Economics, 14
disruption, 105
- Efficiency, 218–219
- Elaboration likelihood model (ELM),
176
- Embeddedness
alignment between organizational
practices and factors
influencing SIE, 115
contextual factors impacting,
114–115
findings of qualitative study,
116–117
interpersonal factors influencing
SIE, 113–114
limitations, 121
personal factors inhibit SIE,
112–113
personal factors managers believe
facilitate SIE, 111–112
personal factors with mixed impact
on, 113
practical implications, 118–121

- and retention, 115
- review of qualitative studies on
SIE, 107–109
- Emotional acculturation, 120
- Emotions, 146
 - aversive, 135
- Empirical development of HR
 - attributions model, 170–171
- Employees perceptions of HR
 - practices, 163, 165, 180
- Employees religiosity, 172
- Entrepreneurial innovator FELOs,
109
- Ethical leadership, 18
- European Commission, 32
- Expatriates, 95, 102, 108
 - retention of, 118

- Facebook, 5
- Familial environment, 114
- Flexibility policies, 206, 220
 - cultural support for, 212
- Focal organization, 118
- Food manufacturing, 222
- Foreign executive in local organization
(FELO), 107, 109
- Fourth Industrial Revolution, 5
- Functional HRM manuscripts, 3

- Gender, 202
 - gender-based occupational
segregation, 222
- General adjustment, 107
- Global dissemination, 38
- Global executive FELOs, 109
- Global shocks, 105
- Gold OA, 32
- Good statistical practice, 45
- Governance, 11
- Green OA, 32
- Group culture, 220

- Harvard Business Review*, 34
- Heider's attribution theory, 167
- High performance work systems, 162

- Home deplete resources, 205
- Human resource (HR), 163
 - attributions model, 167–168, 171
 - Bowen and Ostroff's HRM System
Strength, 165–166
 - connecting HR attributions,
180–181
 - connecting HRM system strength,
178
 - contingency-based process model,
183–184
 - critical look at HR attributions
research, 174–175
 - development of HR process
research, 168
 - empirical development of HR
attributions model, 170–171
 - empirical shift, 168–169
 - existing research to connect HRM
system strength with HR
attribution concepts, 176
 - framework of moderators, 181
 - future challenges and practical
implications, 184
 - from HRM system strength to
perceived HRM system
strength, 169–170
 - implementation, 177
 - maturing of HR process research,
172
 - methodological challenges, 186–188
 - Nishii et al.'s HR attributions,
167–168
 - policies, 213
 - practical implications of HR
process to HR professionals
and managers, 188–189
 - practices, 104, 162, 166
 - process research, 171–172, 184–185
 - professionals, 234
 - reflecting on strength of HRM
system research, 173–174
 - revised HR process model, 177
 - revised process model, 176
 - start of HR process research, 164

- strategy and policies, 188
- systems, 203, 206
- theoretical questions, 185–186
- Wright & Nishii's HR process model, 164–165
- Human Resource Management*, 32
- Human Resource Management Journal*, 171
- Human resources management (HRM), 1, 162, 215 (*see also* Personnel and human resource management (PHRM))
 - directions for future, 148–150
 - implementation process, 179
 - incorporating HRM system strength and HR attributions, 176–184
 - practices, 168
 - reflecting on strength of HRM system research, 173–174
 - scholars, 2, 162
 - system, 166, 173, 177, 182, 187, 189
 - system strength to perceived HRM system strength, 169–170
 - theories, 3
- Human touch, 137
- Humble leadership, 18
- Hypercompetitive incentive system, 49
- Hypercompetitive social system, 38
- Hypothetico-deductive model of research, 14–16
- i-deals, 223
- Idiosyncratic flexibility deals, 223
- Immuno-behavioral response, 142
- Immuno-cognitive response, 141
- Immuno-emotional response, 140–141
- Immuno-physiological response, 141
- In-group collectivism, 184
- Inclusion, 224
- Inclusiveness, need for, 64–67
- Indigenous identity, 228
- Individual work-life flexibility enactment, 216–217
- Individual-level research of SIEs, 109
- Industrial and organizational psychology (I/OP), 8, 18, 20, 23
 - publication process, 33
 - research, 27–28, 41
 - researchers, 9, 22
- Infection risk, 136–138
- Infection-connoting stimuli, 129
- Injunctive norms, 10, 12, 16–36
- Innate identity FELOs, 109
- Integrated appraisal-based framework, 131
- Integrated research, 185
- Intended HR practices, 164
- Interaction adjustment, 108
- Internal monitor, 134
- Internal regulation system, 129
- International relocation, 94
 - strategy, 103
- Internet of things, 5
- Interpersonal behavior, 131, 147
- Interpersonal communication, 128
- Interpersonal factors, 111
- Interpersonal issues, 104
- Interpersonal physical contact, 133
- Interrater reliability, 42
- Interruptions, 217
- Intersectional analyses, 209
- Intersectional approach, 206
 - comparing and contrasting, 209–210
 - identity, 207
 - power and resources, 207–208
- Intersectional arguments, 207
- Intersectional identities, 228
- Intersectional lens, 230
 - comparing traditional and intersectional lenses on work-life flexibility policies, 205–210
- Intersectional work-life approach, 203
- Intersectionality
 - approach, 209
 - theory, 206, 209
- Intrapersonal phenomena, 104

- Jingle-jangle fallacy*, 44
 Job Characteristics Model, 17
 John Templeton Foundation, 60
Journal of Applied Psychology, 26
- Knowledge, 37
- Leader-member exchange, 44
 Leadership, 44
 Lengthy review process, 26
 Level of analysis, 209
 LinkedIn, 5
 Localized FELOs, 109
- Machine learning, 5
 Management-by-objectives system (MBO system), 169
 Managers, 111
 Manual top-down approach, 98
 Many Labs projects, 50
 Materials, 51–52
 lack of, 21–22
 Matthew effect, 25
 McNamera's fallacy, 44
 Meat processing industry, 35
 Mertonian norms, 11, 49, 59
 of communality, 31, 36–38, 54
 of science, 12
 Meta-analysis, 53
 Meta-science projects, 52
 Meta-scientific research project, 51
 Methodological approach, 121
 Mixed-method approaches, 149
 Mobile workers, 94
 Moderators, 97–98
 MOSAIC model, The, 228, 233
 MTurk, 45
 Multinational organizations, 94, 104, 116
 Multiple stigmatized identities, 233
 Multiverse analysis, 52
 Multiverse approach, 53
 Myers-Briggs tests, 34
- National culture, 183–184
 National Science Foundation (NSF), 55
- Netherlands Survey of Research Integrity, 39
 Nishii et al.'s HR attributions, 167–168
 Nomological network, 101
 Non-significant findings, lack of, 27–31
 Nonideal workers, 225
 Nonwork domain, effects of control over work role boundary on performance in, 213–215
 Nonwork identities, 217
 salience of, 216–217
 Nonwork performance, 210, 216–217, 230–231
 Normative expectations, 114
 Novelty, 120
 Null hypothesis significance testing (NHST), 27, 47
- Occupational embeddedness, 117
 Occupational segregation, 222
 Onboarding process, 106
 Online scenario-based experiment, 180
 Open access (OA), 8, 59
 formats, 32
 publishing formats, 32
 Open Science Framework (OSF), 51
 Open science practices, benefits to engaging in, 60–62
 Organization's perceptions of SIE employability, 105
 Organizational actions, 116
 Organizational approach, 225
 Organizational cultures, 203
 Organizational embeddedness, 117
 Organizational global talent management strategies, 106
 Organizational hygienic climate, 145
 Organizational implementation approach, 212, 223
 cultural support for flexibility policy use, 212
 customizability, 213
 Organizational perceptions of SIEs, 105

- Organizational policies, 115
- Organizational research, 148–150
- Organizational researchers, 145
- Organizational resources, 201
- Organizational SIEs, 106, 108
- Organizational support, 97
- Organizational-level outcomes, 109
- Organizations, 234
- PTB in, 132–133
- Organized dogmatism, 12
- Organized skepticism, 11
- Overseas experiences (OE), 95
- Oxytocin, 140
- p*-hacking, 55
- Pandemic, 5, 105
- Particularism, 12
- Peer-review process, 24–27, 56
- Peer-review system, 25, 55
- Person-based process, 143
- Personal comfort, 137
- Personal factors, 111
- Personality-type indicator tests, 34
- Personnel and human resource management (PHRM), 8–9, 18, 20, 22–23, 27–28, 41
- analysts, 52–54
- benefits to engaging in open science practices, 60–62
- deviating from ideal, 16
- don't blame individual, 36
- hypothetico-deductive model of research, 14–16
- labs, 50
- lack of availability, 31–33
- lack of non-significant findings, 27–31
- lack of practical implications, 33–36
- lack of quality control, 24–27
- lack of replicability, 19–20
- lack of reproducibility, 20–23
- lack of solid theory, 16–19
- materials, 51–52
- measurement schmeasurement, 41–44
- methodology, 44–46
- need for inclusiveness, 64–67
- need for openness, 54
- need for relevance, 62–64
- norms, 48–49
- norms of science, 10–14
- open access, 59
- open materials, data, and code, 58–59
- PHRM/I/OP researchers, 48
- platforms to achieve openness, 60
- potential explanations, 36–67
- preregistration, 55–56
- proper incentives, 50
- publication process, 33
- questionable research/reporting practices, 39–41
- registered report, 57–58
- research, 64
- song of sorrow, 9
- statistics, 46
- Personnel Assessment and Decisions*, 32
- Personnel Psychology*, 32–33, 35
- Physical availability, 213–215
- Police, 49
- Political climate, 144
- Power, 207–208
- Practice theory as theoretical foundation, 110
- Predictable time off (PTO), 220–221
- Preregistrations, 55–57
- Prestigious research funding agencies, 32
- Primary appraisal
- BIS perspective on PTB, 135–136
- process, 130, 134–135
- of PTB, 133
- relational value and infection risk, 136–138
- sociometer perspective on PTB, 133–135
- Professional touching behavior (PTB), 130, 133–134, 138
- directions for future HRM and organizational research, 148–150

- immunological perspective, 140–142
- intended contributions, 147–148
- in organizations, 132–133
- primary appraisal of, 133–138
- secondary appraisal of, 143–145
- socially functional perspective, 138–140
- Prolific platforms, 22
- ProQuest databases, 95
- Protection mechanism, 149
- Psychological attribution process, 189
- Psychological availability, 213–215
- Psychologists, 49
- Psychometric theory, 41
- PsycINFO databases, 95
- Python script, 99

- Qualitative data, 58
- Qualitative design of our study, 110–111
- Qualitative research design, 121
- Qualitative SIE studies, 103
- Quality, 11
- Quality control, lack of, 24–27
- Quantitative fallacy, 44
- Quantity, 12
- Queuebicle, 23

- Race, 202
 - race-based occupational segregation, 222
- Randomized controlled experiment (RCT), 45
- Reciprocity, 217, 219
- Registered Report, 57–58
- Relational value, 136–138
- Relationship psychologists, 137
- Relevance, need for, 62–64
- Reliability theory, 41
- Remote work, 233
- Replicability, lack of, 19–20
- Reproducibility, lack of, 20
 - lack of materials, data, and code, 21–22
 - lack of proper samples, 22–23

- Research, 212
 - process, 16, 39, 52, 54, 57, 61
 - streams, 169
- Research design, 45
- Research in Organizational Behavior*, 2
- Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management (RPHRM)*, 1–2, 4
 - manuscript, 3–4
- ResearchEquals, 60
- Researcher degrees of freedom, 50
- Resources, 207–208
 - resource-based view of HRM, 3
- Respondents, 112
- Results-oriented work environment (ROWE), 219–220, 229, 234

- Retention
 - alignment between organizational practices and factors influencing SIE, 115
 - contextual factors impacting, 114–115
 - interpersonal factors influencing SIE, 113–114
 - personal factors inhibit SIE, 112–113
 - personal factors managers believe facilitate SIE, 111–112
 - personal factors with mixed impact on, 113
 - review of qualitative studies on SIE, 107–109
- Review process, 25, 61
- Reviewers, 27
- Revised HR process model, 177
- Revised process model, 176, 187
- Revision process, 26
- Rigid mentality, 112

- Science, norms of, 10–16
- Scientific Pandemic Influenza Group on Modelling, Operational sub-group (SPI-M-O), 52
- SCOPUS database, 95
- Secondary appraisal, 144

- BIS-based secondary appraisal of PTB, 144–145
- of PTB, 143
- sociometer-based secondary appraisal of PTB, 143–144
- Secondary process, 143
- Selection criterion for systematic review, 102
- Self-corrective thesis (SCT), 15–16
- Self-initiated expatriates, 95, 101
- Self-initiated expatriation (SIE), 94, 118
 - alignment between organizational practices and factors influencing SIE adjustment, 111–114
 - antecedents, 97
 - contextual factors impacting adjustment, embeddedness, and retention, 114–115
 - definition, 101
 - evolution of, 98–101
 - identifying definitional confounds in SIE nomological network, 96
 - interpersonal factors influencing SIE adjustment, embeddedness, and retention, 113–114
 - managerial view of factors influencing relationships between SIE adjustment, embeddedness, and retention, 111
 - mentality, 112
 - moderators, 97–98
 - origins of definition of, 95
 - outcomes, 98
 - personal factors inhibit SIE adjustment, embeddedness, and retention, 112–113
 - personal factors managers believe facilitate SIE adjustment, embeddedness, and retention, 111–112
 - personal factors with mixed impact on SIE adjustment, embeddedness, and retention, 113
 - practice theory as theoretical foundation, 110
 - qualitative articles, 103
 - qualitative design of our study, 110–111
 - research, 99
 - retention practices, 110
 - review of qualitative studies on SIE adjustment, embeddedness, and retention, 107–109
 - review of quantitative SIE studies, 101–102
 - review of SIE retention, 105–107
 - review of suggested future directions for SIE research, 103–105
 - reviewing past proposed definitions, 95–96
 - systematic study of organizational practices for retaining SIE, 109–110
- Self-interestedness, 12
- Servant leadership, 18
- Single-axis framework, 207
- Singlism, 226
- Social categories, 202, 207
- Social cognition, 165
- Social exchange theories, 173
- Social information processing theories, 173
- Social Psychological Bulletin*, 59
- Social psychology, 188, 202
- Social system, 129
- Sociology, 14
- Sociometer perspective on PTB, 133–135
- Sociometer theory, 130–131, 134, 138–139, 143, 147 (*see also* Behavioral immune system Theory (BIS Theory))
- socio-behavioral response, 140

- socio-cognitive response, 139
- socio-emotional response, 138–139
- socio-physiological response, 139–140
- subsequent sociometer-based responses, 138
- Sociometer-based appraisal process, 135
- Sociometer-based responses
 - process, 140
 - to PTB, 143
- Sociometer-based secondary appraisal of PTB, 143–144
- Software industry, 24
- Solid theory, lack of, 16–19
- Song of sorrow, 9–16, 32
- Staged process model, 179
- Statistical significance, 46
- Statistical technique, 42
- Statistical uncertainty, 43
- STEM, 224
- Stressful psychological reaction, 112
- Structural equation modeling (SEM), 40
- Structural societal inequality, 203
- Subsidiary managers, 111–113, 115
- Surface-level diversity, 229
- Survey-driven disciplines, 23
- Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), 32
- Swiss National Science Fund, 32
- Systems of HR practices, 162
- Telework, 220
- Teleworkers, 218
- Tenure process, 38
- Theoretical lenses, 209
- Theoretical models, 46
- Theorizing practice, 116
- Theory fetish, 19
- Theory pollution, 9
- Theory-driven predictions, 18
- Time-lagged studies, 45
- Touch, 128, 145
- Touching, 132
- behavior, 139
- Traditional approaches, comparing and contrasting, 209–210
- Traditional boundary control and implementation perspective, enriching, 210–221
- Traditional lenses, comparing intersectional lenses on work-life flexibility policies and, 205–210
- Transform to Open Science (TOPS), 55
- Transformational leadership, 18, 44
- Twitter, 5
- UK's Wellcome Trust, 32
- Universalism, 11
- University of Georgia, The, 2
- US National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), 55
- US National Institutes of Health, 32
- US National Science Foundation, 32
- Vantage point (2023), 3
- Variability, 52
- Variable-centered approach, 202
- Vignette-based experimental study, 170
- Visible diversity, 229
- WEIRD
 - countries, 65
 - submissions, 66
- Well-being, 136
- Winnowing process, 40
- Wire, The* (TV show), 49
- Work domain, effect of work-life flexibility on performance in, 217–219
- Work identity, salience of, 218–219
- Work performance, 210
- Work-based touch communication, 128
- Work-life flexibility, 205, 224

- comparing and contrasting
 - traditional and intersectional approaches, 209–210
- comparing traditional and intersectional lenses on work-life flexibility policies, 205
- context, 226
- contributions, 202–203
- contributions to work-life literature, 232–235
- control and work and nonwork performance, 225–227
- control bundling and chilling effects, 215–216
- effects of control over work role boundary on performance in nonwork domain, 213
- enriching model with
 - considerations for future research from work-life intersectionality lens, 221
- individual implementation, 228–229
- individual implementation of work-life flexibility, 213
- individual work-life flexibility enactment and nonwork performance, 216
- intersectional approach, 206–209
- organizational implementation, 223–225
- organizational implementation approach, 212–213
- physical and psychological availability, 213–215
- policies, 200, 206, 209, 212, 233
- research, 233
- salience of nonwork identity, 216–217
- salience of work identity, 218–219
- summary of article organization, 203–205
- traditional approach, 205–206
- use of work-life flexibility policies and control over work role boundary, 210–212
- work-life flexibility initiation, 221–223
- work-life flexibility model, 210
 - effect of work-life flexibility on performance in work domain, 217
- work-life intersectionality and nonwork performance, 230–231
- workgroup flexibility context, 219–221
- workgroup implementation, 229–230
- Work-life intersectionality, 202, 206, 208, 228, 230–231
 - enriching model with
 - considerations for future research from work-life intersectionality lens, 221
 - and nonwork performance, 230–231
- Work-life literature, contributions to, 232–235
- Work-life privilege, 203
- Work-related touching behavior, 130
- Work–family conflict, 200
 - integrative general models of, 201
 - seminal perspectives on, 209
- Workgroup contexts, 218
- Workgroup flexibility context, 219
 - collaborative time management scheduling practices and PTO, 220–221
 - ROWE, 219–220
- Workgroup implementation, 229–230
- Work–life policies, 205, 228
 - cluster, 234
- Work–life relationships, 202, 233
 - nature of, 203
- World Trade Center, 108
- Wright & Nishii’s HR process model, 164–165
- Zoom fatigue, 226