

INDEX

- Ability assessment, 60–62
- Academic achievement, present levels of, 120
- Academic performance, 144–146, 150–151
- Academic skills, development of
alternate assessments to
assess progress in
acquiring, 121–123
- Acadience, 26
- Accommodations, 63–64, 110, 127
- Achievement and Assessment
Institute (AAI), 127
- Achievement assessments, 62,
147–148
behavioral and affective consider-
ations, 148–150
informal classroom measures,
147–148
language assessment, 148
norm-referenced measures, 147
- Acoustics, classroom, 84–85
- Active responding systems, 215
- Adaptive behavior, 60
assessments, 62–63
deficits in, 60
- Adequate yearly progress (AYP),
210
- Adjustment, 146, 150
- Administrators, 211, 213, 217
- African American English (AAE),
170–174
- AIMSWeb, 26
- Alternate achievement standards,
121–122, 129
- Alternate assessments, 62–63
- Alternative assessments on alternative
achievement standards
(AA-AAS), 13, 64–65,
121–122
- American Association of Intellectual
and Developmental
Disabilities (AAIDD), 60
- American College Testing (ACT), 4
- American Psychological/Psychiatric
Association (APA), 60, 104
- American Sign Language (ASL), 83
- American Speech–Language–Hearing
Association (ASHA),
75–76
- Americans with Disabilities Act
(ADA), 184–185, 212–213
- Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).
See Motor neuron disease
(MND)
- Anxiety, 149–150
disorders, 52–53
- Applied Behavior Analysis for
Teachers*, 50
- Aptitude-achievement discrepancy, 22
- Aquatics Skills Checklist, 183
- Assessment for learning (AFL). *See*
Formative assessments
- Assessment(s), 1, 17–18, 59–60,
117–118, 120, 183, 213
brief experimental analysis, 34–35
curriculum-based measurement, 6
data-based decision-making, 6–7
data-based individualization,
33–34
designing targeted, data-driven
instruction and interven-
tion, 27–30
in educational programs, 145–150
educators role in, 189–190
error analysis, 29–30
evaluating intensive interventions,
32–35
evaluating student achievement, 3
formal assessments, 3–5

- framework, 17–18
 - gated screening, 27
 - goals, 203
 - goals/purposes of assessments
 - for students with physical disabilities, 187–188
 - identifying students, 25–27
 - informal academic diagnostic assessment, 29
 - informal assessments, 5–6
 - making instructional decisions, 2–3
 - monitoring student progress, 2
 - performance-based assessments, 7–8
 - portfolios, 9–10
 - progress monitoring with
 - curriculum-based assessment, 32
 - progress monitoring with
 - curriculum-based measurement, 31–32
 - purposes of, 2–3
 - quantifying student response to instruction and intervention, 30–32
 - role in instruction for students with SLD, 24–35
 - RtI, 11–12
 - self-assessment, 10–11
 - situated within socioecological model, 130–131
 - in special education law and practice, 119–121
 - STEM principles for alternative assessment, 8–9
 - strengths-based model, 131–133
 - for students with physical disabilities and other health impairments, 186–187
 - teacher evaluation and professional development, 12–14
 - techniques, 89–90, 192
 - tools, 18–19, 52–53, 204
 - type and application, 187
- Assistive technology (AT), 91, 93, 103
 - for students, 98
 - supports assessment, 112–114
 - Attainable goals, 174
 - Attention, 146
 - Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, 104
 - Auditory Learning Guide, 83–84
 - Auditory Skills Checklist, 83–84
 - Authentic assessments, 14, 182, 186–187, 215
 - Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs), 103
 - assessment and IEP team, 110–112
 - assistive technology supports assessment, 112–114
 - formative assessment, 105–106
 - summative assessment, 106–110
 - Bayesian statistics, 127
 - Beck’s Depression Inventory-II, 52
 - Behavior rating scales, 48
 - Behavior Tracker Pro, 124
 - Behavioral and affective considerations, 148–150
 - coping and adjustment, 150
 - disinhibition, 149
 - impulsivity, 149
 - mood regulation, 149–150
 - social functioning, 150
 - Behavioral observations, 49–50
 - Behavioral testing, 76–77
 - Bell curve, 199–200
 - Best-case scenario, 145
 - Black, Indigenous, students of color (BISOC), 198
 - remediating systems and situating BISOC with disabilities as knowledge generators, 202
 - troubled history of assessment with BISOC with disabilities, 199–202
 - Blind, 89–90
 - Blogging platforms, 205

- Braille bills, 95–96
- Brief experimental analysis (BEA),
32, 34–35
- Brockport Physical Fitness Test,
183, 192
- Bruininks–Oseretsky Test of Motor
Proficiency (BOT™-2),
183
- Bzoch-League Receptive-Expressive
Language Test (REEL-2),
79
- Career education, 91–93
- Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention, 141, 145–146
- Certified assistive technology
instructional specialists
(CATIS), 90, 94
- Certified orientation and mobility
specialists (COMS), 90, 94
- Childhood/children
anxiety disorders, 52
with HL, 76, 81–84
with SLD, 21
- Children’s Auditory Performance
Scale (CHAPS), 83–84
- Children’s Depression Rating
Scale-Revised, 51
- CITE, 83–84
- Classroom
acoustics, 84–85
informal and ongoing assessments
in, 83–84
manager, 124
- Clinical Assessment of Articulation
and Phonology-2
(CAAP-2), 82
- Clinical Evaluation of Language
Fundamentals, Fifth
Edition (CELF-5), 78–79
- Clinical Evaluation of Language
Fundamentals Preschool-3
(CELP3), 78–79
- Cochlear Americas, 83–84
- Cognitive assessment. *See also*
Achievement assessment,
146
- Cognitive discrepancy hypothesis, 22
- Cognitive functioning, 146, 150–151
- Collaboration, 8
- Communication, 8, 75–76
deficit, 106
social, 104
- Competency, 97
measuring competency during
observation of student
behavior, 97
- Comprehensive Assessment of Spoken
Language (CASL-2), 78–79
- Comprehensive assessment strategies,
83, 125, 128
- Comprehensive hearing assessment,
77
- Comprehensive speech assessment, 82
- Computer-adaptive tests (CATs),
25–26
- Concentration, 146
- Conceptual skills, 62
- Conceptualizations, 210–211
- Consortium on Reading Excellence
Phonics Survey
(CORE-PS), 29
- Constructs, 18–19
- Contemporary alternate assessment
strategies, 126–128
- Continuous direct measurement, 123
- Coping, 146, 150
- Core curriculum
assessment, 98
content, 8–9
- Cottage Acquisition Scales for
Listening, Language, and
Speech (CASLLS), 79
- Creativity, 8
- Criterion-referenced assessments,
79, 81
- Criterion-referenced conclusions,
18–19

- Criterion-referenced mastery
 - measures, 32
- Criterion-referenced tests, 4–5
- Criterion-related measures, 44–45
- Critical race theory, 198–199
- Critical Youth Studies (CYS), 198
- Cross-pollinating CSP, 198–199
- Culturally sustaining pedagogy (CSP), 198
 - assessment goals, 203
 - cross-pollinating CSP and universal design for learning, 198–199
 - CSP/UDL cross-pollination, 203
 - liberating assessment, 202
 - relevant, accessible materials, 205
 - situated and validating methods, 203–205
 - troubled history of assessment with BISOC with disabilities, 199–202
- Curriculum, 200
 - educators on designing, 203
 - UDL, 202
- Curriculum-based assessment (CBA), 216–218
 - educator-made, 30–31
 - progress monitoring with, 32
- Curriculum-based measurements (CBMs), 6, 18, 26, 44–46, 65–66, 120
 - commercially available, 66
 - progress monitoring with, 31–32
- Curriculum-based measures, 13
- Data-based decision-making, 6–7
- Data-based individualization (DBI), 32–34
- Deaf/hard of hearing (D/HH), 78
 - classroom acoustics, 84–85
 - communication, 75–76
 - criterion-referenced assessments, 79–81
 - hearing evaluation, 76–78
 - informal and ongoing assessments in classroom, 83–84
 - modifications needed for DHH
 - speech/language evaluation, 82–83
 - speech and language evaluation, 78
 - speech assessment, 81–82
 - standardized assessments, 78–79
- Decision rules, 214–215
- Deficit models of disability, 118
- Depression, 50–51, 149–150
- Diagnostic accuracy, 27
- Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), 51, 104
- Diagnostic interviews, 51
- DIBELS, 26
- Digital learning products, 216
- Direct behavior assessments, 49
- Disability, 21
 - deficit models of, 118
 - innovative assessment tools and techniques, 213–218
 - moving forward in age of change, 218–220
 - notable caveats and limitations, 212–213
 - students with, 184–185
 - traditional assessments, 210–211
 - troubled history of assessment with BISOC with, 199–202
- Disability studies in education (DSE), 198–199
- Disinhibition, 146, 149
- DLM Alternate Assessment (DLMAA), 127–128
- Document student learning progress, 216
- Domain-related vocabulary, 45
- Down syndrome, 190
- Dynamic assessment (DA), 21, 23–24, 28, 155–156, 159
 - application to grammatical markers, 170–171

- application to spelling, 165–170
 - components of dynamic assessment of spelling, 163–165
 - goal writing from dynamic assessment perspective, 174–176
 - strengths of, 157
- Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM), 13, 122–123, 126, 129–130
- Dynamic testing, 23–24
- Early Listening Function (ELF), 83–84
- EasyCBM, 26
- Ecobehavioral Classroom Assessment Tool (ECAT), 126
- Ecological inventory, 67, 131
- Education, 40–41
- Educational accountability program, 209–210
- Educational audiologists, 78, 84–85
- Educational professionals, 98–101
 - collaboration, 99
 - core curriculum assessment, 98
 - family involvement and resources, 99–101
- Educational programs
 - achievement assessment, 147–148
 - assessment in, 145–150
 - cognitive assessment, 146
- Educational reforms, 104–105
- Educators, 12, 17–18, 26–27, 43, 65, 140, 213, 216–217
 - role in assessment process, 189–190
 - special education, 32–33
- Effective teachers, 2
- Effective teaching, 5–6
- Elementary and Secondary Education Act, 200–201
- Emotional and/or behavioral disorders (EBDs), 39, 139–140
 - approaches to learning assessment, 44–53
 - definition and characteristics of, 41–43
 - definition of ED, 42
 - inability to learn, 43
 - issues with identification, 42–43
 - measurement, 40–41
- Emotionally disturbed/disturbance (ED), 39, 42, 53–54
- English speech sounds, 75–76
- Error analysis, 2, 28–30
- Essential elements, 127–129
- Ethics, attention to, 8
- Evaluative assessment, 60–62
 - ability assessments, 61–62
 - achievement assessments, 62
 - adaptive behavior assessments, 62
- Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), 121–122, 184–185
- Everyday expertise framework, 205
- Evidence-based practices, 155–156
- Evidence-based traditional assessment techniques, 103
- Executive functioning skills, 110–111, 148–149
- Expanded core curriculum (ECC), 90
 - areas of assessment within, 90
 - strategies and methods for assessing, 91–93
- Extensive support needs, 117–118
 - assessment in special education law and practice, 119–121
 - assessment situated within socioecological model, 130–131
 - assessment strengths-based model, 131–133
 - comprehensive assessment strategies, 125–128
 - deficit models of disability, 118
 - development of alternate assessments to assess progress in acquiring academic skills, 121–123

- formative assessment, 128–130
- future directions in assessing learners with, 128–133
- historical views and techniques for assessing students with, 118–121
- intelligence testing, 118–119
- progress monitoring efforts for formative assessment, 123–124
- Family involvement and resources, 99–101
- FastBridge, 26
- Fears, physical symptoms and, 52
- Federal civil rights laws, 184–185
- Federal legislation, 121–122
- FITNESSGRAM®, 183, 192
- Formal assessments, 3, 5, 7, 82
 - criterion-referenced tests, 5
 - methods, 82
 - norm-referenced tests, 4–5
 - strategies, 3
- Formative assessments, 65, 105–106, 125, 213–214
 - case study example, 105–106
 - differences between formative and summative assessment, 108
 - guide ongoing instruction in inclusive curriculum, 128–130
 - progress monitoring efforts for, 123–124
 - strategies with modifications for students with ASDs, 107–108
- Formative evaluations, 189
- Free appropriate public education (FAPE), 39
- Functional academic skills, 91–93
- Functional assessment tools, 83–84
- Functional performance, present levels of, 120–121
- Functional skills, 133
- Functional vision assessment (FVA), 94–95
- Gated screening, 27
- Generalization of skills during observation of student behavior, 97–98
- Glaspey Dynamic Assessment of Phonology (GDAP), 159, 162
- Goal Attainment Scales (GAS), 125
- Goal writing from dynamic assessment perspective, 174–176
- Goal-setting process, 174
- Goldman Fristoe Test of Articulation-3 (GFTA-3), 82
- Grade-Pad Mobile Performance Assessment, 124
- Graduated prompt approach, 158
- Graduated prompting, 162, 166–167, 170
- Grammatical Analysis of Elicited Language, Pre-Sentence Level (GAEL-P), 79
- Grammatical markers, 170–174
 - application of dynamic assessment to, 170–171
 - goal writing from dynamic assessment perspective, 174–176
- Jordan, 173–174
- Krista, 173
- MLE, 171–173
- test-teach-retest method, 171
- Habit of mind process, 8–9
- Health impairments, 183
 - assessments for students with physical disabilities and, 186–187

- Hearing, 75–76
 evaluation, 76–78
 thresholds, 76–77
- Hearing loss (HL), 75–76
 domains of language as related
 to, 77
- High-stakes
 decisions, 18–19
 tests, 210
- High-stakes testing, 213
- Hypothetical students, 157
- Impulsivity, 149
- Inability to learn, 43
- Inappropriate behavior, 47–48
- Independent living skills, 91–93
- Indiana Learning Evaluation
 Assessment Readiness
 Network (ILEARN), 63
- Individual with intellectual disability,
 43
- Individualized approach, 140
- Individualized education program/
 plan (IEP), 5–6, 42, 64, 104,
 119, 144, 185, 210–211
 assessment and IEP team, 110–112
 child, 28
- Individuals with Disabilities Educa-
 tion Act (IDEA), 21, 43,
 60, 95–96, 104–105, 118,
 139–140, 183, 210–211
- Individuals with Disabilities Educa-
 tion Improvement Act
 (IDEIA), 41, 89–90
- Inductive reasoning, 23
- Informal academic diagnostic
 assessment, 28–29
- Informal assessments. *See also*
 Formal assessments, 5–7,
 82
 in classroom, 83–84
 measures, 147–148
 methods, 82
 techniques, 103, 117–118, 183–184
- Innovative assessments. *See also*
 Instructional assessments,
 68–71
 active responding systems, 215
 curriculum-based assessment,
 216–218
 learning journals/logs, 215
 number talks, 68–69
 performance/authentic assessment
 systems, 216
 portfolio assessment, 216
 progress monitoring systems, 214
 response-to-intervention model,
 214–215
 sexuality education, 69–71
 soft skills, 71
 technology-based performance
 assessments, 216
 think-alouds, 215–216
 tools and techniques, 213–218
- Innovative formative assessment,
 105
- Innovative progress monitoring
 techniques, 9
- Innovative techniques, 188, 192
- Instructional assessments. *See also*
 Innovative assessments,
 63–67
 CBMs, 65–66
 ecological inventory, 67
 formative assessments, 65
 levels of accessibility, 64
 performance assessments, 65
 standardized assessments, 63–65
 summative assessments, 65
 transition assessments, 66–67
- Instructional decision making, 2–3
- Instructional discrepancy hypothesis,
 22
- Instructional planning methods, 12
- Instructional strategies, 216
- Intellectual disability, 60
 evaluative assessment, 60–62
 innovative assessments, 68–71

- instructional assessments, 63–67
- preference assessments, 67–68
- students with, 60
- traditional assessments, 60–68
- Intelligence quotient (IQ), 22, 60, 119, 199–200
 - IQ-achievement discrepancy process, 22–23
- Intelligence testing, 118–119
- Interpretation of medical eye report, 94
- Interviewing techniques, 204
- Interviews, 47–49, 51–52
- Justice, purpose of, 203
- KeyMath3, 3–4
- Language, 76
 - assessment, 148
 - evaluation, 78
- Learning
 - journals/logs, 215
 - process, 157
 - situate student within learning environment, 126
- Learning assessment
 - approaches to, 44–53
 - behavior rating scales, 48
 - behavioral observations, 49–50
 - characteristics and duration, 52
 - criterion-related measures, 44–45
 - curriculum-based measurement, 45–46
 - depression, 50–51
 - inappropriate behavior, 47–48
 - interviews, 48–49, 51–52
 - norm-referenced assessment, 44
 - physical symptoms and fears, 52
 - tools, 52–53
 - unsatisfactory interpersonal relationships, 46–47
- Learning disabilities (LD), 8, 21, 104, 139–140
 - assessment for students with SLD, 19
 - assessment framework, 18
 - assessment role in instruction
 - for students with SLD, 24–35
 - SLD and approaches to disability identification, 21–24
 - types and common uses of
 - assessment tools, 20
- Learning media assessments (LMAs), 95–96
- Least restrictive environment (LRE), 41
- Letter–word identification, 147
- Liberating assessment, 202
- Listening Inventory for Education Revised (LIFE-R), 83–84
- Local Education Authority (LEA), 185
- Lou Gehrig’s disease. *See* Motor neuron disease (MND)
- Low vision, 89–90
- MacArthur-Bates Communication Development Inventory (CDI), 79
- Making Action Plans (MAPS), 132
- Mastery measures, 45
- Mathematics, 68–69
- Measurement, 40–41
 - need for, 41
- Measures of academic progress (MAP), 26
- Mediated learning experience (MLE), 171–173
- Medical and physical issues, 146
- Medical eye report, interpretation of, 94
- Medical personnel, 141
- Memory, 146
- Mental ability assessments, 61–62
- Mental graphemic representations (MGRs), 164

- Mental orthographic images (MOIs), 164
- Mental orthographic memory, 164–165
- Michigan Student Test of Educational Progress (M-STEP), 63
- Mild hearing loss, 75–76
- Mild TBI (mTBI), 140–141
- 1-min oral reading fluency test, 27
- Moderate hearing loss, 75–76
- Moderately severe hearing loss, 75–76
- Mood regulation, 146, 149–150
- Morphological awareness, 164
- Morphology, 76, 164
- MotivAider app, 124
- Motor development skills, 187
- Motor neuron disease (MND), 182
- Multicomponent assessment, 125–126
- Multifaceted assessment, 125
- Multiple assessment techniques, 182
- Multiple learning domains, 189–190
- National Center and State Collaborative (NCSC), 13
- National Center on Intensive Intervention (NCII), 29
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics Assessment Principle (NCTM Assessment Principle), 211
- National Professional Development Center on ASD, 114
- No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB Act), 210
- Noise, 84
- Nonbiased evaluation process, 157
- Nonverbal tests of intelligence, 128
- Norm-referenced assessments, 44, 129
- Norm-referenced conclusions, 18–19
- Norm-referenced measures, 147
- Norm-referenced tests, 4–5
- Number talks, 68–70
- Observation of student behavior, 96–98
- generalization of skills during, 97–98
- measuring competency during, 97
- real-world context, 96–97
- Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), 126
- Omission, 81–82
- “One-size-fits all” assessment, 219
- Optimism, 8
- Oral and Written Language Scales (OWLS), 78–79
- Orientation and mobility skills (O&M skills), 91–93
- Orthographic knowledge, 164
- Orthography, 164
- Orthopedic impairments, 183
- Paper-and-pencil tests, 211
- Paradigm shift, 40
- Parent report measures, 52–53
- Passage Comprehension, 147
- Patterns of strengths and weaknesses (PSW), 22
- Pencil-and-paper test, 219–220
- Performance/authentic assessment systems, 7–8, 65, 216
- Person-centered planning approaches, 132–133
- Personal Futures Planning, 132
- Phonemes, 75–76
- Phonemic awareness, 164
- Phonological awareness, 164
- Phonological disorder, 82
- Phonology, 76, 164
- Physical disabilities, 182
- Abu case, 190–193
- annual goals, 191–192
- assessment and innovative techniques, 192

- assessments for students with physical disabilities and health impairments, 186–187
- collaborative team members, 192
- educators role in assessment process, 189–190
- goals/purposes of assessments for, 187–188
- laws/regulations/policies, 184–186
- motor characteristics, 191
- program evaluation, 192–193
- short-term objectives, 192
- social implications, 190
- specific planning, 191
- students with, 183
- top-down approach, 191
- traditional and innovative assessment techniques, 184
- Planning Alternative Tomorrows with Hope (PATH), 132
- Portfolios, 9–10
 - assessment, 216
 - uses, 9–10
- Practical skills, 62
- Preference assessments, 67–68
- Preschool Language Scale-5 (PLS-5), 78–79
- Preschool S.I.F.T.E.R., 79
- Present level of performance (PLP), 189
- Present levels of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP), 17–18, 28
- Presently Pro, 124
- Problem-solving approach, 32–33
- Product-oriented fashion, 174
- Professional development, 12, 14, 184
- Professional educators, 187, 189
- Professional measurement process, 13
- Profound hearing loss, 75–76
- Program Quality Measurement Tool, 126
- Progress monitoring
 - efforts for formative assessment, 123–124
 - systems, 214–215
- Public Law (PL), 199–200
- Purposes for assessment, 18
- Push-up test, 192
- Race and disability, 198
- Rating scales, 47
- Real-time data, 215
- Real-world context, 96–97
- Recovery time, 142–143
- Recreation and leisure skills, 91–93
- Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 184–185
- Reliability, 18–19
- Research-based practices, 210
- Research-based intervention, 22–23, 214–215
- Response to intervention model (RTI model), 11–12, 22, 214–215
- Scaffolding, 158
- Scholastic assessment test (SAT), 4
- School-based assessment, 218–219
- School-based social network form, 126
- Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM), 8
 - principles for alternative assessment, 8–9
- Scientific method, 40
- Screening Instrument for Targeting Educational Risk (S.I.F.T.E.R.), 79
- Self-assessment, 10–11
 - reasons for, 10–11
- Self-determination skills, 91–93
- Self-directed learning, 9–10
- Self-evaluation, 10
- Self-report measures, 52–53

- Semantic knowledge, 164
- Semantics, 76, 164
- Semi-structured interviews, 52–53
- Sensitivity, 41
- Sensory efficiency skills, 91–93
- Sentence Reading Fluency, 147
- Sexuality education, 69–71
- Single word articulation assessments, 82
- Situate student within learning environment, 126
- SKI-HI Language Development Scale, 79
- Skills, 6
 - competency measurement, 97
 - generalization during observation of student behavior, 97–98
 - progression, 183
- Social exchange, 75
- Social functioning, 146, 150
- Social implications, 190
- Social interaction skills, 91–93
- Social skills, 62
- Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS), 125
- Society of Health and Physical Educators (SHAPE), 182
- Socioecological model, assessment situated within, 130–131
- Soft skills, 71
- Special education (SPED), 199–201, 214–215
 - determining eligibility for, 21–24
 - dynamic assessment and dynamic testing, 23–24
 - TBI and, 140–141
 - teachers, 124
 - assessment in, 119–121
 - present levels of academic achievement, 120
 - present levels of functional performance, 120–121
- Specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and timely framework (SMART framework), 174
- Specific learning disabilities (SLDs), 17–18, 20–21, 24
 - and approaches to disability identification, 21–24
 - assessment role in instruction for students with, 24–35
 - determining eligibility for special education services, 21–24
- Specificity, 41
- Speech, 75–76
 - assessment, 81–82
 - case examples, 157–176
 - evaluation, 78
 - grammatical markers and African American English, 170–174
 - intelligibility, 82
 - and language disorders, 155–156
 - limitations of static assessment, 156
 - spelling, 162–170
 - strengths of dynamic assessment, 157
- Speech recognition thresholds (SRTs), 77
- Speech sounds, 158–162
 - application of dynamic assessment, 159
 - overview of GDAP, 159–162
- Speech-language pathologists (SLPs), 78–79, 155–156
- Spelling, 162–170
 - application of dynamic assessment to spelling, 165–170
 - Bella, 166–170
 - components of dynamic assessment, 163–165
 - mental orthographic memory, 164–165
 - morphology, 164

- orthography, 164
- phonology, 164
- semantics, 164
- Spontaneity, 97–98
- Standardized assessments, 63, 65, 78–80
- Standardized tests, 3–4, 209–210, 218–219
- Standards-based reform, 104–105
- Static assessment, limitations of, 156
- Strengths-based assessment, 131–133
 - considerations, 125–126
 - contemporary alternate assessment strategies, 126–128
 - multicomponent assessment, 125–126
 - situate student within learning environment, 126
- Stroop color–word interference test, 149
- Structured interviewing techniques, 51
- Structured interviews, 52–53
- Student(s)
 - achievement evaluation, 3
 - with ASDs, 103
 - growth percentile, 13
 - identification, 41
 - with intellectual disability, 60
 - learning objectives, 13
 - portfolio, 9
 - progress, 2, 6–7
 - quantifying student response to instruction and intervention, 30–32
 - self-monitoring, 124
- Substitution, 81–82
- Summative assessments, 65, 106, 110, 123, 125, 213–214
 - differences between formative assessment and, 108
 - modifications for students with autism, 109
 - strategies with modifications for students with ASDs, 111
- Summative evaluations, 189
- Supports Intensity Scale-Children (SIS-C), 125
- Syntax, 76
- Systems thinking, 8
- Teacher Assessment of Grammatical Structures (TAGS), 79
- Teachers, 46, 215
 - accountability and assessment, 13–14
 - evaluation, 12–14
 - observation, 13
- Teachers of deaf (ToD), 78–79
- Teachers of students with visual impairments (TVIs), 90, 94
- Teaching
 - practices, 3
 - teaching–learning process, 184
- Teamwork, 99
- Technology
 - supports, 113
 - technology-based performance assessments, 216
- Test of Auditory Comprehension of Language, Fourth Edition (TACL-4), 78–79
- Test of Gross Motor Development (TGMD-3), 183
- Test of Integrated Language and Literacy Skills (TILLS), 78–79
- Test-teach-retest method, 170–171
- Testlets, 129
- Test–teach–test DA models, 24
- Text-to-speech apps, 113
- Think-alouds, 215–216
- Tiered instruction, 214–215
- TikTok app, 205
- Top-down approach, 191
- Tracking Listening Child, 83–84

- Traditional assessments, 210–211
 - approaches, 198
 - materials, 205
 - techniques, 183–184
- Traditional formative assessment, 105
- Traditional learning, 75
- Transition assessments, 66–67
- Traumatic brain injuries (TBI), 139–140
 - assessment in educational programs, 145–150
 - impact on family, 143–145
 - outcomes, 142–145
 - prevalence, 141
 - recovery time, 142–143
 - and special education, 140–141
 - specific symptoms, 142
- Trial-based preference assessments, 67–69
- Unethical test preparation
 - approaches, 213
- Unexpected underachievement, 21
- Universal design for learning (UDL), 8–9, 11, 198–199
- Universal screening, 18, 25–27, 214–215
- Unsatisfactory interpersonal relationships, 46–47
- Unstructured interviews, 51
- Validity, 18–19
- Verbal communicators, 76
- Vision professionals, 99
- Vision-related assessments for students with visual impairments, 90–96
 - FVA, 94–95
 - interpretation of medical eye report, 94
 - LMAs, 95–96
- Visual impairments, 89–90
 - areas of assessment within ECC, 90
 - diverse population, 89–90
 - observations, 96–98
 - roles of educational professionals, 98–101
 - vision-related assessments for students with, 90–96
- Well-written goals, 174
- Woodcock Reading Mastery Test-III, 3–4
- Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Achievement IV, 3–4
- Woodcock-Johnson IV Tests of Oral Language (WJ-IV OL), 148
- Woodcock-Johnson Tests of Achievement, 123
- Woodcock-Johnson-IV (WJ-IV), 147
- Word identification fluency probe, 27
- Word recognition score (WRS), 77
- WordPress, 205
- Youth Participatory Action Research (YPAR), 198
- Zone of proximal development, 157