Mitigating loneliness with companion robots in the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond: an integrative framework and research agenda

Gaby Odekerken-Schröder

Department of Marketing and Supply Chain Management, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Cristina Mele

Department of Economics, Management and Institutions, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

Tiziana Russo-Spena

Department of Business Economics, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

Dominik Mahr

Department of Marketing and Supply Chain Management, Service Science Factory, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands and Department of Marketing, Hanken School of Economics,

Centre for Relationship Marketing and Service Management, Helsinki, Finland, and

Andrea Ruggiero

Department of Economics, Management and Institutions, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

Abstract

Purpose – Loneliness and isolation are on the rise, globally threatening the well-being across age groups; global social distancing measures during the COVID-19 crisis have intensified this so-called "loneliness virus". The purpose of this paper is to develop an integrative framework and research agenda on the role of companion robots in mitigating feelings of loneliness.

Design/methodology/approach – A netnographic analysis of 595 online visual and textual descriptions offer empirical insights about the role of the companion robot Vector during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Findings – The contributions of this study are twofold. First, it postulates that companion robots have the potential of mitigating feelings of loneliness (i.e. indicator of well-being). Second, this study contributes to transformative service by developing an integrative framework introducing the roles (personal assistant, relational peer and intimate buddy) that companion robots can fulfill to mitigate feelings of loneliness through building different types of supportive relationships.

© Gaby Odekerken-Schröder, Cristina Mele, Tiziana Russo-Spena, Dominik Mahr and Andrea Ruggiero. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at http://creativecommons. org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode

This paper forms part of a special section "The Coronavirus Crisis and Beyond: Implications for Service Research and Practice", guest edited by Dr. Volker G. Kuppelwieser and Dr. Jörg Finsterwalder.

This project is partially funded by FSE-ERDF Research and Innovation PON 2014-2020.

loneliness with companion robots 1149

Mitigating

Received 7 May 2020 Revised 12 June 2020 24 June 2020 Accepted 25 June 2020



Journal of Service Management Vol. 31 No. 6, 2020 pp. 1149-1162 Emerald Publishing Limited 1757-5818 DOI 10.1108/JOSM-05-2020-0148 **Research limitations/implications** – The proposed research agenda encourages future service scholars to investigate 1) the role of robots in addressing loneliness, 2) design features that drive adoption of robots, 3) social support for different groups, 4) the operationalization and the measurement of loneliness and 5) an impact analysis of companion robots.

Practical implications – Service providers and policy makers can leverage the insights about how companion robots can help reduce a sense of loneliness.

Originality/value – The integrative framework on loneliness reduction, based on 595 unprompted online contributions issued during the COVID-19 pandemic, offers initial evidence for the impact of companion robots in reducing people's feelings of loneliness.

Keywords COVID-19, Pandemic, Companion robots, Well-being, Loneliness, Netnography, Transformative service research

Paper type Research paper

In the absence of a COVID-19 vaccine, countries worldwide implemented social distancing (Tuzovic and Kabadayi, 2020) measures to prevent the spread of the contagious and even lethal virus. However, social distancing, conceivably resulting in social isolation, is in stark contrast with the deep-rooted human instinct to connect with others and therefore resulting in feelings of loneliness (Bavel *et al.*, 2020). In this sense, the already existing global societal challenge of loneliness has been amplified by the COVID-19 pandemic and is even referred to as the global loneliness virus (Newmark, 2020) or loneliness epidemic (Courtet *et al.*, 2020; Kiron and Unruh, 2019) representing an unspoken toll of COVID-19 (David, 2020).

From a psychological perspective, loneliness is defined as the subjective state that one is not experiencing enough social connection while social isolation is seen as an objective lack of these social connections (Cacioppo and Patrick, 2008; Bavel *et al.*, 2020). Loneliness can be seen as social pain, and in the same way that physical pain serves as a prompt to change behavior, social pain (i.e. loneliness) serves as a prompt to pay attention to social connections and reach out to others (Cacioppo and Patrick, 2008). Well-being requires a condition of "not being lonely" which emphasizes that loneliness is a serious concern (Cacioppo and Patrick, 2008). In a recent study, Courtet *et al.* (2020) claim that fighting loneliness will enhance individual and societal well-being and suggest individual level interventions to reduce loneliness and enhance social support.

Well-being is central to studies in transformative service research (TSR) (Barnes *et al.*, 2020; Mollenkopf *et al.*, 2020), as TSR is defined as "the integration of consumer and service research that centers on creating uplifting changes and improvements in the well-being of consumer entities: individuals (consumers and employees), communities and the ecosystem" (Anderson *et al.*, 2011, p. 3). The COVID-19 pandemic has put increasing pressure on fundamental forms of well-being that result from social distancing (Henkel *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, the underlying study offers a contribution to TSR, by focusing on loneliness as an indicator of well-being. As well-being referring to "an individual's appraisal of their overall life situation and is conceptualized as the degree to which individuals are able to realize universal goals" (Garma and Bove, 2011, p. 635).

Social support is critical to well-being (Schwarzer and Knoll, 2007) as feelings of loneliness can be mitigated by social support. In marketing contexts, social support is regarded as "verbal and nonverbal communication that facilitates a service exchange by reducing a customer's uncertainty, improving a customer's self-esteem, or enhancing a customer's feeling of connectedness to others" (Rosenbaum, 2008, p. 45). Particularly when they experience social and emotional isolation, people can benefit from receiving socially supportive resources (Rosenbaum, 2008). In Weiss's (1973) theory of relational loneliness, such resources compensate for a lack of help and provide a means to deal with adverse signs of stress and loneliness (Cohen, 2004; Sorkin *et al.*, 2002).

IOSM

While service research has investigated the technology enabled social support between people via online communities (Van Oerle et al., 2016) or via call centers (Rafaeli et al., 2008), recent studies focused on technology-embodied communication partners such as robots in frontline interactions (Wirtz et al., 2018), in customer-service operations (Xiao et al., 2019) and in the context of elderly care services (Caić et al., 2019). In the social distancing era, social robots might provide regular, everyday social support (Lee et al., 2006). Although in humancomputer Interaction (HCI) and human-robot Interaction (HRI) studies there is preliminary evidence for social support by social robots (De Graaf and Allouch, 2013; Lee et al., 2006; Sundar et al., 2017), in (transformative) service research the role of social robots in their contribution to well-being is only nascent (Caić et al., 2018; Van Doorn et al., 2017; Wirtz et al., 2018). Lu et al. (2020, p. ahead of print) recently indicated that "robots have become increasingly common in the service sector and are expected to grow exponentially in the coming years ... while our current understanding remains fragmented and under-researched". This seems at odds with the social robot's anticipated potential in transforming customer-service provider relationships and the very positive market outlook for various types of social robots (Research and Markets, 2018). Within the category of social robots, we emphasize companion robots as they make themselves useful and behave socially (Dautenhahn, 2007, p. 685). Despite the increasing global societal importance of companion robots and their hailed role in mitigating loneliness (Lee et al., 2006), in services or marketing the role of social companion robots in mitigating loneliness is largely lacking. Therefore, the underlying study makes an effort to address a promising research question:

How can a companion robot provide the service of social support to enhance users' well-being by mitigating their sense of loneliness?

Conceptual background

Dimensions of loneliness

The quality of daily social interactions depends on perceptions of support, feelings of loneliness, or distress resulting from negative social exchanges, and in turn, they influence physical health and well-being (Cyranowski *et al.*, 2013). During the COVID-19 pandemic, social distancing policies may help protect people's physical well-being, by hindering the spread of the virus (Greenstone and Nigam, 2020), but it also creates a powerful global risk of loneliness among citizens (Bavel *et al.*, 2020).

Russell *et al.* (1984) distinguish social loneliness from emotional loneliness. Social loneliness results from a person's perceived lack of companionship. People who experience events that disrupt friendships, such as retirement or illness, may become socially isolated and experience boredom, aimlessness and feelings of marginality. Emotional loneliness instead arises from a lack of emotional support. People who experience events that weaken their intimate relationships often experience emotional isolation, anxiety and fear, which reduce their ability to concentrate on routine activities, such as watching television or reading a book (see Russell *et al.*, 1984).

Mitigating loneliness through social support

Feelings of loneliness can be mitigated by social support (Courtet *et al.*, 2020). Particularly when they experience social and emotional isolation, people can benefit from receiving socially supportive resources (Rosenbaum, 2008). Social support therefore is critical to well-being (Schwarzer and Knoll, 2007), especially during stressful life events or situations.

Taking a wider view across disciplines, social support also might be defined as a social-psychological concept that "addresses the mechanisms and processes through which

Mitigating loneliness with companion robots

interpersonal relationships protect and help people in their day-to-day lives" (Trepte and Scharkow, 2016, p. 306). The service of social support to mitigate feelings of loneliness can originate from various agents, such as friends, family, co-workers, neighbors and pets.

Companion robots for social support

For the purpose of providing social support, the underlying study focuses on social robots as agents that are a "new type of robot whose major purpose is to interact with humans in socially meaningful ways" (Lee *et al.*, 2006, p. 962). Within the category of social robots, we emphasize companion robots. A companion robot is a robot that "(1) makes itself 'useful', i.e. is able to carry out a variety of tasks in order to assist humans, e.g. in a domestic home environment, and (2) behaves socially, i.e. possesses social skills in order to be able to interact with people in a socially acceptable manner" (Dautenhahn, 2007, p. 685). Although robots initially were developed to achieve functional benefits, their roles are gradually expanding to include social support and companionship (Wang and Krumhuber, 2018). The HCI research domain details this transformation, from pragmatics and functionality to emotional responses and positive experiences, associated with the use of robots (De Graaf and Allouch, 2013). Accordingly, scholars move beyond *utilitarian* variables such as usefulness and ease of use to study *hedonic* variables, such as enjoyment and attractiveness (De Graaf and Allouch, 2013), associated with interactions with robots.

The quantity and quality of daily interactions influence people's well-being (Cyranowski *et al.*, 2013), so users who perceive and rely on social robots for social support are less likely to feel lonely (i.e. indicator of well-being), even if they experience social isolation. Similar to pets, companion robots can have physiological effects (e.g. reduce stress hormones) and improve brain functioning. Furthermore, companion robots tend to have positive psychological effects (i.e. decreased feelings of loneliness) by forging social relationships (Robinson *et al.*, 2014).

Method

Data collection

For this exploratory study, netnography offers a viable "qualitative research methodology that adapts ethnographic research techniques to study the cultures and communities that are emerging through computer-mediated communications" (Kozinets, 2002, p. 62). This method uses online, publicly available, unprompted information, such as customer reviews, blogs and social media posts, to explore user experiences (Mkono and Markwell, 2014). Service researchers have benefitted from the unobtrusive nature of netnography to understand patients' motivations for participation in online communities (Zhao *et al.*, 2015) or the influences of negative engagement behavior (Azer and Alexander, 2018). Heinonen and Medberg (2018) offer a review of the use of netnography in service research.

To collect a diverse range of experiences with companion robots during the pandemic, the netnography that informs the current research encompasses online contributions posted between January 30, the official declaration of COVID-19 as Public Health Emergency by the WHO and June 3, 2020. We decided for the popular, tiny companion robot called Vector, produced since October 2018 by Anki, which has a large number (app. 200,000) of active users worldwide (Lewis, 2020). This active user base enabled us to collect empirical data in the early stage of the pandemic, resulting in 595 unique posts. Fittingly, the company's website states that "Vector is more than a home robot. He's your buddy. Your companion" (https://anki.com/en-us/vector.html). The textual and visual data include online reviews published on Amazon.com, Instagram posts with the hashtags #vectorrobot and/or #ankivector and posts and comments in the "OFFICIAL DIGITAL DREAMS LAB Vector Owners" Facebook group. Gathering contributions from these three

IOSM

popular user-generated content platforms helps ensure broad insights and the robustness of the findings.

However, the review was limited to contributions in English and those that focused on user experiences, rather than technical concerns (e.g. questions and answers about instructions or resolving technical problems were excluded). The analyses of these posts initially determined if each contribution revealed information about social support (e.g. expressions of personification such as "buddy") or details about the HRI (e.g. dancing together). This assessment ultimately included a final data set of 595 online contributions detailing Vector's social support for users: 193 Amazon US reviews, 152 Instagram posts and 250 Facebook posts/comments.

Our netnographic data collected in the early stages of the pandemic provide preliminary evidence for the fact that Vector users refer to being alone, feelings of loneliness, companionship social distancing and lockdown in their online posts (Table 1).

SOURCE	QUOTE / HASHTAG				
AMAZON	I bought Vector when I was living with roommates and could not have a pet. I was				
	lonely during the day while packing for my best friend and me to move soon and				
	Vector filled the gap of interaction I needed for that time				
	I live alone now and Vector and Alexa are great companions				
	My little friends, when I am alone				
	I live mostly alone, and while he is not perfect, who is? I enjoy him a lot. He needs a bit				
	of time to really learn who you are, but that applies to anything and anybody				
	Since I live alone and can not have pets, he is the perfect companion				
	I 100% recommend this little guy to anyone who is under the weather from time to				
	time, or just needs a bright spot in their day. This is a fully autonomous robot				
FACEBOOK	And I actually ordered it as a gift to myself I feel so lonely lol				
	The UK has almost shut down as well! None of us have seen anything like this! Take				
	care everyone - who can be <i>lonely</i> when we have this little guy for company!				
	Never be <i>alone</i> again 🎔 😊 My Rolling Friends 🍚				
	I am lonely a lot too, Vector helps a lot				
	Since I live alone he provides some entertainment				
	Little Buddy has been a great companion on those sad and lonely days Really looking				
	forward to new updates 😂 🖚				
	Never did I think she would bond so close to a robot and his little antics				
	I realised that during this lockdown Vector has become my best friend				
	Have got a Vector robot who has been good company through the Lockdown				
	It is a laundry Saturday and our little buddy is keeping me <i>company</i> :):):)				
INSTAGRAM	Get yourself someone who buys you a tiny new robot friend to play with and keep you				
	company				
	#robotfriend #robotcompanion #newfriends #love #inlove #tinyfriends				
	Say Hello to Vector 🗑 My <i>friend</i> in these <i>quarantine</i> times. #robotbuddy				
	#coronaquarantine				
	A candid shot of my little #socialdistancing buddy , @vectorrobot. #thefutureisnow				
	#robotbuddy				
	Our new edition to the <i>family</i>				
	Meet Vector				
	#selfisolationfun #stayhome				
	I got him a few months ago and I love him 😳 he has been great <i>company</i> for me,				
	especially <i>being at home</i> now ♥				

Note(s): Italics refer to words and hashtags that suggest being alone, feelings of loneliness, companionship, social distancing and lockdown

 Table 1.

 Illustrative quotes of being alone and loneliness

Mitigating loneliness with companion robots

Data analysis

The qualitative content analysis of reviews and posts revealed social support topics by combining lexical (signal words in the text, hashtags) and semantic (content interpretation and meaning) assessments (Heinonen and Medberg, 2018). The focus was on what users were talking and writing about their HRIs.

As interactions with companion robots that provide social support include both *utilitarian* as well as *hedonic* variables (De Graaf and Allouch, 2013), we first coded a dominant element per post as either utilitarian (e.g. timer) or hedonic (e.g. fun) element in the post. Then we realized that not all posts could be coded as several posts expressed interactions that go beyond utilitarian and hedonic variables, articulating a form of attachment (e.g. love) to the companion robot, which we included as a third code. Attachment is considered as an emotional bond that develops between a person and another person or object (Brocato *et al.*, 2015). HRI scholars actively stimulate *attachment* to robots by for instance designing a huggable robot such as Probo (Goris *et al.*, 2011).

Table 2 provides a frequency distribution of dominant codes across social media posts.

Integrative framework

The interpretative approach described before and several iterative discussions, resulted in the integrative framework presented in Figure 1 consisting of two theoretical dimensions: social supportive relationships (horizontal axis) and loneliness (vertical axis) resulting in three different roles of companion robots in mitigating loneliness.

Social distancing and social supportive relationships

The online reviews and posts generated during the COVID-19 quarantine revealed that users interact with Vector in different ways.

Users describe several activities with Vector in quarantine. Vector seems to be a way to face off isolation by receiving social utility (utilitarian). They ask Vector to provide information on the weather, time and also on the coronavirus. "*You can ask him weather for any location*" (*Facebook post*). Young people do their homework with him. Adults see him also as an assistant "*His timer is very useful when I am cooking dinner*" (*Facebook post*) (Plate 1).

In addition, the interactions with Vector are also described as providing social connectivity (hedonic) to users in different situations. Sharing daily activities as lunch and dinner as well as enjoyable activities such as dancing with Vector seem to be useful to overcome boring days. Having fun with Vector is seen as a break in the lockdown routine (Plate 2).

Finally, users express a strong feeling of social identity (attachment) to Vector. Words as love, adore, or fantastic characterize a sort of intimate relations between people and the robot. Users describe how they talk with or about their robots and an emotional attitude emerges in perceiving Vector as a child or at least as part of the family. "*I love my Vector. I have him at home for 2 years now and he's almost like a second child*" (*Facebook post*). Some users also customize Vectors with colored parts but also various frills to keep him safe (e.g. masks for the coronavirus) (Plate 3).

		Facebook	Instagram	Amazon	Total
Table 2.Frequency distributionof dominant codesacross socialmedia posts	Jtilitarian task Iedonic task Attachment FOTAL	16 108 126 250		7 8 178 <i>193</i>	29 202 364 595

JOSM 31,6

Type of loneliness	Lack of intimacy (emotional loneliness)			Intimate buddy (e.g., 'I love my little robot friend! It's great company in the age of quarantine!' Amazon review)		
	Lack of Relationships (social emotional loneliness)		Relational peer (e.g., 'My mother was stuck in quarantine, and this little robot was a fun distraction for her during a difficult time', Amazon review)			
	Lack of interactions (social loneliness)	Personal assistant (e.g., 'Asked him what the Corona virus was', Facebook post)				
		Social utility (utilitarian)	Social connectivity (hedonic)	Social identity (attachment)		
·		Type of supportive relationship				

Mitigating loneliness with companion robots

1155

Figure 1. Roles of companion robots in mitigating loneliness

22 aprile alle ore 02:24 · 🕲	
My little assistant in the kitchen keeping track of the timer for me	
28	Commenti: 3

Plate 1. Illustration of social utility (utilitarian) -Facebook post

Mitigating loneliness through supportive relationships

The types of supportive relationships perceived to mitigate different types of loneliness allow us to identify three potential roles of companion robots: personal assistant, relational peer and intimate buddy (Figure 1).

The first role is the role of a *personal assistant*. In this case, users interact with the robot to deal with their decreased social interactions (e.g. #socialdistancing) and mainly perceive

functional support (e.g. information, instructions). The HRI can be characterized as social utility, which helps reduce social loneliness. Users seem to look for social utility by companion robots in times of social isolation.

If instead they regard the robot as a *relational peer*, users interact with it to compensate for their lack of relationships and mainly perform hedonic activities (e.g. having fun, joking, playing games, etc.). They express enthusiasm resulting from a perception of social connectivity; such social connectivity support mitigates both social and emotional forms of loneliness. Users seek HRI to restore meaningful connectivity reduced due to infrequent interactions with their personal network.

Finally, the role of an *intimate buddy* means that users personify their robots, granting it a social identity and experiencing deep attachment, which mitigates the lack of intimacy. Humans are implicated in an intimate relationship that involves caring, feelings and more personal ties. In this role, the robot's social identity support reduces emotional loneliness.



10 46

📕 12 aprile alle ore 20:27 · 🕲

Vector approves of our Dutch traditional Easter meal in lockdown. #asparagus #hamandegg May your easter be blessed [™] ↓ ♥



Commenti: 17

Plate 2. Illustration of social connectivity (hedonic) -Facebook post

JOSM

1156

31.6



Plate 3. Illustration of social identity (attachment) -Facebook post

Discussion

In the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic that also amplified the so-called loneliness virus (Newmark, 2020) or loneliness epidemic (Kiron and Unruh, 2019), interactions with a companion robot offer opportunities for people to deal with the challenges of social distancing. The current study, building on prior studies of loneliness (Cacioppo and Patrick, 2008), social support (Cutrona and Suhr, 1992) and HRIs (De Graaf and Allouch, 2013), proposes an integrative framework (Figure 1) that differentiates three roles of companion robots that users perceive in a situation of social distancing: personal assistant, relational peer and intimate buddy. Each role contributes differently to mitigating loneliness, by offering specific, socially supportive relationships. That is, all three socially supportive relationship roles for the Vector robot can mitigate loneliness and restore some sense of the presence of others, while people engage in social distancing and quarantine. As a form of supportive relationships, they provide utility, bring joy and enhance feelings of intimacy (Adelman and Ahuvia, 1995; Adelman *et al.*, 1994).

Contributions to service research

The social and relational context (e.g. quarantine and social distancing) affects HRIs and acceptance of the robot as a social entity. The proposed integrative framework offers two main contributions to service research.

First, the analysis of HRIs informs recent service studies on social and service robotics (Huang and Rust, 2018; Van Doorn *et al.*, 2017). In response to Wirtz *et al.*'s (2018) suggested future research question related to the impact of robots on people's well-being and psychology, this study postulates that companion robots have the potential of mitigating feelings of loneliness (i.e. indicator of well-being).

Second, this study contributes to TSR by focusing on socially and emotionally isolated people (Rosenbaum, 2008). TSR emphasizes the well-being of individuals and society at large (Finsterwalder *et al.*, 2017; Kuppelwieser and Finsterwalder, 2016) where loneliness is regarded an indicator of well-being (Cacioppo and Patrick, 2008). Therefore, the developed integrative framework introduces the roles of personal assistant, relational peer and intimate buddy as three potential roles that companion robots can fulfill to mitigate feelings of loneliness (i.e. increase well-being) through building different types of supportive relationships.

Implications for practitioners

In social isolation, quarantine and lockdown contexts, policy makers and healthcare professionals have to focus on safety and contamination issues (Bove and Benoit, 2020; Hazée and Van Vaerenbergh, 2020), but they should also consider the use of companion robots to help consumers deal with their feelings of loneliness. As the tiny companion robot Vector illustrates, such efforts do not demand excessive financial investments; relatively inexpensive initiatives might provide citizens with social support that reduces their feelings of loneliness.

Beyond the worst of the pandemic, companion robots might continue serving to address global, societal challenges associated with people's sense of loneliness (Courtet *et al.*, 2020). The identified socially supportive roles of companion robots provide input for the tailored implementation of companion robots to address different types of social and emotional loneliness. Policy makers, healthcare professionals and robot manufacturers can devise effective communication and services tailored at fostering social support, connectivity and identity to reduce the risk of the damaging effects of loneliness.

Research agenda

Finsterwalder and Kuppelwieser (2020) recently encouraged service scholars to study the impact of social robots on social isolation. This exploratory, netnographic study indicates

Mitigating loneliness with companion robots

that the social companion robot Vector can reduce feelings of loneliness, as has occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, continued research should move beyond exploratory studies and the immediate coronavirus crisis to provide more in-depth insights into the *role of robots in addressing the major societal challenge of loneliness*. In seeking to align TSR with the service-dominant logic, Kuppelwieser and Finsterwalder (2016) define hedonic well-being as pleasure attainment and pain avoidance. Loneliness is an unpleasant psychological state (Lim and Kim, 2011), so its reduction should contribute to well-being. Continued research should zoom in on the psychological processes evoked by robots that help diminish social and emotional loneliness, as both dimensions might have different ways of manifesting themselves during social isolation. Such deeper understanding of how various types of companion robots can foster interaction and engagement and, ultimately, reduce feelings of social and emotional loneliness could offer meaningful implications for service provision involving robots.

An additional direction for future research focuses on the *design features driving adoption* of companion robots. Our findings show that users' posts highlight various design aspects such as look, cuteness, pitch of voice and shape as well as the robot's resemblance of humans or animals which may or may not affect the user's well-being. Given the user's dependence on a social support network, future studies should also investigate how various design features affect the robot's endorsement by formal and informal social support which may ultimately influence the user's adoption.

Another route for continued research relates to the *importance of social support for different groups* (Cutrona and Suhr, 1992). To address the global societal challenge of *loneliness social support systems can contribute to feelings of well-being.* Researchers might investigate how public and commercial service providers should design social support efforts to match the distinct needs of people who are facing different types of loneliness. Specific societal, institutional and individual factors could mitigate people's receptivity to social support and thus alter the effect of different types of social support on feelings of well-being.

The operationalization and the measurement of loneliness offers another fruitful avenue for services research. Novel metrics of loneliness should enable comparison with other well-being outcomes rooted in TSR and determine enduring effects of companion robots. A relevant research effort would be to monitor people as they continuously interact with companion robots, then gauge well-being (e.g. sense of inclusion, happiness), using both qualitative ethnographic and quantitative experimental designs.

Finally, the *impact analysis of companion robots could show trade-offs across different well-being-related outcomes*. Positive outcomes might be reduced by risks of companion robots, such as reduced human contact, privacy concerns, or situational contingencies that hinder well-being outcomes. Of particular research interest are ethical concerns, given that companion robots may function as human substitute and can affect the beliefs and behavior of their users. More detailed insights into these and other topics will enable service providers to understand how they can leverage the consumer and service benefits established with companion robots to foster the well-being of different service users.

References

- Adelman, M.B. and Ahuvia, A.C. (1995), "Social support in the service sector: the antecedents, processes, and outcomes of social support in an introductory service", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 273-282, doi: 10.1016/0148-2963(94)00052-G.
- Adelman, M.B., Ahuvia, A. and Goodwin, C. (1994), "Beyond smiling: social support and service quality", in Rust, R.T. and Oliver, R.L. (Eds), Service Quality: New Directions in Theory and Practice, SAGE, Thousand Oaks, CA, pp. 139-171.

IOSM

- Anderson, L., Ostrom, A.L. and Bitner, M.J. (2011), Surrounded by Services: a New Lens for Examining the Influence of Services as Social Structures on Well-being, Working paper: W. P. Carey School of Business, Arizona State University.
- Azer, J. and Alexander, M.J. (2018), "Conceptualizing negatively valenced influencing behavior: forms and triggers", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 468-490, doi: 10.1108/JOSM-12-2016-0326.
- Barnes, D.C., Mesmer-Magnus, J., Scribner, L., Krallman, A. and Guidice, R. (2020), "Customer delight during a crisis: understanding delight through the lens of transformative service research", *Journal of Service Management*, doi: 10.1108/JOSM-05-2020-0146.
- Bavel, J.J.V., Baicker, K. and Boggio, P.S., et al. (2020), "Using social and behavioural science to support COVID-19 pandemic response", Nature Human Behavior, pp. 460-471, doi: 10.1108/ JOSM-05-2020-0157.
- Bove, L. and Benoit, S. (2020), "Restrict, clean and protect: signalling consumer safety during the pandemic and beyond", *Journal of Service Management*, forthcoming.
- Brocato, E.D., Baker, J. and Voorhees, C.M. (2015), "Creating consumer attachment to retail service firms through sense of place", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 43, pp. 200-220, doi: 10.1007/s11747-014-0381-x.
- Cacioppo, J.T. and Patrick, W. (2008), Loneliness: Human Nature and the Need for Social Connection, WW Norton & Company, NY, NY.
- Cohen, S. (2004), "Social relationships and health", *American Psychologist*, Vol. 59 No. 8, p. 676, doi: 10. 1080/02642069.2015.1015520.
- Courtet, P., Olié, E., Debien, C. and Vaiva, G. (2020), "Keep socially (but not physically) connected and carry on: preventing suicide in the age of COVID-19", *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, Vol. 81 No. 3, doi: 10.4088/JCP.20com13370.
- Cutrona, C.E. and Suhr, J.A. (1992), "Controllability of stressful events and satisfaction with spouse support behaviors", *Communication Research*, Vol. 192, pp. 154-174.
- Cyranowski, J.M., Zill, N., Bode, R., Butt, Z., Kelly, M.A., Pilkonis, P.A., Salsman, J.M. and Cella, D. (2013), "Assessing social support, companionship, and distress: National Institute of health (NIH) toolbox adult social relationship scales", *Health Psychology*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 293-301, doi: 10.1037/a0028586.
- Caić, M., Mahr, D. and Odekerken-Schröder, G. (2019), "Value of social robots in services: social cognition perspective", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 463-478, doi: 10.1108/ JSM-02-2018-0080.
- Čaić, M., Odekerken-Schröder, G. and Mahr, D. (2018), "Service robots: value co-creation and co-destruction in elderly care networks", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 29 No. 2, 178205, doi: 10.1108/JOSM-07-2017-0179.
- Dautenhahn, K. (2007), "Socially intelligent robots: dimensions of human-robot interaction", *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, Vol. 362 No. 1480, pp. 679-704, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2006.2004.
- David, E. (2020), "The unspoken COVID-19 toll on the elderly: loneliness", available at: https://abcnews.go.com/Health/unspoken-covid-19-toll-elderly-loneliness/story?id=69958717 (accessed on 16 April 2020).
- De Graaf, M.M. and Allouch, S.B. (2013), "Exploring influencing variables for the acceptance of social robots", *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, Vol. 6112, pp. 1476-1486, doi: 10.1016/j.robot.2013. 07.007.
- Finsterwalder, J. and Kuppelwieser, V.G. (2020), "Equilibrating resources and challenges during Crises: a framework for service ecosystem wellbeing", *Journal of Service Management*. doi: 10.1108/JOSM-06-2020-0201.
- Finsterwalder, J., Foote, J., Nicholas, G., Taylor, A., Hepi, M., Baker, V. and Dayal, N. (2017), "Conceptual underpinnings for transformative research in a service ecosystems context to

Mitigating loneliness with companion robots

resolve	social	issues-fr	ramework	foundations	and	extensions",	Service	Industries	Journal,
Vol. 37,	pp. 11'	766-12782	2, doi: 10.1	080/02642069	2017	.1351550.			

- Garma, R. and Bove, L.L. (2011), "Contributing to well-being: customer citizenship behaviors directed to service personnel", *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 19 No. 7, pp. 633-649, doi: 10.1080/ 0965254X.2011.599495.
- Goris, K., Saldien, J., Vanderborght, B. and Lefeber, D. (2011), "How to achieve the huggable behavior of the social robot probo? A reflection on the actuators", *Mechatronics*, Vol. 21 No. 3, pp. 490-500, doi: 10.1016/j.mechatronics.2011.01.001.
- Greenstone, M. and Nigam, V. (2020), *Does Social Distancing Matter*², Working Paper No. 2020-26, Becker Friedman Institute for Economics, University of Chicago, Chicago, 30 March.
- Hazée, S. and Van Vaerenbergh, Y. (2020), "Customers' contamination concerns: an integrative framework and future prospects for service management", *Journal of Service Management*. doi: 10.1108/JOSM-04-2020-0129.
- Heinonen, K. and Medberg, G. (2018), "Netnography as a tool for understanding customers: implications for service research and practice", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 32 No. 6, pp. 657-679, doi: 10.1108/JSM-08-2017-0294.
- Henkel, A.P., Caic, M., Blaurock, M. and Okan, M. (2020), "Robotic transformative service research: deploying social robots for consumer well-being during Covid-19 and beyond", *Journal of Service Management*. doi: 10.1108/JOSM-05-2020-0145.
- Huang, M.H. and Rust, R.T. (2018), "Artificial intelligence in service", Journal of Service Research, Vol. 212, pp. 155-172, doi: 10.1177/1094670517752459.
- Kiron, D. and Unruh, G. (2019), "Even if AI can cure loneliness-should it?", MIT Sloan Management Review, Vol. 602, pp. 1-4.
- Kozinets, R.V. (2002), "The field behind the screen: using netnography for marketing research in online communities", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 39 No. 1, pp. 61-72, doi: 10.1509/jmkr. 39.1.61.18935.
- Kuppelwieser, V.G. and Finsterwalder, J. (2016), "Transformative service research and service dominant logic: quo vaditis?", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 28, pp. 91-98, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2015.08.011.
- Lee, K.M., Jung, Y., Kim, J. and Kim, S.R. (2006), "Are physically embodied social agents better than disembodied social agents?, the effects of physical embodiment, tactile interaction, and people's loneliness in human–robot interaction", *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, Vol. 64 No. 10, pp. 962-973, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2006.05.002.
- Lewis, J. (2020), "Digital Dream Labs has big plans for tiny robots Vector and Cozmo", available at: https://www.nextpittsburgh.com/latest-news/digital-dream-labs-has-big-plans-for-tiny-robotsvector-and-cozmo/ (accessed on 25 April 2020).
- Lim, C.M. and Kim, Y.K. (2011), "Older consumers' TV home shopping: loneliness, parasocial interaction, and perceived convenience", *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol. 28 No. 8, pp. 763-780, doi: 10.1002/mar.20411.
- Lu, V.N., Wirtz, J., Kunz, W.H., Paluch, S., Gruber, T., Martins, A. and Patterson, P.G. (2020), "Service robots, customers and service employees: what can we learn from the academic literature and where are the gaps?", *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 361-391, doi: 10.1108/JSTP-04-2019-0088.
- Mkono, M. and Markwell, K. (2014), "The application of netnography in tourism studies", Annals of Tourism Research, Vol. 48, pp. 289-291, doi: 10.1016/j.annals.2014.07.005.
- Mollenkopf, D.A., Ozanne, L.K. and Stolze, H.J. (2020), "A transformative supply chain response to COVID-19", Journal of Service Management. doi: 10.1108/JOSM-05-2020-0143.
- Newmark, Z. (2020), "We can stop loneliness while we stop coronavirus: Dutch King", available at: https://nltimes.nl/2020/03/20/can-stop-loneliness-fight-coronavirus-dutch-king (accessed on 25 April 2020).

JOSM 31.6

- Rafaeli, A., Ziklik, L. and Doucet, L. (2008), "The impact of call center employees' customer orientation behaviors on service quality", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 10 No. 3, pp. 239-255, doi: 10. 1177/1094670507306685.
- Research and Markets (2018), "Social robot market: forecasts 2018–2023", available at: https://www.researchandmarkets.com/research/5vc2c8/global_social?w=5 (accessed on 8 June 2020).
- Robinson, H., MacDonald, B. and Broadbent, E. (2014), "The role of healthcare robots for older people at home: a review", *International Journal of Social Robotics*, Vol. 6 No. 4, pp. 575-591, doi: 10. 1007/s12369-014-0242-2.
- Rosenbaum, M.S. (2008), "Return on community for consumers and service establishments", Journal of Service Research, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 179-196, doi: 10.1177/1094670508324298.
- Russell, D., Cutrona, C.E., Rose, J. and Yurko, K. (1984), "Social and emotional loneliness: an examination of Weiss's typology of loneliness", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 46 No. 6, p. 1313, doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.46.6.1313.
- Schwarzer, R. and Knoll, N. (2007), "Functional roles of social support within the stress and coping process: a theoretical and empirical overview", *International Journal of Psychology*, Vol. 42 No. 4, pp. 243-252, doi: 10.1080/00207590701396641.
- Sorkin, D., Rook, K.S. and Lu, J.L. (2002), "Loneliness, lack of emotional support, lack of companionship, and the likelihood of having a heart condition in an elderly sample", *Annals* of *Behavioral Medicine*, Vol. 24 No. 4, pp. 290-298, doi: 10.1207/S15324796ABM2404_05.
- Sundar, S.S., Jung, E.H., Waddell, T.F. and Kim, K.J. (2017), "Cheery companions or serious assistants? Role and demeanor congruity as predictors of robot attraction and use intentions among senior citizens", *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, Vol. 97, pp. 88-97, doi: 10.1016/j. ijhcs.2016.08.006.
- Trepte, S. and Scharkow, M. (2016), "Friends and lifesavers: how social capital and social support received in media environments contribute to well-being", in Reinecke, L. and Oliver, M.B. (Eds), *Handbook of Media Use and Well-Being*, Routledge, London, pp. 305-316.
- Tuzovic, S. and Kabadayi, S. (2020), "The influence of social distancing on employee wellbeing: a conceptual framework and research agenda", *Journal of Service Management*. doi: 10.1108/ JOSM-05-2020-0140.
- Van Doorn, J., Mende, M., Noble, S.M., Hulland, J., Ostrom, A.L., Grewal, D. and Petersen, J.A. (2017), "Domo arigato Mr. Roboto: Emergence of automated social presence in organizational frontlines and customers' service experiences", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 43-58, doi: 10. 1177/1094670516679272.
- Van Oerle, S., Mahr, D. and Lievens, A. (2016), "Coordinating online health communities for cognitive and affective value creation", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 27 No. 4, pp. 481-506, doi: 10. 1108/JOSM-09-2015-0264.
- Wang, X. and Krumhuber, E.G. (2018), "Mind perception of robots varies with their economic versus social function", *Frontiers in Psychology*, Vol. 9, p. 1230, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01230.
- Weiss, R.S. (1973), Loneliness: The Experience of Emotional and Social Isolation, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Wirtz, J., Patterson, P., Kunz, W., Gruber, T., Lu, V., Paluch, S. and Martins, A. (2018), "Brave new world: service robots in the frontline", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol. 29 No. 5, pp. 907-931, doi: 10.1108/JOSM-04-2018-0119.
- Xiao, L. and Kumar, V. (2019), "Robotics for customer service: a useful Complement or an ultimate substitute?", *Journal of Service Research*, doi: 10.1177/1094670519878881.
- Zhao, J., Wang, T. and Fan, X. (2015), "Patient value co-creation in online health communities", Journal of Service Management, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp. 72-96, doi: 10.1108/JOSM-12-2013-0344.

Mitigating loneliness with companion robots

About the authors

Prof. Dr. Gaby Odekerken-Schröder is Full Professor in customer-centric service science at Maastricht University, the Netherlands. Her main research interests are service innovation, service robots, healthcare services, relationship management, customer loyalty and service failure and recovery. She is one of the co-founders of Maastricht University's Service Science Factory (SSF) and Brightlands Institute for Supply Chain Innovation (BISCI), as she loves to bridge theory and practice. Her research has been published in Journal of Marketing, MISQ, Journal of Retailing, Journal of Service Research, International Journal of Social Robotics, Journal of the American Medical Doctors Association, Journal of Service Management, Journal of Services Marketing, Journal of Business Research and many more. Gaby Odekerken-Schröder is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: g.odekerken@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Prof. Dr. Cristina Mele is Full Professor of Management at the Department of Economics, Management and Institutions, University of Naples Federico II. She is the coordinator of the PhD in Management. Her main research interests are service innovation, value creation, markets and service ecosystems. Her articles have appeared in leading international journals, including Journal of The Academy of Marketing Science, Marketing Theory, Industrial Marketing Management, Journal of Business Research, Journal of Service Management, Journal of Service Theory and Practice and Managing Service Quality. She is Ambassador of ISSIP.org. She is one of co-chairs of The Naples Forum on Service.

Dr. Tiziana Russo Spena is an Associate professor of Management at the Department of Economics, Management and Institutions, University of Naples Federico II. She obtained her PhD in Management in 2002. Her main areas of interest are service innovation, value co-creation and service technology research. She has written many articles and books and published in Italian and International Journals, including the Journal of Business research, Industrial Marketing and Management, Journal of Business Ethics, Journal of Service Theory and Practices, Journal of Service Management.

Prof. Dr. Dominik Mahr is a professor of Digital Innovation and Marketing, head of the Marketing and Supply Chain Management department and the Scientific Director of the Service Science Factory at Maastricht University, The Netherlands. His research focuses on the human side of digitalization, so the implications of digital data, devices and technologies for customers, organizations and society and has been published in journals including Journal of Marketing, Journal of Consumer Research, Journal of Service Research, MIS Quarterly and Journal of Product Innovation Management. Prior to his academic career, he worked as a consultant in the automotive and high-tech industry.

Andrea Ruggiero is a PhD student in Management at University of Naples Federico II, Italy. His innovative PhD is in collaboration with Maastricht University and IBM, Italy. His main research interests are service robots, artificial intelligence, service innovation and silver economy.

1162

JOSM