

References

- Agadjanian, A. (2017). Tradition, morality and community: Elaborating orthodox identity in Putin's Russia. *Religion, State and Society*, 45(1), 39–60.
- Ahmed, S. (2017). *Living a feminist life*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Aigen, B. (1996). Motivations of surrogate mothers – Parenthood, altruism and self-actualisation (a three year study). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/http://claradoc.gpa.free.fr/doc/87.pdf>. Accessed on August 03, 2017.
- van den Akker, O. (2003). Genetic and gestational surrogate mothers' experience of surrogacy. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 21(2), 145–161.
- Anderson, E. (1990). Is women's labor a commodity? *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 19(1), 71–92.
- Anderson, E. (2000). Why commercial surrogate motherhood unethically commodifies women and children: Reply to McLachlan and Swales. *Health Care Analysis: Journal of Health Philosophy and Policy*, 8(1), 19–26.
- Andrews, L. (1988). Surrogate motherhood: The challenge for feminists. *The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, 16(1–2), 72–80.
- Anleu, S. (1990). Reinforcing gender norms: Commercial and altruistic surrogacy. *Acta Sociologica*, 33(1), 63–74.
- Ashwin, S., & Lytkina, T. (2004). Men in crisis in Russia: The role of domestic marginalization. *Gender & Society*, 18(2), 189–206.
- Avilov, A. (2021). Russia considers banning surrogacy for unmarried people, foreigners – RBC. *The Moscow Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2021/01/20/russia-considers-banning-surrogacy-for-unmarried-people-foreigners-rbc-a72668>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Belyakov, D. (2018). *No support. Russia's "gay propaganda" law imperils LGBT youth*. Report. Human Rights Watch. Retrieved from <https://www.hrw.org/report/2018/12/11/no-support/russias-gay-propaganda-law-imperils-lgbt-youth>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Berend, Z. (2012). The romance of surrogacy. *Sociological Forum*, 27, 913–936.
- Berend, Z. (2014). The social context for surrogates' motivations and satisfaction. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 29(4), 399–401.
- Berend, Z. (2016a). 'We are all carrying someone else's child!': Relatedness and relationships in third-party reproduction. *American Anthropologist*, 118(1), 24–36.
- Berend, Z. (2016b). *The online world of surrogacy*. New York, NY: Berghahn.
- Berkhout, S. (2008). Bun in the oven. *Social Theory and Practice*, 34(1), 95–117.
- Blam, I., & Kovalev, S. (2006). Spontaneous commercialisation, inequality and the contradictions of compulsory medical insurance in transitional Russia. *Journal of International Development*, 18(3), 407–423.
- Blee, K. (1998). White-Knuckle research: Emotional dynamics in fieldwork with racist activists. *Qualitative Sociology*, 21, 381–399.

- Block, F. (2012). Relational work in market economies: Introduction. *Politics & Society*, 40(2), 135–144.
- Bocharova, M. (2020). Russian investigators single out gay fathers in latest crackdown on LGBTQ rights. *Coda*. Retrieved from <https://www.codastory.com/disinformation/russia-gay-fathers/>. Accessed on March 23, 2021.
- Bondar, J. (2017). Суд Обязал Суррогатную Мать Отдать Детей Заказчикам [The court ordered the surrogate mother to give the children to the customers]. Retrieved from <https://medportal.ru/mednovosti/sud-obyazal-surrogatnyuyu-mat-otdat-deteyzakazchikam/>. Accessed on November 27, 2020.
- Bourdieu, P. (1977). *Outline of a theory of practice*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Oxford: Greenwood Publishing Group.
- Brednikova, O., Nartova, N., & Tkach, O. (2009). Assisted reproduction in Russia: legal regulations and public debates. In W. de Jong & O. Tkach (Eds.), *Making bodies, persons and families: Normalising reproductive technologies in Russia, Switzerland and Germany* (pp. 43–56). Münster: LIT Verlag.
- Bridger, S., Pinnick, K., & Kay, R. (1996). *No more heroines?: Russia, women and the market*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Burfoot, A. (1990). The normalisation of a new reproductive technology. In M. McNeil, I. Varcoe, & S. Yearly (Eds.), *The new reproductive technologies. Explorations in sociology. British sociological association conference volume series* (pp. 58–73). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Campbell, R., & Wasco, S. (2000). Feminist approaches to social science: Epistemological and methodological tenets. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 28(6), 773–791.
- Carroll, O. (2020). Gay men in Russia with surrogate children warned they face arrest. *The Independent*. Retrieved from <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/russia-gay-putin-arrest-lbgt-surrogate-b746395.html>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Cassaniti, J., & Hickman, J. (2014). New directions in the anthropology of morality. *Anthropological Theory*, 14(3), 251–262.
- Chauduri, M. (2013). New Indian visa rules exclude single people and gay couples from child surrogacy. *BMJ*, 346, f475.
- Chen, S., Yang, J., Yang, W., Wang, C., & Bärnighausen, T. (2020). COVID-19 control in China during mass population movements at new year. *The Lancet*, 395(10226), 764–766.
- Cockerham, W. (2007). Health lifestyles and the absence of the Russian middle class. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 29(3), 457–473.
- Colen, S. (1995). ‘Like a mother to them’: Stratified reproduction and West Indian childcare workers and employers in New York. In F. Ginsburg & R. Rapp (Eds.), *Conceiving the new world order: The global politics of reproduction* (pp. 78–102). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Constable, N. (2009). The commodification of intimacy: Marriage, sex, and reproductive labor. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 38(1), 49–64.

- Cook, M. (2017). Russian surrogacy, controversial and unregulated. Retrieved from <https://www.bioedge.org/bioethics/russian-surrogacy-controversial-and-unregulated/12528>. Accessed on November 27, 2020.
- Cooper, M., & Waldby, C. (2014). *Clinical labor: Tissue donors and research subjects in the global bioeconomy*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Cromer, R. (2019). Making the ethnic embryo: Enacting race in US embryo adoption. *Medical Anthropology: Cross Cultural Studies in Health and Illness*, 38(7), 603–619.
- Culley, L., Hudson, N., & Van Rooij, F. (2012). *Marginalized reproduction: Ethnicity, infertility and reproductive technologies*. London: Earthscan.
- Cussins, C. (1998). Quit sniveling, cryo-baby, we'll work out which one is your mama!. In R. Davis-Floyd & J. Dumit (Eds.), *Cyborg babies: From techno-sex to technotots* (pp. 40–66). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Dandan, N., & Shiyu, Z. (2020). The scramble to rescue China's stranded babies. *Sixth Tone*. Retrieved from <https://www.sixthtone.com/news/1006460/the-scramble-to-rescue-chinas-stranded-babies>. Accessed on February 19, 2021.
- Davis, D.-A. (2019). *Reproductive injustice racism, pregnancy, and premature birth*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Davis-Floyd, R. (1997). Conceiving the new world order: The global politics of reproduction. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 11(3), 398–401.
- Davis-Floyd, R. (2001). The technocratic, humanistic, and holistic paradigms of childbirth. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 75(Suppl 1), S5–S23.
- Davydova, D. (2019). *Between heteropatriarchy and homonationalism: Codes of gender, sexuality, and race/ethnicity in Putin's Russia*. Doctoral Dissertation, York University, Toronto, ON. Retrieved from https://yorkspace.library.yorku.ca/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10315/36777/Davydova_Darja_2019_PhD.pdf?sequence=2&isAllowed=y. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Denton, S. (2020). Surrogate families separated by coronavirus travel restrictions. *Bionews*. March 30, 2020. Retrieved from https://www.bionews.org.uk/page_148783. Accessed on November 27, 2020.
- Deomampo, D. (2013a). Gendered geographies of reproductive tourism. *Gender & Society*, 27(4), 514–537.
- Deomampo, D. (2013b). Transnational surrogacy in India: Interrogating power and women's agency. *Frontiers*, 34(3), 167–188.
- Deomampo, D. (2016). Race, nation, and the production of intimacy: Transnational ova donation in India. *Positions: East Asia Cultures Critique*, 24(1), 303–332.
- Dow, K. (2017). "A Nine-month head-start": The maternal bond and surrogacy. *Ethnos*, 82(1), 86–104.
- Dushina, A. D., Kersha, Y. D., Larkina, T. Y., Provorova, D. D. (2016). Легитимация коммерческого сурrogатного материнства в России [Legitimation of commercial surrogacy in Russia]. *Экономическая социология*. [*Journal of Economic Sociology*], 17(1), 62–82.
- Dworkin, A. (1983). *Right-wing women*. New York, NY: Perigee Books.
- Dzhafarov, E. (2020). The invention of 'gay mutilators'. *Meduza*. October 5, 2020. Retrieved from <https://meduza.io/en/feature/2020/10/05/the-invention-of-gay-mutilators>. Accessed on October 5, 2020.
- Einwohner, J. (1989). Who becomes a surrogate. In J. Offerman-Zuckerberg (Ed.), *Gender in transition. A new frontier* (pp. 123–132). New York, NY: Plenum Medical Book Company.

- Ekman, K. E. (2013). *Being and being bought : Prostitution, surrogacy and the split self*. North Melbourne, VIC: Spinifex Press.
- Emmel, N., Hughes, K., Greenhalgh, J., & Sales A. (2007, March). Accessing socially excluded people — trust and the gatekeeper in the researcher-participant relationship. *Sociological Research Online*, 12(2), 43–55.
- EU-OCS. (2020). Russia to arrest individuals fathering children via surrogacy. Retrieved from <https://eu-ocs.com/russia-to-arrest-individuals-fathering-childrenvia-surrogacy/>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Everhart, R. (1977). Between stranger and friend: Some consequences of ‘long term’ fieldwork in schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 14(1), 1–15.
- Farrugia, A., Penrod, J., & Bult, J. M. (2010). Payment, compensation and replacement—the ethics and motivation of blood and plasma donation. *Vox Sanguinis*, 99(3), 202–211.
- Fisher, A., & Hoskins, M. (2013). A good surrogate: The experiences of women who are gestational surrogates in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Counselling and Psychotherapy*, 47(4), 500–513.
- Franklin, S. (2013). *Biological relatives: IVF, stem cells, and the future of kinship*. Durham, NC; London: Duke University Press.
- Franks, D. (1981). Psychiatric evaluation of women in a surrogate mother program. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 138(10), 1378–1379.
- Gerrits, T. (2016). ‘It’s not my eggs, it is not my husband’s sperm, it is not my child’: Surrogacy and ‘not doing kinship’ in Ghana. In C. Krollokke, L. Myong, S. W. Adrian, & T. Tjornhoj-Thomsen (Eds.), *Critical kinship studies* (pp. 65–81). London: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Giddens, A. (1984). *The constitution of society: Outline of the theory of structuration*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Ginsburg, F., & Rapp, R. (1995). *Conceiving the new world order: The global politics of reproduction*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Glenn, E. N. (1992). From servitude to service work: Historical continuities in the racial division of paid reproductive labor. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 18(1), 1–43.
- Gorshkova, I., & Klochkov, V. (2011). Economic analysis of development prospects for air transport in Russia’s sparsely populated regions. *Studies on Russian Economic Development*, 22(6), 611–621.
- Harrison, L. (2014). “I am the baby’s real mother”: Reproductive tourism, race, and the transnational construction of kinship. *Women’s Studies International Forum*, 47, 145–156.
- Harrison, L. (2016). *Brown bodies, white babies: The politics of cross-racial surrogacy*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Haylett, J. L. (2015). *From contracted employee to fictive kin: U.S. commercial surrogacy as a case of relational work*. Doctoral Thesis. Retrieved from <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/From-contracted-employee-to-fictive-kin%3A-U.S.-as-a-Haylett/7d8895530e526555cacb7fa11c08247e9acd55f1>. Accessed on July 08, 2017.
- HFEA. (2020). *Fertility treatment 2018: Trends and figures*. Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority. Retrieved from <https://www.hfea.gov.uk/about-us/publications/research-and-data/fertility-treatment-2018-trends-and-figures/>. Accessed on September 25, 2020.

- Hibino, Y. (2015). Implications of the legalization of non-commercial surrogacy for local kinship and motherhood in Vietnamese society. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 30(2), 113–114.
- Hochschild, A. (1979). Emotion work, feeling rules, and social structure. *American Journal of Sociology*, 85(3), 551–575.
- Hochschild, A. (2003). *The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Hochschild, A. (2011). Emotional life on the market frontier. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 37(1), 21–33.
- Hoffmann, E. (2007). Open-ended interviews, power, and emotional labor. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*, 36(3), 318–346.
- Holland, J. (2007). Emotions and research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 10(3), 195–209.
- Hoodfar, H. (1992). The veil in their minds and on our heads: The persistence of colonial images of Muslim women. *Resources for Feminist Research*, 3(3/4), 5–18.
- Hudson, N. A. (2008). *Infertility in British South Asian communities: Negotiating the community and the clinic*. Doctoral Dissertation, De Montfort University. Retrieved from <https://www.dora.dmu.ac.uk/xmlui/handle/2086/4817>.
- Humphrey, C. (2002). Subsistence farming and the peasantry as an idea in contemporary Russia. In P. Leonard & D. Kaneff (Eds.), *Post-socialist peasant? Rural and urban constructions of identity in Eastern Europe, East Asia and the former Soviet Union* (pp. 136–159). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Imrie, S., & Jadvá, V. (2014). The long-term experiences of surrogates: Relationships and contact with surrogacy families in genetic and gestational surrogacy arrangements. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 29(4), 424–435.
- Inhorn, M. C. (2011). Diasporic dreaming: Return reproductive tourism to the middle east. *Symposium: Cross-Border Reproductive Care – Ethical, Legal, and Socio-Cultural Perspectives*, 23(5), 582–591.
- Inhorn, M. C. (2015). *Cosmopolitan conceptions: IVF sojourns in global dubai*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Isakova, E., Korsak, V., & Gromyko, Y. (2001). Опыт реализация программы ‘суррогатное материнство’ [Experience of the realisation of the programme of ‘surrogate motherhood’]. Retrieved from <http://www.fesmu.ru/elib/Article.aspx?id560747>. Accessed on November 29, 2020.
- Issouпова, O. (2012). From duty to pleasure?: Motherhood in Soviet and Post-Soviet Russia. In S. Ashwin (Ed.), *Gender, state and society in Soviet and Post-Soviet Russia* (pp. 30–54). London: Routledge.
- Ivankiva, M. (2012). Russian surrogate moms attract foreigners. *The St Petersburg Times*. Retrieved from http://www.jurconsult.ru/smi/print/st_peter_times/12_09_2012.pdf. Accessed on January 22, 2017.
- Ivolga, I. (2016). Adaption of the Russian food market to the contemporary geopolitical challenges: Bans vs liberalisation. In V. Erokhin (Ed.), *Global perspectives on trade integration and economies in transition* (pp. 185–211). Hershey, PA: Business Science Reference.
- Jacobson, H. (2016). *Labor of love: Gestational surrogacy and the work of making babies*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.

- Jadva, V., Murray, C., Lycett, E., MacCallum, F., & Golombok, S. (2003). Surrogacy: The experiences of surrogate mothers. *Human Reproduction*, 18(10), 2196–2204.
- Jaggar, A. (1989). Love and knowledge: Emotion in feminist epistemology. *Inquiry. An Interdisciplinary Journal of Philosophy*, 32(2), 151–176.
- de Jong, W., & Tkach, O. (Eds.). (2009). *Making bodies, persons and families: Normalising reproductive technologies*. Münster: Lit Verlag.
- Kanefield, L. (1999). The reparative motive in surrogate mothers. *Adoption Quarterly*, 2(4), 5–19.
- Katchanovski, I. (2016). The separatist war in Donbas: A violent break-up of Ukraine? *European Politics and Society*, 17(4), 473–489.
- Keaney, J., & Moll, T. (2020). Fertility care in the era of COVID-19. *ADI Policy Briefing Papers*, 1(6), 1–8. Retrieved from <https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5b0fd5e6710699c630b269b1/t/5fae133b36358572f1186955/1605243747841/ADI+Policy+Briefing+Paper+-+Fertility+care+in+the+era+of+COVID-19.pdf>. Accessed on March 19, 2021.
- Khazova, O. A. (2013). Russia. In K. Trimmings & P. Beaumont (Eds.), *International surrogacy arrangements: Legal regulation at the international level* (pp. 311–324). Oxford; Portland, OR: Hart Publishing.
- Khvorostyanov, N., & Yeshua-Katz, D. (2020). Bad, pathetic and greedy women: Expressions of surrogate motherhood stigma in a Russian online forum. *Sex Roles*, 83(7–8), 474–484.
- Kiblitckaya, M. (2000). Russia's female breadwinners: The changing subjective experience. In S. Ashwin (Ed.), *Gender, state and society in Soviet and Post-Soviet Russia* (pp. 55–70). London: Routledge.
- King, E., Dudina, V., & Dubrovskaya, S. (2020). 'You feel sick, you get sick, you still keep going': Central Asian female labour migrants' health in Russia. *Global Public Health*, 15(4), 544–557.
- Kirilova, Y., & Bogdan, V. (2013). The role and significance of assisted reproductive technology in Russia's inheritance law. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 17(12), 1641–1645.
- Kirpichenko, M. (2017). Russian legislative practises and debates on the restriction of wide access to ARTs. In M. Lie & N. Lykke (Eds.), *Assisted reproduction across borders: Feminist perspectives on normalizations, disruptions and transmissions* (pp. 232–247). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Kirpichenko, M. (2020). Ideology of simulation: The material-semiotic production of the surrogate in the web worldings of Russian surrogacy. *European Journal of Women's Studies*, 1–17.
- Kleinpeter, C., & Hohman, M. (2000). Surrogate motherhood: Personality traits and satisfaction with service providers. *Psychological Reports*, 87(3, 1), 957–970.
- König, A. (2018). Parents on the move: German intended parents' experiences with transnational surrogacy. In S. Mitra, S. Schicktanz, & T. Patel (Eds.), *Cross-cultural comparisons on surrogacy and egg donation*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.
- König, A., Jacobson, H., & Majumdar, A. (2020). 'Pandemic disruptions' in surrogacy arrangements in Germany, U.S.A., and India during COVID-19. *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*. Retrieved from <http://medanthroquarterly.org/2020/08/11/pandemic-disruptions-in-surrogacy-arrangements-in-germany-u-s-a-and-india-during-covid-19/>

- Kozkina, A. (2018). Сложный ребенок. Как расставание двух влиятельных людей и суррогатное материнство обернулись уголовными делами и (возможно) жертвами [Difficult child. How the separation of two powerful people and surrogate motherhood turned into a criminal case with a (possible) victim]. Retrieved from <https://zona.media/article/2018/03/05/mirimskaya>. Accessed on November 29, 2020.
- Krainova, N. (2013). Mizulina's surrogacy ban idea ridiculed. *The Moscow Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2013/11/11/mizulinas-surrogacy-ban-idea-ridiculed-a29455>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Lafuente-Funes, S. (2020). Shall we stop talking about egg donation? Transference of reproductive capacity in the Spanish bioeconomy. *BioSocieties*, 15, 207–225.
- Larivaara, M., Dubikaytis, T., Kuznetsova, O., & Hemminki, E. (2008). Between a rock and a hard place: The question of money at St. Petersburg women's clinics. *International Journal of Health Services*, 38(2), 357–377.
- Leissner, O. (2012). From surrogacy to abortion and all that lies between them. *International Journal of Feminist Approaches to Bioethics*, 5(1), 133–159.
- Lewis, S. (2019). *Full surrogacy now: Feminism against family*. London: Verso.
- Liefert, W. (2004). Food security in Russia: Economic growth and rising insecurity. Food Security Assessment/GFA-15. Retrieved from <https://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/rims-hse/publications/1449>. Accessed on November 29, 2020.
- Litvinova, M., Manuyilova, A., Veretennikova, K., & Makutina, M. (2021). Иностранцев посылают к иной матери. В Госдуме обсуждают нюансы суррогатного материнства [Foreigners are sent to another mother. The State Duma discusses the nuances of surrogacy]. *Коммерсантъ* [Kommersant]. Retrieved from <https://www.kommersant.ru/doc/4654340>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Loktionova, M. (2020). Дело в клетке: суррогатному материнству прописали новые правила [A case in a cage: New rules have been prescribed for surrogacy]. *Газета* [Gazeta]. Retrieved from <https://www.gazeta.ru/social/2020/10/20/13326049.shtml>. Accessed on March 08, 2021.
- MacLeod, A. (1992). Hegemonic relations and gender resistance: The new veiling as accommodating protest in Cairo. *Signs*, 17(3), 533–557.
- Majumdar, A. (2014). The rhetoric of choice: The feminist debates on reproductive choice in the commercial surrogacy arrangement in India. *Gender, Technology and Development*, 18(2), 275–301.
- Majumdar, A. (2017). *Transnational commercial surrogacy and the (un)making of kin in India*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Mamo, L., & Alston-Stepnitz, E. (2015). Queer intimacies and structural inequalities. *Journal of Family Issues*, 36(4), 519–540.
- Marger, M. (2015). *Race and ethnic relations: American and global perspectives*. Stamford, CT: Cengage Learning.
- Martin, T. (2001). *The affirmative action empire: Nations and nationalism in the Soviet Union, 1923–1939*. Cornell, NY: Cornell University Press.
- McGregor, S. (2001). Neoliberalism and health care. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 25(2), 82–89.
- McLachlan, H., & Swales, J. K. (2000). Babies, child bearers and commodification: Anderson, Brazier et Al., and the political economy of commercial surrogate motherhood. *Health Care Analysis: Journal of Health Philosophy and Policy*, 8(1), 1–18.

- Mezentseva, E. (2005). Gender inequality in today Russia: Who bear the social costs of reforms? *Gender and Feminism Under Post-Communism Roundtable*. Retrieved from <http://www.indiana.edu/~receiweb/newsEvents/pre2006/mezentseva%20paper.pdf>. Accessed on April 12, 2017.
- Michaels, P. (2000). Medical propaganda and cultural revolution in Soviet Kazakhstan, 1928–41. *The Russian Review*, 59(2), 159–178.
- Mitra, S. (2017). *Disruptive embodiments: An ethnography of risks and failures during commercial surrogacy in India*. Doctoral Dissertation, Social Science Faculty, University of Göttingen.
- Mitra, S., & Schicktanz, S. (2016). Failed surrogate conceptions: social and ethical aspects of preconception disruptions during commercial surrogacy in India. *Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine*, 11(1), 1–16.
- Moll, T. (2019). Making a match: Curating race in South African gamete donation. *Medical Anthropology: Cross Cultural Studies in Health and Illness*, 38(7), 588–602.
- Nahman, M. (2008). Nodes of desire: Romanian egg sellers, dignity' and feminist alliances in transnational ova exchanges. *European Journal of Women's Studies*, 15(2), 65–82.
- Nahman, M. (2013). *Extractions: An ethnography of reproductive tourism*. Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Näre, L. (2014). Agency as capabilities: Ukrainian women's narratives of social change and mobility. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 47(November), 223–231.
- Nartova, O. (2009). Surrogate motherhood and sperm donorship in the Russian media: Normalising the body. In W. de Jong & O. Tkach (Eds.), *Making bodies, persons and families: Normalising reproductive technologies in Russia, Switzerland and Germany* (pp. 75–94). Münster: LIT Verlag.
- van Niekerk, A., & van Zyl, L. (1995). Commercial surrogacy and the commodification of children: An ethical perspective. *Medicine & Law*, 14(3–4), 163–170.
- Novoselova, E. (2006). Мама на девять месяцев. Суррогатное материнство поможет решить демографическую проблему [Mother for nine months. Surrogate motherhood helps to solve the demographic problem]. *Российская Газета* [Rossiyskaya Gazeta]. Retrieved from <https://rg.ru/2006/12/08/surrogatnaya-mat.html>. Accessed on November 29, 2020.
- Oliver, K. (1989). Marxism and surrogacy. *Hypatia*, 4(3), 95–115.
- Ortner, S. (1997). *Making gender: The politics and erotics of culture*. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Ortner, S. (2006). *Anthropology and social theory: Culture, power, and the acting subject*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Ortner, S. B. (2001). Specifying agency. The Comaroffs and their critics. *Interventions*, 3(1), 76–84.
- Oxford Dictionary. (2017). *Oxford Dictionary*. Retrieved from <http://www.oed.com/view/Entry/122086?isAdvanced=false&result=2&rskey=sffkSq&>. Accessed on July 25, 2017.
- Pachenkov, O. (2010). 'Caucasian' female labor migration in contemporary Russia: Changing patterns (case of St.-Petersburg). Retrieved from <https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/read/18240059/caucasian-female-labor-migration-in-contemporary-russia->. Accessed on November 29, 2020.

- Pande, A. (2009). "It may be her eggs but it's my blood": Surrogates and everyday forms of kinship in India. *Qualitative Sociology*, 32(4), 379–397.
- Pande, A. (2010). Commercial surrogacy in India: Manufacturing a perfect mother-worker. *Signs. Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 35(4), 969–992.
- Pande, A. (2014a). This birth and that: Surrogacy and stratified motherhood in India. *Philosophia*, 4(1), 50–64.
- Pande, A. (2014b). *Wombs in labor: Transnational commercial surrogacy in India*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Parker, P. (1983). Motivation of surrogate mothers: Initial findings. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 140(1), 117–118.
- Parker, P. (1984). Surrogate motherhood, psychiatric screening and informed consent, baby selling, and public policy. *Bulletin of the American Academy of Psychiatry & the Law*, 12(1), 21–39.
- Pizitz, T., Joseph, M., & Rabin, A. (2012). Do women who choose to become surrogate mothers have different psychological profiles compared to a normative female sample? *Women and Birth*, 26(1), e15–e20.
- Ragoné, H. (1994). *Surrogate motherhood: Conception in the heart*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Ragoné, H. (1996). Chasing the blood tie: Surrogate mothers, adoptive mothers and fathers. *American Ethnologist*, 23(2), 352–365.
- Ragoné, H. (1999). "The gift of life." Transformative motherhood: On giving and getting in a consumer culture. In R. Cook, S. D. Sclater, & F. Kaganas (Eds.), *Surrogate motherhood: International perspectives* (pp. 65–88). Oxford: Hart Publishing.
- Ragoné, H. (2000). Of likeness and difference: How race is being transfigured by gestational surrogacy. In H. Ragoné & F. W. Twine (Eds.), *Ideologies and technologies of motherhood: Race, class, sexuality, nationalism* (pp. 56–78). New York, NY: Routledge.
- RAHR. (2015). Российская Ассоциация Репродукции Регистр ВРТ [Russian association of reproduction register]. Retrieved from http://www.http://www.rahr.ru/d_registr_otchet/registr_2015.pdf. Accessed on August 08, 2015.
- RapsiNews. (2014). Russian lawmakers consider banning use of surrogate mothers by single men. Russian Legal Information Agency (RAPSI). Retrieved from http://www.rapsinews.com/legislation_news/20140204/270635433.html. Accessed on November 29, 2020.
- RapsiNews. (2017). Bill banning surrogacy reaches Russian lower house of parliament. Russian Legal Information Agency (RAPSI). Retrieved from http://www.rapsinews.com/legislation_news/20170327/278106535.html. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- RapsiNews. (2020). Arrest of wanted defendant in surrogacy kids trade case in absentia upheld. Russian Legal Information Agency (RAPSI). Retrieved from http://www.rapsinews.com/judicial_news/20201209/306577379.html. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Rathi, D. (2020). Critical analysis of the surrogacy regulation bill, 2016. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 5(5), 751–756.
- Reuters. (2020a). Russia Ramps up controls, shuts China border crossings over virus fears. Retrieved from <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-china-health-russia-border/russian-regions-in-far-east-close-border-with-china-amid-coronavirus-fears-tass-idUSKBN1ZR0TU>. Accessed on September 23, 2020.

- Reuters. (2020b). 'There will be dad and mum': Putin rules out Russia legalizing gay marriage. Retrieved from <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-russia-putin-constitution-idUSKBN2072DS>. Accessed on March 24, 2021.
- Rivkin-Fish, M. (2013). Conceptualizing feminist strategies for Russian reproductive politics: Abortion, surrogate motherhood, and family support after socialism. *Signs*, 38(3), 569–593.
- Roberts, E., & Scheper-Hughes, N. (2011). Introduction: Medical migrations. *Body & Society*, 17(2–3), 1–30.
- ROC. (n.d.). Основы социальной концепции Русской Православной Церкви: XII. Проблемы биоэтики [Fundamentals of the social concept of the Russian Orthodox Church: XII. Problems of bioethics]. Retrieved from <https://mospat.ru/ru/documents/social-concepts/xiii/>. Accessed on August 26, 2020.
- Roth, A. (2020). Up to 1,000 babies born to surrogate mothers stranded in Russia. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/lifeandstyle/2020/jul/29/up-to-1000-babies-born-to-surrogate-mothers-stranded-in-russia>. Accessed on September 01, 2020.
- Rothman, B. K. (1988). Cheap labor: Sex, class, race – And “surrogacy”. *Society*, 25(3), 21–23.
- Rotkirch, A., Temkina, A., & Zdravomyslova, E. (2007). Who helps the degraded housewife?: Comments on Vladimir Putin’s demographic speech. *European Journal of Women’s Studies*, 14(4), 349–357.
- Rudrappa, S. (2015). *Discounted life: The price of global surrogacy in India*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Rudrappa, S. (2017). Reproducing dystopia: The politics of transnational surrogacy in India, 2002–2015. *Critical Sociology*, 44(7–8), 1087–1101.
- Rudrappa, S. (2018). Why is India’s ban on commercial surrogacy bad for women. *North Carolina Journal of International Law*, 43(4), 70–95.
- Rusanova, N. (2013). Вспомогательные репродуктивные технологии в России: История, проблемы, демографические перспективы [Assisted reproductive technologies in Russia: History, problems, demographic prospects]. *Журнал исследований социальной политики*. [The Journal of Social Policy Studies], 11(1), 69–86.
- Ryazantsev, S., Pismennaya, E., Karabulatova, I., & Akramov, S. (2014). Transformation of sexual and matrimonial behavior of Tajik labour migrants in Russia. *Asian Social Science*, 10(20), 174–183.
- Sahlins, M. (1981). *Historical metaphors and mythical realities: Structure in the early history of the Sandwich Islands Kingdom*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Chicago Press.
- Saxinger, G. (2015). ‘To you, to us, to oil and gas’ – The symbolic and socio-economic attachment of the workforce to oil, gas and its spaces of extraction in the Yamal-Nenets and Khanty-Mansi autonomous districts in Russia. *Fennia*, 193(1), 83–98.
- Saxinger, G., Oefner, E., Sakirova, E., Ivanona, M., Yakuvlev, M., & Gareev, E. (2014). «Я готов!», новое поколение мобильных кадров в российской нефтегазовой промышленности. Сибирские исторические исследования [“I am ready!”: The next generation of mobile professionals in the Russian oil and gas industry]. *Сибирские исторические исследования*. [Siberian Historical Research], 3, 73–103.
- Sevortian, A. (2009). Xenophobia in Post-Soviet Russia. *The Equal Rights Review*, 3, 19–27.

- Shadrina, A. (2015). What is threatening 'traditional family values' in Russia today? *Open Democracy*. Retrieved from <https://www.opendemocracy.net/en/odr/what-is-threatening-traditional-family-values-in-russia-today/>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Shanley, M. (1993). 'Surrogate mothering' and women's freedom: A critique of contracts for human reproduction. *Signs*, 18(3), 618–639.
- Siegl, V. (2018a). Aligning the affective body: Commercial surrogacy in Moscow and the emotional labour of Nastravitsya. *Tsantsa*, 23, 63–81.
- Siegl, V. (2018b). 'The ultimate argument': Evoking the affective powers of 'happiness' in commercial surrogacy. *Anthropological Journal of European Cultures*, 27(2), 1–21.
- Slezkine, Y. (2000). Imperialism as the highest stage of socialism. *The Russian Review*, 59(2), 227–234.
- Smietana, M. (2017). Affective de-commodifying, economic de-Kinning: Surrogates' and gay fathers' narratives in U.S. surrogacy. *Sociological Research Online*, 22(2), 163–175.
- Smietana, M., Rudrappa, S., & Weis, C. (2021). Moral frameworks of commercial surrogacy within the US, India and Russia. *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters*, 29(1), 1–17.
- Sokolova, N., & Mulyenko, A. (2013). Новеллы Российского законодательства о применении вспомогательных репродуктивных технологий [Innovations of the Russian legislation on ARTs]. *Медицинское Право. [Medical Law]*, 1, 26–30.
- Spar, D. (2006). *The baby business: How money, science, and politics drive the commerce of conception*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- SPBdeti. (2020). Уполномоченный навестила малышей роденных суррогатными мамами [Commissioner visited babies born to surrogate mothers]. Retrieved from <https://spbdeti.org/id8183>. Accessed on November 10, 2020.
- Speier, A. (2016). *Fertility holidays: IVF tourism and the reproduction of whiteness*. New York, NY: New York University Press.
- Strathern, M. (1992). *Reproducing the future: Essays on anthropology, kinship and the new reproductive technologies*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Sunkara, S. K., Khalaf, Y., Maheshwari, A., Seed, P., & Coomarasamy, A. (2014). Association between response to ovarian stimulation and miscarriage following IVF: An analysis of 124 351 IVF pregnancies. *Human Reproduction*, 29(6), 1218–1224.
- Surrogacy.ru. (2021). Это моё кредо, мои принципы и убеждения, которые я отстаивал в России на протяжении последних 25 лет [This is my credo, my principles and beliefs, which I have defended in Russia over the past 25 years]. Interview with Konstantin Svitnev, January 01, 2021. Retrieved from <https://surrogacy.ru/news/eto-moyo-kredo-moi-printsipy-i-ubezhdeniya-kotorye-ya-otstaival-v-rossii-na-protvyazhenii-poslednih-25-let/>. Accessed on March 15, 2021.
- Svitnev K. (2007). Право на жизнь (BPT, суррогатное материнство и демография) [Right to life (ART, surrogate motherhood and demography)]. Национальная идентичность России и демографический кризис. Материалы Всероссийской научной конференции (20–21 октября 2006 г.) [Scientific Conference "National identity of Russia and demographical crisis" (20–21 October 2006)]. Научный эксперт; 932–942. [Scientific expert, pp. 932–942].

- Svitnev, K. (2011). Legal control of surrogacy – international perspectives. In J. Schenker (Ed.), *Ethical dilemmas in assisted reproductive technologies* (pp. 149–160). Berlin/Boston, MA: Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co.
- Svitnev, K. (2012a). New Russian legislation on assisted reproduction. *Open Access Scientific Reports*. Retrieved from <https://www.omicsonline.org/scientific-reports/srep207.php>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Svitnev, K. (2012b). “Socially infertile” single intended parents’ motivation for gestational surrogacy: A preliminary report. *Human Reproduction*. Conference proceedings.
- Svitnev, K. (2020). Konstantin Svitnev to the Ombudsmen, prosecutor general and chairman of the investigative committee of Russia: The first year of life cannot be returned. But at least now – help return the children to their parents! *Open Letter*. Retrieved from <https://jurconsult.ru/en/news/konstantin-svitnev-to-the-ombudsmen-prosecutor-general-and-chairman-of-the-investigative-committee-of-russia-the-first-year-of-life-cannot-be-returned-but-at-least-now-help-return-the-children-to-their-parents/>. Accessed on March 18, 2021.
- Svitnev, K. (2021). Today we publish some abstracts from an extensive interview of RJC C.E.O. Konstantin N. Svitnev to Surrogacy.ru. Retrieved from <https://jurconsult.ru/en/news/publikuem-vyderzhki-iz-programmnogo-intervyu-direktora-kompanii-rosyurkonsalting-konstantina-svitneva-portalu-surrogacy-ru/>. Accessed on March 15, 2021.
- SVPRESSA. (2013). Депутат Мизулина предложила запретить суррогатное материнство [Chairperson Mizulina proposes prohibiting surrogate motherhood]. *Свободная Пресса* [Free Press]. Retrieved from <https://svpressa.ru/society/news/77217/>. Accessed on March 08, 2021.
- Takie Dela. (2021). В Госдуме разработали законопроект, запрещающий суррогатное материнство для иностранцев и неженатых россиян [The State Duma has developed a bill prohibiting surrogacy for foreigners and unmarried Russians]. *TakieDela*. Retrieved from <https://takiedela.ru/news/2021/01/20/zhenispotom-rodish/>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Temam, E. (2010). *Birthing a mother: The surrogate body and the pregnant self*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- The Economist. (2021). Panic womb. Russia’s liberal surrogacy rules are under threat. (No author). Retrieved from <https://www.economist.com/europe/2021/03/18/russias-liberal-surrogacy-rules-are-under-threat>. Accessed on March 24, 2021.
- Tkach, O. (2009). Making family and unmaking kin in the Russian media: Reproductive technologies and relatedness. In W. de Jong & O. Tkach (Eds.), *Making bodies, persons and families: Normalising reproductive technologies in Russia, Switzerland and Germany* (pp. 135–157). Münster: LIT Verlag.
- Tkach, O., & Brednikova, O. (2010). What means home for the Nomad?. *Laboratorium: Russian Review of Social Research*, 3, 72–95.
- Toledano, S. J., & Zeiler, K. (2016). Hosting the others’ child? Relational work and embodied responsibility in altruistic surrogate motherhood. *Feminist Theory*, 18(2), 159–175.
- Twine, F. W. (2015). *Outsourcing the womb: Race, class and gestational surrogacy in a global market* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.

- Twine, F. W. (2017). The fertility continuum: Racism, bio-capitalism and post-colonialism in the transnational surrogacy industry. In M. Davies (Ed.), *Babies for sale? Transnational surrogacy, human rights and the politics of reproduction* (pp. 105–122). London: Zed Books.
- Varisco, D. M. (2007). *Reading Orientalism: Said and the unsaid*. Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press.
- Vasilyeva, N. (2020). Parents who used surrogates driven out of Russia amid crackdown on 'non-traditional' families. Retrieved from <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2020/10/11/gay-parents-driven-russia-government-targets-surrogacy-clinics/>. Accessed on November 10, 2020.
- Vasyagina, N., & Kalimullin, A. (2015). Retrospective analysis of social and cultural meanings of motherhood in Russia. *Review of European Studies*, 7(5), 61–65.
- Vertommen, S., & Barbagallo, C. (2021). The in/visible wombs of the market: The dialectics of waged and unwaged reproductive labour in the global surrogacy industry. *Review of International Political Economy*, 1–41. doi:10.1080/09692290.2020.1866642
- Vertommen, S., Pavone, V., & Nahman, M. (2021). Global fertility chains: An integrative political economy approach to understanding the reproductive bioeconomy. *Science, Technology & Human Values*. doi:10.1177/0162243921996460
- Vitebsky, P. (2005). *The reindeer people living with animals and spirits in Siberia*. New York, NY: Houghton Mifflin.
- Vlasenko, P. (2020). Ukraine's surrogate mothers struggle under quarantine. *Open Democracy*. Retrieved from <https://www.opendemocracy.net/en/odr/ukraines-surrogate-mothers-struggle-under-quarantine/>. Accessed on June 10, 2020.
- Watts, J. (2008). Emotion, empathy and exit: Reflections on doing ethnographic qualitative research on sensitive topics. *Medical Sociology Online*, 3(2), 3–14.
- WCIOM. (2013). Суррогатные матери – героини или изгой? [Surrogate mothers - heroines or outcasts?]. Пресс-Выпуск №2479 [Press release Nr 2479]. Retrieved from <https://wciom.ru/analytical-reviews/analiticheskii-obzor/surrogatnyie-materigeroini-ili-izgoi>. Accessed on November 30, 2020.
- Weis, C. (2013). *'Born to birth'? Surrogacy workers in Saint Petersburg*. Master thesis, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands. Retrieved from <http://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/276628>
- Weis, C. (2017). *Reproductive migrations: Surrogacy workers and stratified reproduction in St Petersburg*. Doctoral Dissertation, De Montfort University. Retrieved from <https://www.dora.dmu.ac.uk/handle/2086/15036>
- Weis, C. (2019). Situational ethics in a feminist ethnography on commercial surrogacy in Russia: Negotiating access and authority when recruiting participants through institutional gatekeepers. *Methodological Innovations*, 12(1), 1–10.
- Weis, C. (2021). Changing fertility landscapes: Exploring the reproductive routes and choices of fertility patients from China for assisted reproduction in Russia. *Asian Bioethics Review*, 13, 7–22.
- Weis, C., & Norton, W. (2021). 'My emotions on the backseat.' Heterosexually-partnered men's experiences of becoming fathers through surrogacy. *Journal of Diversity and Gender Studies*, 7(2), 35–49.
- Weiss, G. (1992). Public attitudes about surrogate motherhood. *Michigan Sociological Review*, 6, 15–27.

- Whittaker, A. (2016). From “Mung Ming” to “Baby Gammy”: A local history of assisted reproduction in Thailand. *Reproductive Biomedicine & Society Online*, 2, 71–78.
- Whittaker, A. (2018). *International surrogacy as disruptive industry in Southeast Asia*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Whittaker, A., Inhorn, M., & Shenfield, F. (2019). Globalised quests for assisted conception: Reproductive travel for infertility and involuntary childlessness. *Global Public Health*, 14(12), 1669–1688.
- Widdicombe, L. (2020). The stranded babies of the coronavirus disaster. *The New Yorker*. July 20. Retrieved from <https://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/the-stranded-babies-of-the-coronavirus-disaster>. Accessed on November 30, 2020.
- Zakharov, N. (2015). *Race and racism in Russia. Mapping global racisms*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Zakirova, V. (2014). Gender inequality in Russia: The perspective of participatory gender budgeting. *Reproductive Health Matters*, 22(44), 202–212.
- Zdravomyslova, E., & Tkach, O. (2016). Культурные модели классового неравенства в сфере наемного домашнего труда в России [Cultural patterns of class inequality in the realm of paid domestic work in Russia]. *Laboratorium: Журнал социальных исследований*. [Journal of Social Research.], 8(3), 68–99.
- Zelizer, V., Bandelj, N., & Wherry, F. (2012). Talking about relational work with Viviana Zelizer. *orgtheory.net*. Retrieved from <https://orgtheory.wordpress.com/2012/09/06/talking-about-relational-work-with-viviana-zelizer/>. Accessed on October 29, 2016.
- Zelizer, V. A. (2012). How I became a relational economic sociologist and what does that mean? *Politics & Society*, 40(2), 145–174.
- Zelizer, V. A. R. (2005). *The purchase of intimacy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Zen, Y. (2018). Госдума: запрета на сурrogатное материнство в России не будет [State Duma: There will be no ban on surrogacy in Russia]. *Yandex News*. Retrieved from <https://zen.yandex.ru/media/nsovetnik/gosduma-zapreta-na-surrogatnoematerinstvo-v-rossii-ne-budet-5bec2a23cfec6100aea4cbba>. Accessed on March 09, 2021.
- Zimmerman, A. (2016). Thailand’s ban on commercial surrogacy: Why Thailand should regulate, not attempt to eradicate. *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*, 41(2), 917–959.