

INDEX

- Active travel, 48
Aesthetic individual capital, 63–64
Aesthetic infrastructure, 43–48
Aesthetic need, 22
Age friendly communities, 58–59
Ageing, 5
Air pollution, 39
Alfonzo's Hierarchy of Walking Needs, 21
Appleyard, Donald, 2, 20, 55–56, 80–81
Architecture, 80–81
Audit sheets, 13–14

Benches, 52–53
Bins, 27
Blisters, 34–35
Bus stops and interchange, 72–73

Capital, 17–18
Cars, 49–50
Centre for Architecture of the Built Environment (CABE), 17, 23
 designing streets for older people based on, 24
Character, 46–47
Chronic bronchitis (CB), 39
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), 5–6, 39
Citta Slow movement, 1
Climate, 39
Cluttered infrastructure, 27–28
Community, 7, 80–81
Convivial Urban Spaces, 22–23
Corduroy paving, 34–35
Countdowns, 32–33

COVID-19 and walking, 76–78
Crime, 37–38
Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CePTED), 37
Crossing facilities, 30–33
Cultural barriers, 80
Cultural capital. *See also* Infrastructural capital, 17–19, 57, 62
 and aesthetics of space, 61–62
 and legibility, 59–60
 and safe and accessible space, 58–59
Culture, 19, 60
Cycling, 57

Deep mapping, 14
Dementia, 6, 63–64
Deutsche Gesellschaft für Soziologie (DGS), 2–3
Disability, 5–6
Discretionary journeys, 18–19
Diversity, 17
Dropped kerbs, 35

Economic capital, 17–18
Environments, 11, 79
Escalators, 73–74
Exchange spaces, 21
Exercise, 15

Formalised crossings, 31–32
Forty Area STudy street VIEW (FASTVIEW), 14–15
Fragmented infrastructure, 27–28

- Frailty, 5–6
- Funding, 77
- Gentrification, 58
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS), 12–13
- Go-Along interviews, 12–15
- Google Maps, 43
- GPS tracking, 12–13
- Green space, 43–44
- Greenery, 43–44
- Health, 4–5, 67
- High Streets, 37
- Home zones, 67–68
- Housing, 37–38
- Hypermobility, 10
- In-depth connections to local people and place, 8–10
- Inclusive Design for Going Outdoors (IDGO), 27
- Independence, 2–3
- Individual capital, 19, 62, 64
 - legible and aesthetic, 63–64
 - safe and accessible, 62–63
- Inequality, 9
- Infrastructural barriers, 79–80
- Infrastructural capital, 18, 25, 48
 - aesthetic infrastructure, 43–48
 - legible infrastructure, 38–43
 - safe and accessible infrastructure, 25–38
- Infrastructure, 80
- Institutional carism, 9–10
- Intergenerational activity, 60
- Intrigue, 48
- Land use, 39–40
- Legible individual capital, 63–64
- Legible infrastructure, 38–43
- Lifecourse, 1
- Lighting, 36–37
- Link, 21
- Loneliness, 49–50
- Low Traffic Neighbourhoods (LTNs), 77
- Manual for Streets, 51
- Mapping, 41–43
- Mental health benefits, 8
- Mobility capital, 17, 19, 25
- Mobility model, 17
- Mobility needs, 21–24
- MPH limits, 68–69
- Mystery, 48
- Needs, 2, 83
- Negative stereotypes, 4–5
- Neighbourhood Environment
 - Walkability Survey (NEWS), 12
- Neighbourhood Environment
 - Walkability Survey–Abbreviated (NEWS-A), 12
- Neighbourhoods, 7, 81
- Newman’s Theory of Defensible Space, 37
- Noise, 38
- Older people, 3, 6, 19
 - walking prevalence amongst, 6–7
- Older People’s External Residential Assessment Tool, 12
- Older People’s Residential Assessment Tool (OPERAT), 13–14, 37–38
- Pavements, 27
 - space, 50–51
- Pedestrian demand unit (PDU), 31
- Pedestrian Environment Review System (PERS), 12
- Pedestrianisation, 65–67
- Pedestrians, 1
 - activity, 2
 - benefits, 7–10
 - in-depth connections to local people and place, 8–10
 - mental health and wellbeing benefits, 8

- physical benefits, 7–8
- propositions, 1–3
- Pelican Crossing, 31
- Peter Jones' model of Link and Place, 21
- Place, 21
- Play streets, 56–57
- Plaza, 69–70
- Pollution, 39
- Promenade Plantee in Paris, 44
- Prospect Refuge mapping tool, 37
- Psychogeographical approaches, 14
- Psychological reclaiming of streets, 56
- Psychosocial need, 22
- Public spaces, 20
- Public squares, 69–70
- Public transport, 1
- Puffin Crossing, 31
- Railway stations, 70–72
- Recreational mobility, 2
- Road, 35–36
- Rural areas, 17–18
- Safe and accessible infrastructure, 25–38
- Safe and accessible social capital, 49–54
- Safety, 12–13, 83
- Seating, 20
- Shaftoe, Henry, 23
- Shared space, 67–68
- Shelter, 39
- Shops, 10, 76–77
- Sight-loss, 6
- Signage, 41–43
- Signage, 70–71
- Social aesthetics, 55–57
- Social capital. *See also* Cultural capital, 17–19, 48, 57
 - safe and accessible social capital, 49–54
 - social aesthetics, 55–57
 - social legibility, 54–55
- Social isolation, 48
- Social legibility, 54–55
- Social Life of Small Urban Spaces*, 19–20
- Soundscapes, 38
- Space, 80
 - illegibility, 38
 - for people, 19–21
 - to stop and dwell, 51–54
- Station, 70–71
- Street Environment Index (SEI), 37
- Streets, 2–3
 - clutter, 28, 40
 - cultural capital, 57–62
 - framework, 25–26
 - furniture, 27
 - individual capital, 62–64
 - infrastructural capital, 25–48
 - lighting, 36–37
 - mobility capital and designing streets framework, 25
 - reclaiming, 55–56
 - social capital, 48–57
 - for walking, 25
- Surveillance zone, 74–75
- Sustainability, 44–45
- Tactile pavements, 34–35
- Take a Seat* campaign, 54
- Time spent travelling, 33–34
- Toilets, 28–30
- Topography, 39
- Traffic, 1
- Trains, 70
- Transport system, 18–19
- Travellers, 73–74
- Twin Cities Walking Survey, 12
- UK Toilet Map, 29
- Underpass, 33
- United Kingdom (UK), 21
- Urban, 1
 - design, 17
- Utilitarian or practical need, 22
- Virtual walking, 74–76
- Walk Score*, 12

- Walkable neighbourhoods, 11–12
- Walking, 1–2, 57
 - COVID-19 and, 76–78
 - by other means, 14–15
 - prevalence amongst older people, 6–7
 - by walking, 12–14
- ‘Walking as a service’, 41–42
- Walking Route Audit Tool for Seniors (WRATS), 12
- Walkonomics*, 12
- Walkshed*, 12
- Water scapes, 44–45
- Wellbeing benefits, 8
- Woonerfen, 67–68
- World Health organisation, 62–63