INDEX

| Acts of kindness, 55–56 | Assisted suicide, 88–89 |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Adelaide Botanic Gardens, 55 | Australian Capital Territory |
| Advance care, 2–3, 62, 79 | (ACT), 5, 20–21, 43–44, |
| directives, 63 | 95–96, 116 |
| | |
| Ashes, 122 | professional person, 47–52 |
| Assisted dying, 88, 91–92 | Christianity, 87, 93 |

144 Index

| Christians, 103-104 | Extended-family-life, 19-20 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Circle of Friends, 113 | E: |
| Civil partnership, 32–33 | Family, 12, 15, 64–65 |
| Civil union, 32–33 | of choice, 13–15 |
| Co-existing relationships, 15–16 | estrangement, 22 |
| Co-parenting arrangement, 14 | role of, 127–128 |
| Comfort care, 71–72 | settings of gay men, 13–15 |
| Commemorative medals (from | tradition, 113–114 |
| cremated remains), 124 | Fee-based assisted dying, 88–89 |
| Competency, 50 | Friends, 51–52, 65–66, 83 |
| Contemporary funerals, 111 | Funeral directors, 111 |
| Continuous deep sedation, 89 | Funeral plans, 110–124 |
| Country Network, The, 4 | disposing of body, 120-124 |
| | non-religious services, 115-120 |
| Couple relationship, 15, 18, 25 | religious services, 112–115 |
| gay couple relationships, 16–18 | _ |
| Covid-19, 1, 5 | Gay couple relationships, 16–18 |
| global pandemic, 46 | Gay familiarity with death, 67–68 |
| outbreak, 46 | Gay men, 40, 111–112 |
| Cremation, 122 | end-of-life experiences, 1–2 |
| Death, 77–78 | household or family settings of, |
| with dignity, 77–78 | 13–15 |
| gay familiarity with, 67–68 | methodology and sample, 3-6 |
| Dignitas (organization), 88–89 | Gay rights organizations, 55 |
| Dignity, 75–78 | Gay social support services, 54–55 |
| Dirty death, 84–85, 129 | Godchildren, 57 |
| Disposing of body, 120–124 | Good death, 84-85, 94 |
| burial, 120–122 | gay men's understanding of, |
| - | 129–130 |
| cremation, 122 | Grandchildren, 57 |
| medical science, tip or bone | Green burials, 121 |
| crusher, 123–124 | |
| Domestic violence, 15–16 | Health care, 79–80 |
| Double-effect sedation, 90 | Health Care and Care Facility Act |
| Durable power of attorney, 79–80 | (1996), 62 |
| Dying, 77–78 | Health Quality & Safety |
| with dignity, 88 | Commission, 62–63 |
| End-of-life decisions, 91–92 | Health sector, 66 |
| Enduring power of attorney (EPA), | Hinduism, 87, 93 |
| 79–80 | HIV, 67–68, 127 |
| Estrangement, 21–24 | HIV-AIDS epidemic, 40–41, 64, |
| Euthanasia, 69, 71, 87–88, 90, 93 | 67–68, 83–84 |
| participants' views on | Homophobia, 22 |
| euthanasia, 94–102 | Hospice UK, 62–63 |
| Executor, choice of, 47–52 | Household, 12–15 |
| Extended families, 12, 21 | settings of gay men, 13-15 |
| | |

Index 145

Individualization, 12–13, 16 couple relationship, 25 Inheritance system, 39 family of choice, 25–28 Islam, 87, 93 family of origin, 24 Participants family of origin, 19–21 Judeo-Christian tradition, 90 blended family, 20-21 extended family, 21 Legalized euthanasia, 94 nuclear family, 20 LGBT, 127–128 Participants relationship history Marriage equality, 17 and status, 28–34 Medical aid in dying, 94 Common Law, de facto, 29–31 Medical assistance in dying, 94 gay married, 31–32 Medical science, tip or bone single, 30–31 crusher, 123-124 Participants views on euthanasia, Medical technology, 92 94 - 102Medically assisted suicide, 92 arguments, 95–98 Mulga Bill's Bicycle Band, 116 avoid unnecessary suffering, 95 - 97National AIDS Memorial Grove, choice and rights, 97-98 113 equivocal or opposed, 101–102 National Council of Palliative Care, previous experience and concerns, 98–101 Nazi euthanasia programme, 91 Peaceful euthanasia, 102 Nephews, 54 Personal care, 79–80 Nieces, 54 Physical and mental end of life, Non-religious funerals, 111 61 - 62service, 119 advance care, 62–79 Non-religious services (see also comfort care and pain relief, Religious services), 115–120 71 - 72sketchy plans, 117-120 do not resuscitate, 71 well-developed plans, 116–117 end-of-life awareness, 64-68 Nuclear family, 12-13, 20 euthanasia, 69-71 Oprah Winfrey television instructional directive, 69-74 programme, 124 power of attorney, 79–84 Orchestra of the Age of values directive, 74–79 Enlightenment, The, 55 Physical disability, 77 Ostracism, 22–23 Physician-assisted dying, 89 Pinnacle Foundation in Australia, Pain relief, 71–72 Palliative care, 96 PLWHA support services, 55 Parents, family tradition, 113-114 Polyamory, 15–16, 30 Parsimonious direct sedation, 90 Power of attorney, 2–3, 62, 79, 84 Participants afterlife beliefs, age and authority, 82–83 102 - 105knowledge and trust, 81-82 belief in afterlife, 104 Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), no belief in afterlife, 103 67 - 68Participants current family, 24–28

146 Index

| Professional person, 50 | Transfer of property, 39–40 |
|--|--|
| Property EPA, 79–80 | Two-stage funeral procession, |
| Property ownership arrangements, | 110 |
| Pseudonyms, 5–6 | Values directive, 74–79 altruism, 75–76 |
| Quality of life, 78 | dignity, 76–78 |
| Rational suicide, 90–91 Religious services (<i>see also</i> Non-religious services), 112–115 | sentience, 78–79 Vegetative state, 69–70, 75–77, 129 |
| parents, family tradition, 113–114 partner, 114–115 | Welfare EPA, 79–80 Wills and beneficiary decisions, 39 |
| Religious traditions, 93 | beneficiaries, 52–57 |
| Rotary International, 111 | changed material circumstances, |
| Same-sex marriage, 17 Secular liturgies, 111 Sedation, 90 | changed relationship status, 42–44 choice of executor, 47–52 |
| to unconsciousness and death, 90 Sentience, 75, 78–79 | family member, 48–49 friend, 51–52 partner, 53 |
| Serial monogamy, 15-16 | professional person, 50 |
| Solitaries, 12 | will revision, 42–47 |
| Speculative judgement, 74 | Withdrawal of treatment, 88 |
| Terminal anaesthesia, 88–89 Traditional qualitative research techniques, 4 | Zoom online conference platform, 5 |