## INDEX

*Note*: Page numbers followed by "*n*" with numbers indicate notes.

Action research, 18-20, 50, 116 Analysis content, 12, 72, 89n1, 107, 63-67 conversation, 89, 85-86 discourse, 15, 85-87 framework, 107, 67-85 narrative, 135, 85-86 open-ended inductive, 89 qualitative comparative, 4,63 quantitative, 21, 64-65 semi-quantitative, 4 thematic, 21, 40, 68, 74, 85, 89, 107, 70-72 visual, 87-89 whole group, 85 Anonymity, 125 Anthropological research (*see* Ethnography) Antibiotics and Activity Spaces, 9, 37, 96 Archival methods, 107

Article, 40, 92, 97, 105, 108, 112–114 Assumption, 6, 3–4, 87, 120 Authentic/authenticity (see also Quality criteria), 59, 61, 66, 130, 136, 138 Authorship, 108–109 Blog, 40–41, 86, 102, 113, 115 Bungee Research, 120, 128 Case study, 22, 14–15, 40, 63, 65, 115, 138

Bungee Research, 120, 128 Case study, 22, 14–15, 40, 63, 65, 115, 138 Cell phone (*see* Mobile phone) China, 10, 41, 71–72, 114–115 Co-production/knowledge co-production/ co-creation, 18–20,

50,96

Code/coding, 57, 65, 72, 75, 65-66, 135, 69 - 70Coding frame/coding tree/ coding matrix, 90, 93, 97, 102, 69-70 Collaboration/ collaborator, 2, 21-22, 107, 120, 123, 135, 137 Colonial/neo-colonial (see also Bungee Research), 40, 87 Community engagement (see Public Engagement) Community/communities, 14, 20, 25, 18–19, 46, 50, 57, 74, 42-44, 103, 126, 129, 130, 134 Compensation, 128–129 Confirmability (see also Quality criteria), 103 - 105Conflict, 3, 13, 105, 127 Consent, 29, 44, 60, 125-126 informed, 28, 35, 38, 60, 129 oral/verbal, 129 Context/contextualisation/ contextualising, 2, 6-7, 18, 14-15,29, 40, 49, 51, 69, 72, 93, 104, 110, 112, 117, 119, 129, 64-67, 138, 86-87

Conversation, 3, 29, 36, 38, 48, 25–26, 57, 32–34, 73, 89, 92, 125, 127, 129, 85–87 Costs, 23, 33, 35 Credibility (*see also* Quality criteria), 54, 102, 104–105 Cross-sectional research, 13–14 Cultural/culture, 17, 43, 45, 108, 118, 120, 86–87

Data analysis plan, 88 big, 41 management, 128, 129, 134meta, 41, 66 primary, 48, 66, 86 qualitative, 13, 16, 18, 27, 32, 37, 21-22, 43, 48, 63, 66, 74, 40-41, 85, 95, 97, 100, 102, 57-58, 69-71, 88-89, 110-111, 115-116, 123-127 quantitative, 23, 15-16, 37, 38 secondary, 41, 126 set, 2, 41, 110, 116, 126 sharing, 78, 125–126 user-generated qualitative, 86

Deterministic/determinism (see also Prescriptive), 130 Eligibility, 56, 59 EQUATOR Network, 105 Ethics/ethical/research ethics approval, 115, 131n1, 125-127, 130-131 committee, 37, 127, 129, 131 review, 127 Ethnographic/ethnography, 7, 22, 11–12, 27, 16-18, 43, 57, 86, 92, 107, 116, 123, 130 Etiquette, 29, 59 Evaluation ex post, 21 impact, 21 process, 20-22 Excerpt, 70, 78, 97, 101, 91-92 Extractive (see also Bungee Research), 31, 40, 128, 130 Field research, 18, 48, 50, 96, 123 Focus group discussion, 13, 21, 40, 32-37, 72, 116, 121 Frame/framework analysis, 107, 67-85

analytical, 9, 14, 16, 71,

112

90, 46–47, 93, 107,

conceptual, 14, 16, 27, 46,68-69 preliminary, 27, 89 theoretical, 14, 16, 68 Friction disciplinary, 133-139 mixed-methods research as source of, 23 Generalisable/ generalisability (see also Quality criteria), 10, 15, 41, 103 - 104Google, 89n2 Guatemala, 64 Hierarchies, 4, 24, 12–13, 66, 107, 134 Illustrate/illustration, 7, 9, 31, 44, 48, 73, 75, 94, 96, 98, 91-92, 100 - 102Image, 25, 49, 88, 96, 102, 92 - 93Immersion, 17-18, 43, 69 Impact assessment (see Evaluation) Inclusion (see also Eligibility; Representation), 21, 24n2, 65, 87, 99, 104 India, 6, 10, 58, 116, 71-72 Infographic, 93, 101 - 102

Infrastructure, 7, 95 Intercoder reliability, 74 Interpretation, 3–5, 16, 23, 41, 43, 46, 59, 64, 67, 77, 110, 126, 138, 121–124 Intervention, 2, 14–15, 31, 19–21, 50, 135, 110 - 112Interview cognitive, 75, 37-40, 77 expert, 25, 51, 65, 72 guide, 9, 29, 42, 26-27, 72, 74, 39-40, 123 in-depth, 2, 26, 86 key informant, 51 semi-structured, 13, 32, 39, 26-27, 72, 85 structured, 29, 38, 26-27, 57unstructured, 27, 29

Jargon communication with audiences, 138–139 jargon-free audiences, 114

Language, 4, 8, 15, 18, 31, 40, 46, 48, 77, 86, 43–44, 114, 124, 129, 120–122, 137–138 Laos, 9–10, 75, 92 Legitimate/legitimacy, 4, 54, 135 Limitations, 42, 44, 66, 126, 105–106 Literature, 10–12, 27, 89, 137, 70–72, 112–113 Longitudinal research, 13–14 Low-and middle-income countries, 5, 13

Manuscript, 108, 124, 110–113 Map/mapping, 42, 46, 69, 73, 100, 135, 138 Mixed-method research, 9, 11, 22–24, 71, 115, 137, 103–104 Mobile phone, 36, 29–30, 61, 94, 72–73

News, newspaper, 48, 55, 40-41, 116 Non-governmental organisation, 12, 65, 129 Notes/notebook/field notes, 35, 40, 48, 28-33, 42-43

Observation covert, 45 guide, 9, 48, 60, 123 non-participant, 42 participant, 18, 42–43 Offline, 88, 102 Online, 10, 56, 58, 66, 41–42, 100, 102 Oral history, 14, 25

Parachuting (*see also* Bungee Research), 120

Paradigm, 3-4, 8, 24, 137 Participants, 38, 18-24, 44, 46, 57, 59, 60, 32-36, 85, 92, 96, 49-51, 104, 109, 115, 120, 122, 134, 126-129 Participatory research, 18, 51n3, 49-50 Phenomenological research, 14 Photo elicitation/photovoice, 49,51n3 Photograph, 18, 22, 68, 96, 48-50, 117, 128, 87-88, 92-93 Picture, 43, 48 Plagiarism/self-plagiarism, 110Policy/policy document, 15, 93, 64-65, 135, 86-87 Population/sub-population, 8, 19, 35, 50n1, 53, 55,58 Positionality (see also Quality criteria), 123, 125, 130, 119 - 120Poster, 93, 101-102 Power relationships, 86, 119 relationships in distribution of food, 65 relationships between interviewer and interviewee, 66

relationships between researcher and respondents, 103 between researcher and research participants, 120 Pragmatic objectives, 21, 113Pre-submission enquiry, 111 - 112Prescriptive, 106 Press release, 113–114 Privilege(d), 5, 30, 59, 122 Protect(ion) (see also Research ethics), 48, 129, 125–127 Protocol (see Research Protocol) Public engagement, 10, 22, 96, 117 Publication, publication culture, 8, 12, 24, 124, 138, 108-110

Quality criteria/quality standards, 24, 102–107 Quantification, 74, 95

Rapid appraisal, 20–21, 58 Rapport, 43, 60 Reality/realities, 3, 6, 21, 18–19, 43, 50, 87 Recorder, 28, 33 Recruitment, 59, 56–57, 129 Reflexivity (*see also* Quality criteria), 103–104, 119–121 Relationships, 7, 17, 20, 46, 71, 73, 86, 88, 99, 103, 119, 122, 126, 130, 65-66 Relevance (see also Quality criteria), 30, 103-104 Replicable/replicability (see also Quality criteria), 64, 104 Report, 2, 21–22, 119, 121, 127, 114–115 Reporting standards/ reporting guidelines (see also Quality criteria), 91, 102-107 Representation, 59, 71, 87, 98, 49-50, 53-54, 126, 130, 102–103 Research assistant (see also Translator), 35, 59, 124, 121-122 Research design, 5, 8, 34, 11-24, 43, 69, 86, 89, 130, 137 Research ethics (see Ethics) Research philosophy/ philosophical position/ methodological theory (see also Paradigm), 3, 5, 74 Research Protocol, 130, 139 Respondent (see Participant)

Review literature, 11, 71 meta-ethnography, 106 narrative, 12, 34 realist, 12, 106 systematic, 12–13, 64, 104, 106, 97–99 Rigour (*see also* Quality criteria), 24, 26, 43, 54, 93, 103, 126 Risk, 50, 93, 120, 130, 131

Sample/sampling convenience, 56, 53-54 frame, 55 information saturation, 16,57 maximum variation, 54-55 non-probabilistic, 54, 56,58 probabilistic, 54 purposive, 54, 138 random, 55, 58, 74 random walk, 55 self-sign-up, selfrecruitment, 55 size, 56, 58, 136 snowball, 12, 56 theoretical, 16, 57 Secondary data/secondary material, 40-42, 126Social media, 41, 65 Software, 38, 41, 88, 102, 65-66 Study protocol (see Research protocol)

Study subject (see Participant) Survey, 2, 6, 26, 22–23, 37-40,93 Technology, 6, 15, 20, 72, 110, 113 Text, 4, 10, 31, 41-42, 91, 124, 68-69 Thailand, Siam, 9–10, 36, 75, 96, 100 Theme, 12, 16, 27, 57, 31-32, 85, 88, 93, 97, 101, 116, 67-69.71-74 Theory, grounded, 16, 31, 57, 69, 71 Topic guide (see Interview guide) Training, 4, 116, 124, 135, 137 Transcribe/transcription, 40, 31-32, 35-36, 89, 92, 121, 124 Transferability (see also Quality criteria), 103 - 104Transformative objectives, 49-50, 107 Translate/translation, 31, 41, 78, 86, 94, 111, 136, 122-124 Translator (see also Research assistant), 31, 121-122 Triangulate/triangulation, 23, 105

Trust with community members, 43 between researcher and community, 129 between researcher and participant, 125 between translators and research assistants, 122 Tweet/Twitter, 4, 65, 40–41

## UK

content analysis in, 65 Economic and Social Research Council, 9, 146 interdisciplinary research, 10 Research Council, 118n6 research funding landscape, 135

Value, 59, 68, 93, 128, 121–122 Video, 25, 28, 42, 88, 116, 48–49–50, 128–129 Visual research, visual methods, 51n3, 49–50, 128 Voice, 36, 59, 101, 109, 113, 139

Web/website, 41, 111, 116, 127 Word cloud, 93, 98, 102