

Index

Note: Page numbers followed by “*n*” indicate notes.

- Abbreviated forensic reporting, 104–106
- Accreditation, 131–132, 137
- Admissibility tests, 20
- Agency, 9–10
- Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO), 62, 106
- Auopoiesis, 51–52
- Autonomy, 158–160
- Baconian probability, 26
- Balogun v. DPP* [2010] EWHC 799, 109
- Bayes’ theorem, 21–23, 25
- Bayesian ‘inference engine’, 22
- Bayesian analysis, 24–25
- Bayesian analytical methods, 17
- Bayesian approach, 22, 24, 27
- Bayesian statistics, 25
- Bayesian turn, 23–24
- Bias, 131, 146
- Bibliometric analyses, 36
- Bio-identification techniques, 20
- Biological substrate, 160–161
- ‘Black box’ of DNA profiling, 47
- Blood pattern analysis, 93
- Body Fluids (BF), 62
- Burglaries, 69
- ‘Business-oriented’ approach, 6
- Car thefts, 69
- Case Assessment and Interpretation process (CAI process), 27–31, 42–43, 68*n*22, 69*n*25
- Case fragmentation, 78–80, 114
- Case law, 109–110
- Case pre-assessment, 28
- Case studies, 30
- ‘Casework’ model of forensic interpretation, 42
- Cellmark, 82
- Centralisation, 55*n*2
- Certification, 130, 139
- Chain of evidence, 45–46
- Classical statistics, 24
- Codification process, 63, 70
- Collaboration, 31
- Collaborative co-production of knowledge, 35
- Commercialisation, 6
 - of forensic expertise, 83–88
- Commodification of forensic science, 53–54
 - economic rationalisation in UK, 56
 - forensic productisation, 64–67
 - forensic science market, 60–61
 - forensic science provision in England and Wales, 55
- FSS, 56–57
- National Forensic Framework Agreement, 61–64
- National Policing Improvement Agency, 64
 - procurement, 61–64
 - restructuring and DNA analysis, 57–60
 - triaging of forensic investigations, 67–70
- Contamination, 27, 146
- ‘Contest and communication’ narrative, 43–44, 96–97
- Contextual information, 22
- Criminal Justice Act 1967, 119
- Criminal Justice in Northern Ireland, 9

- Criminal Justice Inspectorate of Northern Ireland (CJINI), 10
- Criminal justice system (CJS), 1, 53, 55, 103, 155
 - Efficiency Programme, 129
 - requirements, 59
- Criminal Procedure Rules (CrimPR), 104, 108–109
- Cross-disciplinary impermeability, 36
- Crown Prosecution Service (CPS), 87–88, 103, 143
 - strategy advisor, 120
- Customer relations, 6
- Customer requirement, 28
- Daubert* criteria, 133–136
- Daubert v. Merrel Dow Pharmaceuticals* 509 U. S., 20, 579, 134
- De-skilling, 120
- Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), 17, 104
 - analysis, 57–60
 - analysts, 20–21
 - DNA-17 technology, 11, 81, 149, 151
 - DNA-profiling techniques, 17–19, 21, 26, 33, 37, 57, 147
 - DNA-testing protocols, 86
 - DNA/Bayes techniques, 18–19
 - fingerprinting, 20, 84
 - matches, 108
 - mixtures, 80–83
 - mixtures, transfer, and persistence, 148–154
 - profilers, 73
 - profiling, 137–139
 - restructuring, 57–60, 129
- Department for Economy (DfE), 3n10
- Digital analyte, 154
 - biological substrate, 160–161
 - DNA mixtures, transfer, and persistence, 148–154
 - efficiency, 157–158
 - expertise, 156–157
 - literature, 160
 - regulation and autonomy, 158–160
 - standardisation, 155–156
- Disciplinary purity, 99
- Disciplines, 35
- Dlugosz*, 135
- Documentary analysis, 34
- Early Guilty Plea Scheme, 118, 158
- Economic rationalisation, 3
 - in UK, 56
- Economic rationality, 6
- Efficiency, 157–158
- England and Wales
 - forensic science provision in, 55
 - marketisation and privatisation in, 4–8
- English and Welsh police forces, 74
- ‘Enhanced *Daubert*’ criteria, 136–137
- Epistemological privilege, 17–21
- Epistemological theories of causality, 22
- Epistemology, 19, 146
- European Union (EU), 125–127
 - criminal justice measures, 132
 - Directives, 125–127
- Evidence, 19
- Evidential drug identification tests (EDITs), 108
- Expert Evidence in Criminal Proceedings in England and Wales*, 133–134
- Expertise, 156–157
- ‘Fall-of-the-cliff’ effect, 24
- ‘Fast-track’ scheme, 84
- Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), 83, 153
- Feedback, 31
- Fibre analysis, 75
- Firearms classification, 108
- Footwear, 108
- Forensic artefacts, 98
- Forensic DNA-profiling activities, 145
- Forensic examination, 7, 57

- Forensic expertise, 73
 - case fragmentation and quoting, 78–80
 - commercialization, 83–88
 - contest and communication
 - narrative, 96–97
 - interdisciplinary theories of expertise, 97–101
 - mixtures and low-template DNA, 80–83
 - pre-codification forensic analyses
 - within public sector, 74
 - restructuring, 83–88
 - triaging and forensic science
 - provision in public sector, 88–95
 - triaging and privileging of
 - DNA-profiling techniques, 75–78
- Forensic field, 33
 - auopoiesis and systems theory
 - of interdisciplinary knowledge, 51–52
 - forensic-scientific knowledge, 40–47
 - law and science, 48–51
 - methods, 34–40
 - STS, 40–47
 - Studies of Expertise and Experience, 47
- Forensic laboratory, 8
- Forensic market, 6, 33
- Forensic productisation, 64–67
- Forensic reporting, 43, 95, 110
- Forensic Science Northern Ireland (FSNI), 3*n*10), 8–9, 11, 74, 89, 138, 149
 - informants, 93
 - separation between PSNI and, 94
- Forensic science providers (FSPs), 34, 53, 74, 129, 149
- Forensic science provision
 - in England and Wales, 55
 - in public sector, 88–95
 - in Scotland, 13
- Forensic Science Regulator (FSR), 108, 130–131
 - code of practice and conduct and investigation of complaints, 132–133
 - regulatory responsibilities, 131
- Forensic Science Service (FSS), 3, 5–6, 33, 55–56, 74, 105, 143, 147
 - forensic experts, 7
 - mission statement, 7
 - transition and closure, 56–57
- Forensic sciences, 1, 37, 97, 99
 - market, 60–61, 125
 - marketisation and privatisation in
 - England and Wales, 4–8
 - normative basis of, 145–146
 - police force forensic science
 - provision in UK, 12–16
 - provision in England and Wales, 3–4
 - provision in Northern Ireland, 8–12
- Forensic scientists, 28, 120
- Forensic standardisation, 53
- Forensic strategy, 6, 57
- Forensic turn, 41
- Forensic-Scientific Knowledge, 40–47
- Forensic-scientific processes
 - CAI method, 27–31
 - DNA and epistemological privilege, 17–21
 - probability and statistical evidence
 - in criminal proceedings, 21–22
 - standardisation through
 - probabilistic evidence techniques, 22–27
- Forensics procurement framework, 132
- Friction ridge examination, 19
- Frye standard, 134
- Globafiler-24, 151
- GovCo status, 8
- Historically contingent objectivity, 142

- Home Office, 6, 34
- House of Commons Science and Technology Committee, 60
- Hybrid sets, 100–101, 112
- Hybridisation, 100
- Implicit assumptions, 36
- Indecent images of children (IIoC), 108
- Individualisation, 18, 20
- Inductive inference, 23
- Informal standardisation process, 54
- Initial Details of Prosecution Case (IDPC), 123
- Interactional expertise, 38–39
- Interdisciplinary expertise, 51
- Interdisciplinary interactions, 35
- Interdisciplinary knowledge, 35
 - systems theory of, 51–52
- Interdisciplinary research, 35–36
- Interdisciplinary theories of expertise, 97–101
- Internal process of enculturation, 94
- Intra-disciplinary studies, 111
- ISO 17025, 131
- Justice system, 103
 - case law, 109–110
 - CrimPR, 108–109
 - lawfulness of SFR, 125–127
 - MoJ Efficiency Programme, 106–108
 - SFR, 110–125
 - SFR and abbreviated forensic reporting, 104–106
- Knowledge transfer, 51
- Kruse ethnographic studies, 45
- Kumho*, 137
- Kumho Tires v. Carmichael*, 20
- Laboratory Information Management system (LIMS), 11
- Laboratory translations, 37
- Latent print analysis, 21
- Law, 37, 48–51
- ‘Lean Six Sigma’ process, 10
- Legal story-telling theory, 46
- Likelihood ratio, 22
- Lived experience, 38
- Locard Building, 11
- Locus, 11*n*38
- Low copy number DNA (LCN-DNA), 131
- Low copy number techniques (LCN techniques), 57
- Low-template DNA (LT-DNA), 80–83
- Managerialist techniques, 6
- Market restructuring, 73
- Marketisation, 33, 73, 76
 - in England and Wales, 4–5
- Match, 68*n*24
- McFarland Review, 8, 60
- McKinsey Review, 8, 60
- Meta-expertises, 100
- Metropolitan Police Service (MPS), 106
- Ministry of Justice Efficiency Programme (MoJ Efficiency Programme), 106–108
- MIX05, 82, 153
- MIX13 study, 82, 153
- Modernisation, 6
- ‘Multiplex’ chemical test, 11*n*38
- National DNA Database (NDNAD), 27, 57, 62, 105, 131
- National Forensic Framework Agreement (NFFA), 61–64
- National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST), 82, 153
- National Policing Improvement Agency (NPIA), 64
- Natural sciences, 50
- Neo-Weberian methods, 51, 111
- New Scotland Yard, 34–35
- ‘Non-compliance’ declaration, 137–139
- Non-match, 68*n*24

- Non-weighted *Dlugosz*, 82, 151–152
- Nordic social-democratic model of governance, 45
- Norms of science, 49
- Northern Ireland, forensic science provision in, 8–12
- Northern Ireland Courts and Tribunal Service (NICTS), 10
- Northern Ireland DNA Database (NIDNAD), 89–90
- Objectivity, 129
 - accreditation, 131–132, 137
 - DNA profiling, 137–139
 - forensic regulator's code of practice and conduct and investigation of complaints, 132–133
 - forensics procurement framework, 132
 - FSR, 130–131
 - FSR's regulatory responsibilities, 131
 - 'non-compliance' declaration, 137–139
 - normative basis of forensic science, 145–146
 - professional and commercial standards, 132
 - quality assurance and *Daubert* criteria, 133–136
 - regulation and 'Enhanced *Daubert*' criteria, 136–137
 - regulatory objectivity, 139–145
- Office for Forensic Regulator (OFR), 130
- 'Omagh bomb' case, 9
- Ontology, 145
- Partial marketisation, 1*n*1
- Pascalian probability, 26
- Perception of 'de-skilling', 73
- Perseus system, 10–11
- Persistence of DNA on fibres, 31
- Police, 12, 59
 - budget holders, 12
 - forces, 53
- Police and Criminal Evidence Act (PACE), 113*n*40
- Police and Fire Reform (Scotland) Act 2012, 12
- Police force forensic science provision in UK, 12–16
- Police Ombudsman for Northern Ireland (PONI), 9–10
- Police Scotland forensic support service, 13
- Police Service of Northern Ireland (PSNI), 9, 74
- Popperian falsification, 22
- Pre-codification forensic analyses within public sector, 74
- Primary source knowledge, 38
- Privatisation
 - in England and Wales, 4–5
 - of forensic services, 56
- Privileging of DNA-profiling techniques, 75–78
- Probabilistic evidence techniques, standardisation through, 22–27
- Probabilistic reasoning, 23, 26
- Probability
 - in criminal proceedings, 21–22
 - models, 23
- Procurement, 61–64
- Productisation, 68*n*22
- Professional and commercial standards, 132
- Propositions, 27
- Provision of products, 61
- Public ownership, 55*n*2
- Public Prosecution Service (PPS), 10, 89
- Public sector service delivery, 15
- Public understanding, 38
- Qua* policing, 13
- Quad, 11*n*38
- Qualitative methods, 34
- Quality, 138
- Quality assurance, 133–136

- Quality Electronic Document and Records Management system (EDRMS system), 11
- Queens Counsel (QC), 87–88, 120, 143
- Quoting, 78–80
- R v. Butler, Henderson & Oyerderin* [2010] EWCA Crim LR 1269, 110
- R v. Chorley Justices* [2006] EWHC 1795, 109
- R v. Olu, Wilson & Brooks* [2010] EWCA Crim LR 2975, 110
- R v. Reed, Reed & Garmson* [2009] EWCA Crim LR 2698, 110, 121–122
- R v. T* [2010] EWCA Crim LR 2439, 110
- Rational bureaucracy, 49
- Reflexive assimilation, 39
- Regulation, 136–137, 158–160
- Regulatory objectivity, 2, 139–145
- Research, 2, 37
 - institutes, 13
 - science, 37
- Research and development (R&D), 5
- Royal Statistical Society, 24
- Rudimentary market, 60–61
- Satellite laboratories, 12*n*42
- Scepticism, 97
- Science, 48–51
- Science and Technology Studies (STS), 40–47, 50, 99
- Scientific method, 49
- Scientific method discourses, 99–100
- Scientific Revolution, 50
- Scottish Crime Campus, 34
- Scottish DNA Database (SDNAD), 13*n*46
- Scottish model, 14
- Scottish National Party (SNP), 15
- Scottish Police Authority (SPA), 13–14, 88*n*6
- Second Generation Multiplex (SGM), 11*n*38
 - SGM Plus, 11*n*38, 62
 - SGM-17, 149
- Semi-structured fieldwork interviews, 34
- Sensitivity of DNA-profiling systems, 31
- Service delivery, 28
- Service Improvement Platform, 10
- Short Tandem Repeat (STR), 62
- Silo-ing, 96
- Silos, 96–97
- Simple drug tests, 108
- Simple toxicology tests, 108
- SKL, 45
- Small Business Research Initiative (SBRE), 3*n*10
- Social science, 50
- Social subsystems, 51
- Social-democratic model of governance, 47
- Sociologists, 38
- Sociology of forensic science, 44
- Source-level attributions, 113
- Staged forensic reporting (*see* Abbreviated forensic reporting)
- Standardisation, 155–156
 - through probabilistic evidence techniques, 22–27
- State Pathologist's Department (SPD), 10
- Statistical evidence in criminal proceedings, 21–22
- Statistical information
 - communication, 26
- Statistical translations, 23
- Sterile corridor, 13
- Streamlined forensic reporting (SFR), 69*n*26, 103, 110–125, 129, 157
 - lawfulness of, 125–127
 - SFR1, 106, 118–119
 - SFR2, 119

- Studies of Expertise and Experience (SEE), 47
- Studies of Scientific Knowledge (SSK), 47
- Subnormal science, 98
- Suspect population, 25
- Swift and Sure Justice*, 107
- SWNWW Tender, 61, 63
- Systems of codification and productisation, 91
- Systems theory of interdisciplinary knowledge, 51–52
- Techno-legal controversy, 21
- Tendering process, 73, 78
- ‘Third way’ approach, 15, 50
- Touch DNA, 150
- Touche Ross report, 6, 57
- Trading Fund status, 8, 60
- Transfer of expertise, 96–97
- Transparency, 46
- Triaging, 52, 73
 - of DNA-profiling techniques, 75–78
 - of forensic investigations, 67–70
 - in public sector, 88–95
- Trial by mathematics, 25
- Turn-around-times (TRTs), 58, 74
- UK criminal justice system (UKCJS), 7, 60
- Uniqueness, 18, 20
- United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS), 108, 131, 144, 154
- Volume crime, 76, 97
- Weighted *Dlugosz*, 82, 152
- West Coast Consortium Tender, 61, 69