

## References

- Adrian, S. 2006. *Nye skabelsesberetninger om æg, sæd og embryoner*. PhD. Linköping Studies in Arts and Science No. 370. Linköpings universitet: Institutionen för Tema.
- Adrian, S.W. 2014. Assisteret befrugtning, en feministisk teoretisk udfordring? *Kvinder, Køn and Forskning*, 3, 54–68.
- Albæk, E., Green-Pedersen, C. and Larsen, L.T. 2012. Morality issues in Denmark, policies without politics. In *Morality Politics in Western Europe: Parties, Agendas and Policy Choices*, Eds I. Engeli, C. Green-Pedersen and L.T. Larsen, pp. 137–160, London, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Almeling, R. 2009. Gender and the value of bodily goods: commodification in egg and sperm donation, *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 72(3), 37–58.
- Almeling, R. and Willey, I.L. 2017. Same medicine, different reasons: comparing women's bodily experiences of producing eggs for pregnancy or for profit, *Social Science & Medicine*, 188, 21–29.
- Andersen, H. 2005. Diskursanalyse. In *Leksikon i sociologi*, Eds H. Andersen, et al., Copenhagen, Akademisk Forlag.
- Andersen, N.A., Ingerslev, H.J. and Humaidan, P.S. 2012. Fra skepsis til accept af assisteret befrugtning, *Ugeskrift for Læger*, 174(41), 2438.
- Andreassen, R. 2018. Introduction. Motherhood and the Web 2.0. In *Mediated Kinship: Gender, Race and Sexuality in Donor Families*, Ed. R. Andreassen, London, Routledge.
- Andrews, M. 2012. What is narrative interviewing? In *NCRM Research Methods Festival 2012, 2–5 July 2012*, Oxford, St. Catherine's College (Unpublished).
- Andrews, M., Squire, C. and Tamboukou, M. 2013. Introduction: what is narrative research? In *Doing Narrative Research*, Eds M. Andrews, C. Squire and M. Tamboukou, 2nd ed., Los Angeles, CA, SAGE.
- Antoft, R. and Thomsen, T.L. 2005. Når livsfortællinger bliver en sociologisk metode – en introduktion til det biografisk narrative interview. In *Liv, fortælling og tekst – Strejftog i kvalitativ sociologi*, Eds M.H. Jacobsen, S. Kristiansen and A. Priour, Aalborg, Aalborg Universitetsforlag.
- Almeling, R. 2011. *Sex Cells. The Medical Market for Eggs and Sperm*, Berkeley, University of California Press.
- Almeling, R. 2014. Kinship and the market for sex cells. In *Presentation presented at the International Critical Kinship Conference*, Odense, Denmark, October 9–10, 2014.
- Bacchi, C. 2009. The issue of intentionality in frame theory: the need for reflexive framing. In *The Discursive Politics of Gender Equality: Stretching, Bending and Policymaking*, Eds E. Lombardo, P. Meier and M. Verloo, pp. 19–35, Abingdon, Routledge.
- Baía, I., de Freitas, C. and Silva, S. 2021. Priority of access to fertility treatments based on sexual orientation and marital status: the views of gamete donors and recipients. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 18, 368–376.
- Bajekal, N. 2019. Why so many women travel to Denmark for fertility treatments, *Time*, 3 January. Available at: <https://time.com/5491636/denmark-ivf-storkklinik-fertility/>

- Baldwin, K. 2019. Introduction. In *Egg Freezing, Fertility and Reproductive Choice: Negotiating Responsibility, Hope and Modern Motherhood*, Ed. K. Baldwin, Bingley, Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Balling, G. and Lippert-Rasmussen, K. 2006. Introduktion. In *Det menneskelige eksperiment: Om menneskesyn og moderne bioteknologi*, Eds G. Balling and K. Lippert-Rasmussen, pp. 9–22, Copenhagen, Museum Tusulanums Forlag.
- Bauchspies, W.K., Croissant, J. and Revisto, S. 2006. *Science, Technology, and Society. A Sociological Approach*, Malden, MA, Blackwell Publishing.
- Beck, U. and Beck-Gernsheim, E. 2001. *Individualization*, London, Sage Publications.
- Beck-Gernsheim, E. 2002. *Reinventing the Family*, Cambridge, Polity Press.
- Becker, G. 2000. *The Elusive Embryo: How Women and Men Approach New Reproductive Technologies*. [e-book], Berkeley, CA, University of California Press.
- Birenbaum-Carmeli, D. 2016. Thirty-five years of assisted reproductive technologies in Israel, *Reproductive BioMedicine & Society Online*, 2, 16–23.
- Blake, L., Casey, P., Jadva, V. and Golombok, S. 2013. ‘I was quite amazed’: donor conception and parent–child relationships from the child’s perspective, *Children & Society*, 28(6), 425–437.
- Blyth, E. and Frith, L. 2009. Donor-conceived people’s access to genetic and biographical history: an analysis of provisions in different jurisdictions permitting disclosure of donor identity, *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*, 23(2), 174–191.
- Blyth, E. and Landau, R. 2003. Introduction. In *Third Party Assisted Conceptions Across Cultures. Social, Legal and Ethical Perspectives*, Eds E. Blyth and R. Landau, pp.7–21, New York, Jessica Kingley Publishers.
- Bo, I.G. 2005. At sætte tavsheder i tale – fortolkning og forståelse I det kvalitative forskningsinterview. In *Liv, fortælling og tekst – Strefjotog i kvalitativ sociologi*, Eds M.H. Jacobsen, S. Kristiansen and A. Prieur, Aalborg, Aalborg Universitetsforlag.
- Bock, J. 2000. Emergent and reconfigured forms of family life: doing the right thing? Single mothers by choice and the struggle for legitimacy, *Gender & Society*, 14(1), 62–86.
- Borchhorst, A. and Siim, B. 2008. Women-friendly policies and state feminism: theorizing Scandinavian gender equality, *Feminist Theory*, 9(2), 207–244.
- Bourdieu, P. and Wacquant, L.J.D. 1996. *Refleksiv sociologi*, København, Hans Reitzels Forlag.
- Brake, E. and Millum, J. 2012. Parenthood and procreation. In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Ed. E.N. Zalta, Spring 2012 Edition. [online], Stanford, CA, The Metaphysics Lab, Center for the Study of Language and Information, Stanford University. Available at: <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2012/entries/parenthood/>
- Brejnholt, K. 2013. *Her er familien Danmark*. [pdf], Copenhagen, Statistics Denmark. Available at: [www.dst.dk/-/media/Kontorer/15-Kundecenter/Statistisk-Perspektiv-8/p8art1.pdf](http://www.dst.dk/-/media/Kontorer/15-Kundecenter/Statistisk-Perspektiv-8/p8art1.pdf)
- Brubaker, R. and Cooper, F. 2000. Beyond “identity”, *Theory and Society*, 29, 1–47. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Bruner, J. 2004. Life as Narrative. *Social Research*, 71(3), 691–710.
- Bryld, M. 2001. The infertility clinic and the birth of the lesbian, *European Journal of Women’s Studies*, 8(3), 299–312.

- Bryld, M. and Lykke, N. 2000. Mellem kunstig befrugtning og naturlig intelligens: Om skiftende betydninger af køn og kvalitet, *Kvinder, Køn and Forskning*, 9(2), 16–26.
- Budgeon, S. and Roseneil, S. 2004. Editors' introduction: beyond the conventional family. *Current Sociology*, 52(2), 127–134. doi: [10.1177/0011392104041797](https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392104041797)
- Butler, J. 1988. Performative acts and gender constitution: an essay in phenomenology and feminist theory, *Theatre Journal*, 40(4), 519–531.
- Butler, J. 1993. *Bodies that Matter. On the Discursive Limits of "Sex"*, London, Routledge.
- Butler, J. 1999 [1990]. *Gender Trouble. Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*, London, Routledge.
- Butler, J. (with Olsen, G. and Worsham, L.) 2004. Changing the subject: Judith Butler's politics of radical resignification. In *The Judith Butler Reader*, Ed. S. Salih with J. Butler, pp. 325–356, Oxford, Blackwell.
- Calhaz-Jorge, C., et al. 2020. Survey on ART and IUI: legislation, regulation, funding and registries in European countries, *Human Reproduction Open*, 2020, 1–15.
- Carsten, J. 2000. Introduction: cultures of relatedness. In *Cultures of Relatedness. New Approaches to the Study of Kinship*, Ed. J. Carsten, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Carsten, J. 2004. *After Kinship*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Chamberlayne, P., Bornat, J., and Wengraf, T. 2000. Introduction: the biographical turn. In *The Turn to Biographical Methods in Social Science: Comparative Issues and Examples*, Eds P. Chamberlayne, J. Bornat and T. Wengraf, pp. 1–30, London, Routledge.
- Chan, R. W., et al. 1998. Psychosocial adjustment among children conceived via donor insemination by lesbian and heterosexual mothers. *Child Development*, 69(2), 443–457.
- Charmaz, K. 2006. *Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide through Qualitative Analysis*, London; Thousand Oaks; New Delhi, SAGE.
- Chase, S.E. 2005. Narrative inquiry: multiple lenses, approaches, voices. In *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research*, Eds N. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln, pp. 651–679, Thousand Oaks, CA, Sage Publications.
- Christiansen, P.O. 2007. Indledning. In *En rigtig familie – mellem nye og gamle idealer*, Eds L. Andersen and P.O. Christiansen, København, C. A. Reitzels Forlag.
- Cooper, M. and Waldby, C. 2014. *Clinical Labor. Tissue Donors and Research Subjects in the Global Economy*, Durham, NC, Duke University Press.
- Corbally, M. and O'Neill, C.S. 2014. An introduction to the biographical narrative interpretive method, *Nurse Researcher*, 21(5), 34–39.
- Courduriés, J. and Herbrand, C. 2014. Gender, kinship and assisted reproductive technologies: future directions after 30 years of research, *Enfances, Familles, Générations*, 21, 1–27.
- Cryos International. 2019. *Fertility trend: People who are involuntary childless request personal Knowledge about the sperm donor*. News Letter. Available at: <https://www.cryosinternational.com/content/da-dk/sysglobalassets/cryos-denmark/shared-dk/press/pressemeddelelser-dk/fertilitetstrend-barnloese-efterspoerger-personlig-viden-om-saeddonor.pdf>
- Cussins, C. 1996. Ontological choreography: agency through objectification in infertility clinics, *Social Studies of Science*, 26(3), 575–610.

- Cutas, D. and Chan, S. 2012. Introduction: perspectives on private and family life. In *Families – beyond the Nuclear Ideal*, Eds D. Cutas and S. Chan, pp. 1–12, London, Bloomsbury Academic.
- Dang, V.Q., et al. 2019. The effectiveness of ICSI versus conventional IVF in couples with non-male factor infertility: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial, *Human Reproduction Open*, 2019(2), 1–6.
- Danish Fertility Society. 2020a. *Results for 2019*, Copenhagen, Danish Fertility Society. Available at: <http://www.fertilitetsselskab.dk/>
- Danish Fertility Society. 2020b. *Results for 2018*. Copenhagen: Danish Fertility Society. Available at: <http://www.fertilitetsselskab.dk/>
- Danish Fertility Society. 2020c. *Results for 2013*. Copenhagen: Danish Fertility Society. Available at: <http://www.fertilitetsselskab.dk/>
- Danish Patient Safety Authority. 2020. Legislative material for medically assisted reproduction. Available at: <https://stps.dk/da/ansvar-og-retningslinjer/vejledning/assisteret-reproduktion/lovmateriale/>
- Denzin, N.K. 1989. *Interpretive Biography*, London, Sage Publications.
- Edwards, J. 2009. Introduction: the matter in kinship. In *European Kinship in the Age of Biotechnology*, Eds J. Edwards and C. Salazar, pp. 1–19, Oxford, Berghahn Books.
- Ellingsæter, A.L., Jensen, A. and Lie, M. 2013. *The Social Meaning of Children and Fertility Change in Europe*, Abingdon, Routledge.
- Engeli, I. 2009. The challenges of abortion and assisted reproductive technologies policies in Europe. *Comparative European Politics*, 7(1), 56–74.
- Engeli, I. and Varone, F. 2011. Governing morality issues through procedural policies. *Swiss Political Science Review*, 17(3), 239–258.
- Ernst, E., et al. 2020. Fertility treatment. *Sundhed.dk*. Available at: <https://www.sundhed.dk/sundhedsfaglig/information-til-praksis/midtjylland/almen-praksis/patientforloeb/forloebbeskrivelser/w-svangarskab-foedsel-svangarskabsforebyggelse/fertilitetsbehandling/>
- ESHRE. 2012. Higher levels of public reimbursement positively influence national birth rates and reduce unmet needs in subfertile populations. [press release] 2 July 2012. Available at: <https://www.eshre.eu/Press-Room/Press-releases/Press-releases-ESHRE-2012/ART-reimbursement.aspx>
- ESHRE. 2016. Three in four women starting fertility treatment will have a baby within five years. [online]. Available at: [http://www.eshre2016.eu/Media/Press-releases/\\_Malchau.aspx](http://www.eshre2016.eu/Media/Press-releases/_Malchau.aspx)
- ESHRE. 2017. Regulation and legislation in assisted reproduction. [online]. Available at: <file:///C:/Users/au311243/AppData/Local/Temp/2%20Regulation.pdf>
- ESHRE. 2020. *ART fact sheet*. [online]. Available at: <https://www.eshre.eu/Press-Room/Resources>
- Esping-Andersen, G. 2009. *The Incomplete Revolution: Adapting Welfare States to Women's New Roles*, Cambridge, Polity Press.
- Flick, U. 2009. *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*, 4th ed., London, SAGE.
- Foucault, M. 1983. The subject and power. In *Michel Foucault: Beyond Structuralism and Hermeneutics*, Eds H.L. Dreyfus and P. Rabinow, pp. 208–226, Chicago, IL, The University of Chicago Press.
- Foucault, M. 1994. *Viljen til viden: Seksualitetens historie 1*, Frederiksberg, Det Lille Forlag.

- Franklin, S. 1993. Essentialism, which essentialism? Some implications of reproductive and genetic techno-science. In *If You Seduce a Straight Person, Can You Make Them Gay? Issues in Biological Essentialism Versus Social Constructionism in Gay and Lesbian Identities*, Eds J.P. De Cecco and J.P. Elia, pp. 27–39, New York, NY: The Haworth Press.
- Franklin, S. 1997. *Embodied Progress. A Cultural Account of Assisted Conception*, New York, NY, Routledge.
- Franklin, S. 2001. Biologization revisited: kinship theory in the context of the new biologies. In *Relative Values: Reconfiguring Kinship Studies*, Eds S. Franklin and S. McKinnon, pp. 302–325, London: Duke University Press.
- Franklin, S. 2008. Reimagining the facts of life, *Soundings*, 40(3), 147–156.
- Franklin, S. 2013a. *Biological Relatives: IVF, Stem Cells, and the Future of Kinship*, Durham, NC, Duke University Press.
- Franklin, S. 2013b. Transforming kinship. In *eLS*, Chichester, Wiley.
- Franklin, S. and Lock, M. 2003. Animation and cessation: the remaking of life and death. In *Remaking Life and Death: Toward an Anthropology of the Biosciences*, Eds S. Franklin and M. Lock, Oxford, James Currey.
- Franklin, S. and McKinnon, S. 2001. Relative values: reconfiguring kinship studies. In *Relative Values: Reconfiguring Kinship Studies*, Eds S. Franklin and S. McKinnon, pp. 1–25, London, Duke University Press.
- Frederiksen, M.E. 2010. *Solomødre – Når enlige kvinder vælger at danne familie ved hjælp af donor-insemination*. Master's thesis, Institute for Public Health, University of Copenhagen.
- Frederiksen, M.E, Christensen, U., Tjørnhøj-Thomsen, T. and Schmidt, L. 2011. Solo mother by donor – the plan B of motherhood. A perspective on person-centered reproductive medicine, *International Journal of Person Centered Medicine*, 1(4), 800–807.
- Freeman, T. 2014. Introduction. In *Relatedness in Assisted Reproduction: Families, Origins and Identities*, Eds T. Freeman, S. Graham, F. Ebtehaj and M. Richards, pp. 1–20, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Frith, L. 2020. From the nuclear family towards family network models through ART. Presentation given at an ESHRE workshop on “Moving on from Individual Connections to Networks: New Challenges in Donor Conception” in Leuven, Belgium (February 2020).
- Frost, R. 1949. *Complete Poems of Robert Frost*, New York, NY, Henry Holt and Company.
- Fujii, L.A. 2012. Research ethics 101: dilemmas and responsibilities, *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 45(4), 717–723.
- Gamble, N. 2009. Considering the need for a father: the role of clinicians in safeguarding family values in UK fertility treatment, *Ethnics, Bioscience and Life*, 4(2), 15–18.
- Giddens, A. 1991. *Modernity and Self-Identity: Self and Society in the Late Modern Age*, Stanford, CA, Stanford University Press.
- Gillis, J. 1996. *A World of Their Own Making: Myth, Ritual, and the Quest for Family Values*, New York, NY, Basic Books.
- Ginsburg, F.D. 1989. *Contested Lives. The Abortion Debate in an American Community*, Berkeley, University of California Press.

- Glaser, B.G. 1998. Forcing the data. In *Doing Grounded Theory: Issues and Discussions*, Ed. B.G. Glaser, Mill Valley, CA, The Sociology Press.
- Goffman, E. 1992. *Vore rollespil i hverdagen*, Copenhagen, Hans Reitzels Forlag.
- Goffman, E. 2009. *Stigma*, 2nd ed., Copenhagen, Samfundslitteratur.
- Golombok, S. 2012. Families created by reproductive donation: issues and research, *Child Development Perspectives*, 7(1), 61–65.
- Golombok, S. 2013. *Happy Families. Focus on Reproduction*, European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology, January 2013, pp. 30–34.
- Golombok, S. 2015. *Modern Families: Parents and Children in New Family Forms*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Golombok, S. 2020. Single mothers by choice: ‘different shapes’. In *We Are Family. What Really Matters for Parents and Children*, Ed. S. Golombok, London, Scribe Publications.
- Golombok, S. and Badger, S. 2010. Children raised in mother-headed families from infancy: a follow-up of children of lesbian and single heterosexual mothers, at early adulthood, *Human Reproduction*, 25(1), 150–157.
- Golombok, S., Tasker, F. and Murray, C. 1997. Children raised in fatherless families from infancy: family relationships and the socio-emotional development of children of lesbian and single heterosexual mothers, *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 38(7), 783–792.
- Golombok, S., Zadeh, S., Freeman, T., Lysons, J. and Foley, S. 2020. Single mothers by choice: parenting and child adjustment in middle childhood, *Journal of Family Psychology*. Advance online publication. doi:[10.1037/fam0000797](https://doi.org/10.1037/fam0000797)
- Golombok, S., Zadeh, S., Imrie, S. Smith, V. and Freeman, T. 2016. Single mothers by choice: mother-child relationships and children’s psychological adjustment, *Journal of Family Psychology*, 30(4), 409–418.
- Grace, V.M., Daniels, K. and Gillett, W. 2008. The donor, the father, and the imaginary constitution of the family: parents’ constructions in the case of donor insemination, *Social Science and Medicine*, 66(2), 301–314.
- Graham, S. 2012. Choosing single motherhood - single women negotiating the nuclear family ideal. In *Families – beyond the Nuclear Ideal*, Eds D. Cutas and S. Chan, London, Bloomsbury Academic. Cp. 7.
- Graham, S. 2013. *Imagined Futures: Experiences and Decision-Making of Single Women Embarking upon Motherhood through Sperm Donation*. PhD, University of Cambridge.
- Graham, S. 2014. Stories of an absent ‘father’: single women negotiating relatedness through donor profiles. In *Relatedness in Assisted Reproduction: Families, Origins and Identities*, Eds T. Freeman, S. Graham, F. Ebtehaj and M. Richards, pp. 212–231, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Graham, S. 2018. Being a ‘good’ parent: single women reflecting upon ‘selfishness’ and ‘risk’ when pursuing motherhood through sperm donation, *Anthropology & Medicine*, 25(3), 249–264. doi: [10.1080/13648470.2017.1326757](https://doi.org/10.1080/13648470.2017.1326757)
- Graham, S. and Braverman, A. 2012. ARTs and the single parent. In *Reproductive Donation: Practice, Policy and Bioethics*, Eds M. Richards, G. Pennings and J.B. Appleby, pp. 189–210, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Graham, S. and Ravn, T. 2016. Ideals versus realities: exploring methodological influences on eliciting narratives of solo mothers. Presentation presented to the

- session 'Social Studies of Reproduction: techniques, methods and reflexive moments' at the ISA RC33 Methodology Conference, Leicester, September 2015.
- Grill, E.A. 2005. Treating single mothers by choice. In *Frozen Dreams: Psychodynamic Dimensions of Infertility and Assisted Reproduction*, Eds A. Rosen and J. Rosen, Hillsdale, NJ, The Analytic Press.
- Haimes, E. and Daniels, K. 1998. International social sciences perspectives: an introduction. In *Donor Insemination. International Social Science Perspectives*, Eds E. Haimes and K. Danieks, pp. 1–7, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Haraway, D. 1988. Situated knowledges: the science question in feminism and the privilege of partial perspective, *Feminist Studies*, 14(3), 575–599.
- Haraway, D. 2000. *How Like a Leaf. An Interview with Thyryza Nichols Goodeve*, New York, NY, Routledge.
- Haraway, D. 2004a. The promises of monsters: a regenerative politics for inappropriate/d others. In *The Haraway Reader*, Ed. D. Haraway, pp. 63–124, London, Routledge.
- Haraway, D. with Lykke, N., Markussen, R. and Olesen, F. 2004b [2000]. Cyborgs, coyotes, and dogs: a kinship of feminist figurations and there are always more things going on than you thought! Methodologies as thinking technologies. An interview with Donna Haraway. In *The Haraway Reader*, Ed. D. Haraway, pp. 321–343, London, Routledge.
- Hanson, A.F. 2001. Donor insemination: eugenic and feminist implications, *Medical Anthropology Quarterly*, 15(3), 287–311.
- Hauskeller, C., et al. 2013. Genetics and the sociology of identity, *Sociology*, 47(5), 875–886.
- Heinz, W.R. and Krüger, H. 2001. Life course: innovations and challenges for social research, *Current Sociology*, 49(2), 29–45.
- HelseNorge. 2020. Involuntary childlessness and assisted reproduction. Available at: <https://www.helsenorge.no/en/refusjon-og-stotteordning/involuntary-childlessness-and-assisted-reproduction/>
- Herrmann, J.R. 2018. Assisted reproduction in Denmark. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3198538>
- Hertz, R. 2002. The father as an idea: a challenge to kinship boundaries by single mothers, *Symbolic Interaction*, 25(1), 1–31.
- Hertz, R. 2006. Talking about “doing” family, *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 68(4), 796–799.
- Hertz, R. 2021. Single mothers as bricoleurs: crafting embryos and kin, *Journal of Family Issues*, 42(1), 1–30.
- Hertz, R. and Nelson, M.K. 2019. *Random Families. Genetic Strangers, Sperm Donor Siblings, and the Creation of New Kin*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Hoerning, E.M. 1996. *Life Course and Biography: Approaches and Methods*, Aalborg University, Department of Development and Planning, Series no. 199, December, 1996.
- Hoerning, E.M. 2001. Fra biografisk metode til biografiforskning, *Dansk Sociologi*, 12(3), 117–125.
- Hoffmann-Hansen, H. 2006. Sådan fik lesbiske og enlige adgang til kunstig befrugtning, *Kristeligt Dagblad*. [online] 3 June. Available at: <http://www.etik.dk/kunstig-befrugtning/s%C3%A5dan-fik-lesbiske-og-enlige-adgang-til-kunstig-befrugtning>



- Horsdal, M. 2012. *Telling Lives. Exploring Dimensions of Narratives*, London; New York, NY, Routledge.
- Højgaard, L. 2012. Judith Butler. Det performative køn og de sociale kategoriers dekonstruktion. In *Samfundsteori og Samtidsdiagnose. En Introduktion til Sytten Nyere Samfundstænkere for det Pædagogiske Felt*, Eds M.H. Jacobsen and A. Petersen, pp. 280–294, København, Forlaget Unge Pædagoger.
- IFFS (The International Federation of Fertility Societies). 2019. IFFS Surveillance 2019. *Global Reproductive Health (2019)*, 4, e29.
- Inhorn, M.C. and Birenbaum-Carmeli, D. 2008. Assisted reproductive technologies and culture change, *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 37(1), 177–196.
- Jadva, V., et al. 2009a. ‘Mom by choice, single by life’s circumstance...’ Findings from a large scale survey of the experiences of single mothers by choice, *Human Fertility*, 12(4), 175–184.
- Jadva, V., et al. 2009b. The experiences of adolescents and adults conceived by sperm donation: comparisons by age of disclosure and family type, *Human Reproduction*, 24(8), 1909–1919.
- Jenkins, R. 2008. *Social Identity*, 3rd ed., London, Routledge.
- Jenny, J.G. 2013. Europeanizing reproduction: reproductive technologies in Europe and Scandinavia, *NORA - Nordic Journal of Feminist and Gender Research*, 21(3), 236–242. doi: [10.1080/08038740.2013.826276](https://doi.org/10.1080/08038740.2013.826276)
- Jones, S. 2007. Exercising agency, becoming a single mother, *Marriage and Family Review*, 42(4), 35–61.
- Järvinen, M. 2005. Interview i en interaktionistisk begrebsramme. In *Kvalitative metoder i et interaktionistisk perspektiv*, Eds N. Mik-Meyer and M. Järvinen, pp. 27–48, København, Hans Reitzels Forlag.
- Keller, E.F. 2010. *The Mirage of Space between Nature and Nurture*, Durham, NC; London, Duke University Press.
- Kennedy, S. and Ruggles, S. 2014. Breaking up is hard to count: the rise of divorce in the United States, 1980–2010, *Demography*, 51, 587–598.
- Klitzman, R. 2016. Deciding how many embryos to transfer: ongoing challenges and dilemmas, *Reproductive Biomedicine & Society Online*, 3, 1–15.
- Knecht, M., Klotz, M. and Beck, S. 2012. Introduction. In *Reproductive Technologies as Global Form: Ethnographies of Knowledge, Practices, and Transnational Encounters*, Eds M. Knecht, M. Klotz and S. Beck, Frankfurt, Campus Verlag.
- Knudsen, L.B. 2009. *Fertilitet og familiedannelse – et felt mellem valg og skæbne*. Sociologisk Arbejdsrapport, CASTOR, 26, Tiltrædelsesforelæsning ved Aalborg Universitet 2008.
- Knudsen, L.B. (2014). Familien i Danmark. In *Pædagogisk sociologi*, Eds H. Dorf and J. Rasmussen, pp. 165–186, Copenhagen, Hans Reitzels Forlag.
- Konrad, M. 1998. Ova donation and symbols of substance: some variations on the theme of sex, gender and the partible body, *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 4(4), 643–667.
- Kooli, C. 2019. Review of assisted reproduction techniques, laws, and regulations in Muslim countries, *Middle East Fertility Society Journal*, 24(8), 1–15.
- Kroløkke, C., et al. 2019. Introduction. In *The Cryopolitics of Reproduction on Ice: A New Scandinavian Ice Age*, Eds C. Kroløkke, et al., pp. 1–18, Bingley, Emerald Publishing Limited.



- Kushnir, V.A., et al. 2017. Systematic review of worldwide trends in assisted reproductive technology 2004–2013. *Reproductive biology and endocrinology: RB&E*, 15(1), 6. doi: [10.1186/s12958-016-0225-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-016-0225-2)
- Lampic, C., Skoog Svanberg, A. and Sydsjö, G. 2014. Attitudes towards disclosure and relationship to donor offspring among a national cohort of identity-release oocyte and sperm donors, *Human Reproduction*, 29(9), 1978–1986.
- Landau, R., et al. 2008. A child of "hers": older single mothers and their children conceived through IVF with both egg and sperm donation, *Fertility and Sterility*, 90(3), 576–583.
- Laws, D. and Rein, M. 2003. Reframing practice. In *Deliberative Policy Analysis: Understanding Governance in the Network Society*, Eds M. Hajer and H. Wagenaar, pp. 172–208, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Leiblum, S.R., et al. 1995. Non-traditional mothers: single heterosexual/lesbian women and lesbian couples electing motherhood via donor insemination, *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 16, 11–20.
- Lemke, T. 2009. *Biopolitik: En introduktion*, Copenhagen, Hans Reitzels Forlag.
- Lesnik-Oberstein, K. 2008. *On Having an Own Child. Reproductive Tehnologies and the Cultural Construction of Childhood*, London, Karnac Books.
- Levine, N.E. 2008. Alternative kinship, marriage, and reproduction, *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 37, 375–389.
- Lie, M. 2002. Science as father? Sex and gender in the age of reproductive technologies, *European Journal of Women's Studies*, 9(4), 381–399.
- Lock, M. and Nguyen, V. 2010. Kinship, infertility and assisted reproduction. In *An Anthropology of Biomedicine*, Eds M. Lock and V. Nguyen, pp. 254–277, Chichester, Wiley-Blackwell.
- Lykke, N. 2008. Feminist cultural studies of technoscience. In *Bits of Life: Feminism at the Intersections of Media, Bioscience, and Technology*, Eds A. Smelik and N. Lykke, pp. 3–15, Seattle, WA, University of Washington Press.
- Lykke, N. 2010. The timeliness of post-constructionism, *NORA – Nordic Journal of Feminist and Gender Research*, 18(2), 131–136.
- Lykke, N. and Bryld, M. 2006. Nye forplantningsteknologier og post-naturlig etik. In *Det menneskelige eksperiment: Om menneskesyn og moderne bioteknologi*, Eds G. Balling and K. Lippert-Rasmussen, pp. 23–49, Copenhagen, Museum Tusulanums Forlag.
- MacCallum, F. and Golombok, S. 2004. Children raised in fatherless families from infancy: a follow-up of children of lesbian and single heterosexual mothers at early adolescence, *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 45(8), 1407–1419.
- Malchau, S.S., et al. 2017. The long-term prognosis for live birth in couples initiating fertility treatments, *Human Reproduction*, 32(7), 1439–1449.
- Malmquist, A., Björnström, T. and Thunholm, A. 2019. Swedish children of single mothers by choice, and children of heterosexual couples, reflect on child conception and other paths to parenthood, *NORA - Nordic Journal of Feminist and Gender Research*, 27(3), 166–180.
- Mannis, V.S. 1999. Single mothers by choice, *Family Relations*, 48(2), 121–128.
- March, K. 2008. Motherhood, personal agency and breaching family norms. Book Review.

- Markussen, R. and Gad, C. 2007. Feministisk STS. In *Introduktion til STS. Science, Technology, Society*, Eds P. Lauritsen, C.B. Jensen and F. Olesen, pp. 157–181, Copenhagen, Hans Reitzels Forlag.
- Mason, J. 2008. Tangible affinities and the real life fascination of kinship, *Sociology*, 42(1), 29–45.
- May, V. 2001. *Lone Motherhood in Finnish Women's Life Stories. Creating Meaning in a Narrative Context*. PhD, Åbo Academy University Press.
- May, V. 2004. Meanings of lone motherhood within a broader family context, *The Sociological Review*, 52, 390–403.
- May, V. 2015. Families and personal life: all change? In *Contemporary Sociology*, Ed. M. Holborn, pp. 471–472, Cambridge, Polity Press.
- Mazor, A. 2004. Single motherhood via donor-insemination (Di): separation, absence, and family creation, *Contemporary Family Therapy*, 26(2), 199–215.
- McAdams, D.P. and McLean, K.C. 2013. Narrative identity, *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 22(3), 233–238.
- McKinnon, S. 2015. Productive paradoxes of the assisted reproductive technologies in the context of the new kinship studies, *Journal of Family Issues*, 36(4), 461–479.
- Melhuus, M. 2012. *Problems of Conception. Issues of Law, Biotechnology, Individuals and Kinship*, Oxford, Berghahn Books.
- Memmi, D. 2003. Governing through speech: the new state administration of bodies, *Social Research*, 70(2), 645–658.
- Merrill, B. and West, L. 2009. *Using Biographical Methods in Social Research*, London, SAGE.
- Ministry of Health. 2015. *Vejledning om sundhedspersoners og vævscentres virksomhed og forpligtelser i forbindelse med assisteret reproduktion [Guidelines on Health Persons' and Tissue Centers' Business and Obligations in Relation to Assisted Reproduction]*. Instruction no. 9351 of 26 May 2015. [online], Copenhagen, Ministry of Health. Available at: <https://www.retsinformation.dk/Forms/R0710.aspx?id=172755>
- Ministry of Health. 2019. Act on assisted reproduction in connection with treatment, diagnosis and research etc. No. 902 of august 23. Available at: <https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/lt/a/2019/902>
- Ministry of Health. 2020a. Double donation allowed for single women and couples. Availablely at: <https://www.sum.dk/Aktuelt/Nyheder/Sundhedspolitik/2007/December/Dobbelt-donation-tilladt-for-enlige-kvinder-og-par.aspx>
- Ministry of Health. 2020b. New political agreement lift the five-year storage limit for egg freezing. Available at: <https://sum.dk/Aktuelt/Nyheder/Sundhedspolitik/2020/Oktober/Ny-politisk-aftale-ophaever-5-aars-graense-for-nedfrysning-af-aeg.aspx>
- Mohr, S. 2015. Living kinship trouble: Danish sperm donors' narratives of relatedness, *Medical Anthropology*, 34 (5), 470–484.
- Moore, D.S. 2011. Evelyn fox keller: the mirage of a space between nature and nurture, *Sci & Educ*. doi: 10.1007/s11191-011-9374-z
- Morgan, D.H.G. 2011. Locating 'family practices', *Sociological Research Online*, 16(4), 1–9.
- Mortelmans, D., et al. 2016. Introduction. A view through the family Kaleidoscope. In *Changing Family Dynamics and Demographic Evolution. The Family Kaleidoscope*, Eds D. Mortelmans, et al., Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing.

- Mukherjee, S. 2017. Genetics therapies: post human. In *The Gene. An Intimate Story*, Ed. S. Mukherjee, New York, NY, Scribner.
- Murray, C. and Golombok, S. 2005a. Going it alone: solo mothers and their infants conceived by donor insemination, *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 75(2), 242–253.
- Murray, C. and Golombok, S. 2005b. Solo mothers and their donor insemination infants: follow-up at age 2 years, *Human Reproduction*, 20(6), 1655–2005.
- Myong, L. 2014. Adoptionens tid er nu. *Information*, 6 January. [online]. Available at: <https://www.information.dk/debat/2014/01/adoptionens-tid>
- National Health Service (NHS). 2020. Risks. IVF. Available at: <https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/ivf/risks/>
- National Human Genome Research Institute. 2016. All about the human genome project (HGP). [online]. Available at: <https://www.genome.gov/10001772/all-about-the-human-genome-project-hgp/>
- Nicholson, L. 1997. The myth of the traditional family. In *Feminism and Families*, Ed. H.L. Nelson, pp. 27–42, New York, NY, Routledge.
- Nordqvist, P. 2017. Genetic thinking and everyday living: on family practices and family imaginaries, *The Sociological Review*. doi: 10.1177/0038026117711645
- Nordqvist, P. and Smart, C. 2014. *Relative Strangers. Family Life, Genes and Donor Conception*, Hampshire, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Norup, M. 2006. Reproduktionsteknologier nu og i den nære fremtid. In *Det menneskelige eksperiment: Om menneskesyn og moderne bioteknologi*, Eds G. Balling and K. Lippert-Rasmussen, pp. 51–74, Copenhagen, Museum Tusulanums Forlag.
- Oehlenschläger, E. 2015. Flere sæddonorer siger ja til at møde deres donorbørn. *Politiken*, [online] June 13. Available at: <http://politiken.dk/forbrugogliv/livsstil/familieliv/ECE2710495/flere-saeddonorer-siger-ja-til-at-moede-deres-donorboern/>
- Ottosen, H. 2005. Den Moderne Familie – og Familienværks betydning, *Social Politik*, 5, 17–23.
- Payne, J.G. 2016. Grammars of kinship: biological motherhood and assisted reproduction in the age of epigenetics. *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society* 2016, 41(3). doi: 10.1086/684233
- Payne, J.G. 2020. Third-party reproduction across borders through the lens of kinship grammars. Presentation given at an ESHRE workshop on “Moving on from Individual Connections to Networks: New Challenges in Donor Conception” in Leuven, Belgium (February 2020).
- Perelli-Harris, B., et al. 2017. The rise in divorce and cohabitation: is there a link?. *Population and Development Review*, 43(2), 303–329. doi: 10.1111/padr.12063
- Petersen, M.N. 2007. *Fra barnets tarv til ligestilling – en analyse og vurdering af diskurserne i Folketingets forhandlinger vedrørende lesbiske og enlige kvinders adgang til lægeassisteret kunstig befrugtning i en queerteoretisk optik*. Master’s thesis, Copenhagen, Centre for Gender Studies, Faculty of the Humanities, University of Copenhagen.
- Peterson, M.M. 2005. Assisted reproductive technologies and equity of access issues. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 31(5), 280–285.
- Phoenix, A. 2011. Psychosocial intersections: contextualising the accounts of adults who grew up in visibly ethnically different households. In *Framing Intersectionality: Debates on a Multi-faceted Concept in Gender Studies*, Eds H. Lutz, M.T.H. Vivar and L. Supik, pp. 137–154, Farnham: Ashgate Publishing.

- Phoenix, A. 2013. Analysing narrative context. In *Doing Narrative Research*, Eds M. Andrews, C. Squire and M. Tamboukou, 2nd ed., Los Angeles, CA, SAGE.
- Polkinghorne, D.E. 1988. *Narrative Knowing and the Human Sciences*, Albany, NY, State University of New York Press.
- Präg, P. and Mills, M.C. 2017. Assisted reproductive technology in Europe: usage and regulation in the context of cross-border reproductive care. In *Childlessness in Europe: Contexts, Causes, and Consequences. Demographic Research Monographs (A Series of the Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research)*, Eds M. Kreyenfeld and D. Konietzka, Cham, Springer.
- Ravn, T. and Sørensen, M.P. 2013. Interview with Elisabeth Beck-Gernsheim: family structures and family life in second modernity. [online] *Theory, Culture and Society*. Available at: <http://www.theoryculturesociety.org/interview-with-elisabeth-beck-gernsheim-on-individualization/>
- Rein, M. and Schön, D. 1993. Reframing policy discourse. In *The Argumentative Turn in Policy Analysis and Planning*, Eds F. Fischer and J. Forester, London, UCL Press.
- Rigshospitalet. 2017. *Information and Guidance for involuntarily childless. IVF treatment*. Available at: <https://www.rigshospitalet.dk/afdelinger-og-klinikker/julianemarie/fertilitetsafdelingen/undersogelse-og-behandling/Fertilitetsbehandling/Documents/IVF%20vejledning%202017.pdf>
- Roberts, B. 2002. *Biographical Research*, Buckingham, Open University Press.
- Rose, N. 2001. The politics of life itself, *Theory, Culture & Society*, 18(6), 1–30.
- Rose, N. 2003. At regere friheden: En analyse af politisk magt i avanceret liberale demokratier. In *Perspektiv, magt og styring: Luhmann og Foucault til diskussion*, Eds C. Borch and L.L. Thorup, pp. 180–200, Copenhagen, Hans Reitzels Forlag.
- Rose, N. 2007. *Politics of Life Itself: Biomedicine, Power and Subjectivity in the Twenty-First Century*, Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press.
- Rose, N., O'Malley, P. and Valverde, M. 2006. Governmentality, *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 2(1), 83–104.
- Rose, S. 1997. *Lifelines. Life beyond the Gene*, New York, NY, Oxford University Press.
- Rosenbeck, B. 1995. Pro et Contra. In *Forplantning, køn og teknologi*, Eds B. Rosenbeck and R.M. Scott, Copenhagen, Museum Tusulanums Forlag.
- Roseneil, S. 2006. The ambivalences of Angel's 'arrangement': a psychosocial lens on the contemporary condition of personal life, *The Sociological Review*, 54(4), 847–869.
- Rosenthal, G. 1993. Reconstruction of life stories: principles of selection in generating stories for narrative biographical interviews, *The Narrative Study of Lives*, 1(1), 59–91. [online] Available at: <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-59294>
- Rosenthal, G. 2004. Biographical research. In *Qualitative Research Practice*, Eds G. Gobo, J. Gubrium, C. Seale, and D. Silverman, London, SAGE.
- Salomon, M., et al. 2015. Sociodemographic characteristics and attitudes towards motherhood among single women compared with cohabiting women treated with donor semen – a Danish multicenter study, *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*, 94(5), 473–481.
- Sauer, B. 2010. Framing and gendering. In *Politics of State Feminism: Innovation in Comparative Research*, Eds D.E. McBride and A.G. Mazur, pp. 193–216, Philadelphia, PA, Temple University Press.

- Scheib, J.E., et al. 2005. Adolescents with open-identity sperm donors: reports from 12-17 year olds, *Human Reproduction*, 20(1), 239–252.
- Schmidt, L. 2006. Infertility and assisted reproduction in Denmark. Epidemiology and psychosocial consequences. *Danish Medical Bulletin*, 4(53), 390–417.
- Schmidt, L. 2010. Should men and women be encouraged to start childbearing at a younger age? *Expert Reviews of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 5(2), 145–147.
- Schmidt, L. and Sejrbæk, C.S. 2012. Psychosocial consequences of infertility and fertility treatment, *Ugeskrift for Læger (Danish Medical Journal)*, 174(41), 2459–2462.
- Schmidt, L. and Ziebe, S. 2013. Diskussion om burgerbetaling for assisteret befrugtning. In *Danish Council of Ethics. Etik og Prioritering i sundhedsvæsenet – hvorfor er det så svært?* pp. 97–103, Copenhagen, Danish Council of Ethics.
- Schön, D. and Rein, M. 1994. *Frame Reflection: Towards the Resolution of Intractable Policy Controversies*, New York, NY, Basic Books.
- Schultz-Jørgensen, P. and Christensen, R.S. 2011. Den fleksible familie. In *Små og store forandringer: Danskernes værdier siden 1981*, Ed. P. Gundelach, Copenhagen, Hans Reitzels Forlag.
- Scott, C.L., Wilder, S., Bennett, J. 2019. Going it alone: a multigenerational investigation of women’s perceptions of single mothers by choice versus circumstance. In *Childbearing and the Changing Nature of Parenthood: The Contexts, Actors, and Experiences of Having Children (Contemporary Perspectives in Family Research*, Eds R.P. Costa and S.L. Blair, pp. 143–164, Vol. 14, Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Sevón, E. 2005. Timing motherhood: experiencing and narrating the choice to become a mother, *Feminism and Psychology*, 15(4), 461–482.
- Shenfield, F., de Mouzon, J., Pennings, G., Ferraretti, A.P., Andersen, A.N., de Wert, G., Goossens, V. and The ESHRE Taskforce on Cross Border Reproductive Care. 2010. Cross border reproductive care in six European countries, *Human Reproduction*, 25(6), 1361–1368.
- Silva, E.B. and Smart, C. 1998. The ‘new’ practises and politics of family life. In *The New Family?* Eds E. Silva and C. Smart, pp. 1–13, London, Sage Publications.
- Sobotka, T. 2008. Overview chapter 6: the diverse faces of the second demographic transition, *Demographic Research*, 19(8), 171–224.
- Sobotka, T. and Toulemon, L. 2008. Overview chapter 4: changing family and partnership behavior: common trends and persistent diversity, *Demographic Research*, 19(6), 85–138.
- Sobotka, T., Hansen, M.A., Jensen, T.K., Pedersen, A.T., Lutz, W. and Skakkebæk, N.E. 2008. The contribution of assisted reproduction to completed fertility: an analysis of Danish data, *Population and Development Review*, 34(1), 79–101.
- Statistics Denmark. 2017. *Vital Statistics 2017*. [online], Copenhagen, Statistics Denmark. Available at: <https://www.dst.dk/Site/Dst/Udgivelser/GetPubFile.aspx?id=22259&sid=staa>
- Statistics Denmark. 2019. *The Rainbow Family Has Grown*, Copenhagen, Statistics Denmark. Available at: <https://www.dst.dk/da/Statistik/bagtal/2019/2019-08-14-regnbuefamilien-er-blevet-stoerre>
- Statistics Denmark. 2020a. *Divorces*, Copenhagen, Statistics Denmark. Available at: <https://www.dst.dk/da/Statistik/emner/befolkning-og-valg/vielser-og-skilsmisser/skilsmisser>

- Statistics Denmark. 2020b. Marriages and divorces 2019. *Nyt fra Danmarks Statistik*, 50, 13. February. [pdf] Copenhagen: Statistics Denmark. Available at: <https://www.dst.dk/Site/Dst/Udgivelser/nyt/GetPdf.aspx?cid=30085>
- Statistics Denmark. 2020c. *Vital Statistics 2019*, Copenhagen, Statistics Denmark. Available at: <https://www.dst.dk/Site/Dst/Udgivelser/GetPubFile.aspx?id=29444&sid=befudv2019>
- Statistics Denmark. 2020d. *Fertility Has Decreased to 1.7 Child Per Women*, Copenhagen, Statistics Denmark. Available at: <https://www.dst.dk/Site/Dst/Udgivelser/nyt/GetPdf.aspx?cid=30330>
- The British Human Fertilisation & Embryology Authority (HFEA). 2020a. Fertility treatment 2018: trends and figures. Available at: <https://www.hfea.gov.uk/about-us/publications/research-and-data/fertility-treatment-2018-trends-and-figures/>
- The British Human Fertilisation & Embryology Authority (HFEA). 2020b. Risks of fertility treatment. Available at: <https://www.hfea.gov.uk/treatments/explore-all-treatments/risks-of-fertility-treatment/>
- The Danish Council of Ethics. 2004. *Kunstig befrugtning - etisk Set*. [pdf], Copenhagen, The Danish Council of Ethics. Available at: <http://www.etiskraad.dk/~media/Etisk-Raad/Etiske-Temaer/Assisteret-reproduktion/Publicationer/2004-01-04-kunstig-befrugtning-etisk-set.pdf>
- The Danish Council of Ethics. 2010. *Etiske aspekter ved nye typer af stamceller og befrugtningsteknikker*, [pdf] Copenhagen, The Danish Council of Ethics. Available at: <http://www.etiskraad.dk/~media/Etisk-Raad/Etiske-Temaer/Stamceller/Publicationer/Etiske-aspekter-ved-nye-typer-af-stamceller-og-befrugtningsteknikker.pdf>
- The Danish Health Data Authority. 2020. *Assisted Reproduction 2018*, Copenhagen, The Danish Health Data Authority.
- Thørnhøj-Thomsen, T. 1998. *Tilblivelseshistorier: barnløshed, slægtskab og forplantningsteknologi i Danmark*, Ph. D. -række, nr. 12 1999, Kbh, Københavns Universitet Institut for Antropologi, 283 s.
- Thompson, C. 2005. *Making Parents: The Ontological Choreography of Reproductive Technologies*, Cambridge, MA, The MIT Press.
- Thomsen, T.L. 2005. *Immigrant Entrepreneurship as Gendered Social Positions – A Study on Motivations and Strategies in a Biographical Perspective*. PhD. AMID, Academy for Migration Studies in Denmark, Aalborg University.
- Throsby, K. 2004. Normalising IVF: negotiating nature and technology. In *When IVF Fails: Feminism, Infertility, and the Negotiation of Normality*, Ed. K. Throsby, pp. 54–79, London, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Tjørnhøj-Thomsen 1999. *Tilblivelseshistorier: Barnløshed, slægtskab og forplantningsteknologi i Danmark*. PhD University of Copenhagen: Department of Anthropology.
- Tjørnhøj-Thomsen, L. 2003. Børns sociale og kulturelle betydninger: Et barnløst perspektiv på børn, *Barn*, 1, 63–81.
- Verhaak, C.M., et al. 2007. Women's emotional adjustment to IVF: a systematic review of 25 years of research, *Human Reproduction Update*, 13(1), 27–36.
- Volgsten, H. and Schmidt, L. 2019. Motherhood through medically assisted reproduction - characteristics and motivations of Swedish single mothers by choice, *Human Fertility*.
- Wagenaar, H. 2011. *Meaning in Action: Interpretation and Dialogue in Policy Analysis*, London, M.E. Sharpe.

- Waldby, C. and Cooper, M. 2008. The biopolitics of reproduction. *Australian Feminist Studies*, 23(55), 57–73.
- Wang, J. and Sauer, M.V. 2006. In vitro fertilization (IVF): a review of 3 decades of clinical innovation and technological advancement, *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management*, 2(4), 355–364.
- Weinraub, M., Horvath, D.L. and Gringlas, M.B. 2002. Single parenthood. In *Handbook of Parenting, Vol III. Being and Becoming a Parent*, Ed. M.H. Bornstein, pp. 109–140, London, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Weissenberg, R., et al. 2007. Older single mothers assisted by sperm donation and their children. *Human Reproduction*, 22(10), 2784–2791.
- Wengraf, T. 2001. Qualitative research interviewing. *Biographic Narrative and Semi-Structured Methods*, London, Sage Publications.
- Woollet, A. and Boyle, M. 2000. Reproduction, women's lives and subjectivities, *Feminism & Psychology*, 10(3), 307–311.
- Zadeh, S., et al. 2017. Children's thoughts and feelings about their donor and security of attachment to their solo mothers in middle childhood, *Human Reproduction*, 32(4), 868–875.
- Zadeh, S., et al. 2015. Absence of presence? Complexities in the donor narratives of single mothers using sperm donation, *Human Reproduction*, 31(1), 1–8.
- Zadeh, S., Freeman, T., and Golombok, S. 2013. Ambivalent identities of single women using sperm donation. *Revue internationale de Psychologie Sociale-International Review of Social Psychology*, 26(3), 97–123.
- Zegers-Hochschild, F., et al. 2009. The international committee for monitoring assisted reproductive technology (ICMART) and the World Health Organization (WHO) revised glossary on ART terminology, *Human Reproduction*, 24(11), 2683–2687.
- Zegers-Hochschild, F., et al. 2017. The international glossary on infertility and fertility care, *Human Reproduction*, 32(9), 1786–1801.