## INDEX

Academia, 6 Academic institutions changing model, 1–3, 5 characteristics of, 3–5 demographic change, 5 digital intellectual, 3–4 future of, 5–8 leadership, 8–10 market forces, 5 post-Cold War world, 5 social contract, 4 theage of knowledge, 5 universities, 4 Academic leaders, 8–10 academic institutions, 128–129 characteristics of, 10–11 effectiveness, 12–13 higher educational institutions, 122–123 Lean Six Sigma (LSS), 121–122 motivation, 12–13 project ideas, 123–124 staff resources, 126–127 structuring of project teams, 125–126 style of project delivery, 123–124 success communication, 127–128 sustainability, 128–129 techniques, 124–125 traditional leaders, 12	Commercialisation, 6 Commercial Quality, 18–19 Continuous improvement (CI), 76–77, 100–101  Design of experiments (DoE), 26, 28, 124 academic leadership, 34–35 analysing phase, 38–40 case studies, 30–31 conducting phase, 35–38 continuous improvement, 34–35 design phase, 32–35 lean six sigma, 34–35 maturity model, 34–35 planning phase, 31–32 structuring, 28 Taguchi techniques, 39–40 Total Quality Management (TQM), 34–35 traditional literature review analysis, 38–39 validation exercise, 37–38 Digital intellectual output, 3–4 DMAIC projects, 104, 124 Facebook, 5–6 Ford Motor Company, 15–16 Global education, 3 Goal driven approach, 12–13 Google, 5–6 Gordon State College, USA, 71 Higher educational institution
Big Data, 20 Capability maturity model, 85 Cochrane collaboration, 26	(HEI), 3, 121–123 Honeywell, 18
Cocinane conaboration, 26	

132 Index

Individual performance, 24	MAIC, 18–19
Industry income, 46	Main Effects plot, 39–40
International outlook, 46	Master Black Belts, 46, 67
ivy league, 2	Mastering deployment, 98-99
-	Maturity models, 37–38, 40
Knowledge, 3–4	capability maturity model, 85
economy, 9–10	continuous improvement (CI),
Leadership, 8–10	76–77
Leadership maturity models, 77–79	economic growth model, 75
case studies, 99, 101, 105, 108,	high maturity, 76
110	leadership maturity models,
factors and characteristics, 106	77–79
linking levels, 106	Lean Six Sigma maturity models,
model creating, 105–108	82–84
model testing, 108–112	levels of, 83
stages, 98–99	linearity of, 94
Lean manufacturing, 16	low maturity, 76
Lean Six Sigma (LSS), 6–8	multi-dimension process
	improvement maturity
academic institutions, 128–129	model, 81
academic leaders, 121–122	process improvement maturity
birth of, 19	models, 80–82
Ford, 15–16	review of, 86–93
future, 20	scenarios of, 94
higher educational institutions, 122–123	target audience of maturity, 94
	testing, 95
launch of, 17–19	type of, 85
lean manufacturing, 16	use of, 75–77
maturity models, 82–84	Miami University, USA, 71
Model T chassis, 16	Model T, 16
project ideas, 123–124	Motivation, 12–13
Six Sigma organisation, 17	Motorola, 18
staff resources, 126–127	roadmap for, 18
	Multi-dimension process
structuring of project teams,	improvement maturity
125–126	model, 81
style of project delivery,	model, 61
123–124	National University of
success communication,	Singapore, 71
127–128	0
sustainability, 128–129	Operational excellence
techniques, 124–125	methodologies, UK higher
Toyota Production System, 15	education sector
Learning management systems	criteria, 45–46
(LMS), 5–6	initial questionnaire research, 49
Low maturity, 76	Lean Six Sigma, 71–72

Index 133

Master Black Belts, 46, 67 Stimulate debate, 101 quantitative research, 47–48 Systematic literature review research, 51–54 Cochrane Collaboration, 26 rest of the world perspective vs., design of experiments (DoE). See 51 - 54Design of experiments surveys, 47–48, 54, 68 (DoE). Organisation mission, 24 Taguchi, Genichi, 29–30 OSaR model, 102 Taguchi Orthogonal Arrays, 27 - 28Parents knowledge, 5–6 Target audience of maturity, 94 Plan Do Check Act model, 103 Planning phase, 30 Theage of knowledge, 5 Post-Cold War world, 5 Toyota Motor Company, 16, 24-25 Process improvement maturity Traditional leaders, 12 models, 80–82 Process Improvement Unit Traditional management (PIU), 104 language, 9–10 Traditional shop practices, 15–16 Qualitative research, 99 Twinning programmes, 3 Quantitative research, 47-48 Unit productivity, 24 Red brick, 2 University of Central Florida, Repetition, 27 USA, 71–72 Resource Grab, 12–13 University of North Carolina, USA, 71 Second World War, 18 Six Sigma Valdosta State University, launch of, 17-19 Georgia, 71 organisation, 17

Walter Shewhart, 17–18

World class universities, 8-9

Social contract, 4

Spinouts, 5–6