

# INDEX

- Academic works and practices, 5
- Active participation, 20
- Age group, 29–30
- Agenda 2030, 56
  
- Baltic countries, 62
- Baltic lands, 62
- Baltic nations, 62
- Baltic region, 62–63
- Baltic republics, 62
- Baltic states, 62
- Block development councillors (BDCs), 67
- Bottom-up approach of community, 63
- British Empire, 1–2
  
- Canada
  - case of Nova Scotia, 60–61
  - participation in, 58–61
- Capitalism, 1–3
- Caste in India shaping local polity, 33–35
- Centre for Analysis of Social Exclusion (CASE), 51
- Citizen engagement, 49–52
- Civic engagement, 73
- Civic participation, 73
- Civil Societies, 13–14
- Civil society organizations (CSOs), 4–5, 31–32, 75
  - CSO-CSO, 24–25
  - CSO-GOV, 24–25
  - CSO-GOV-CSO, 24–25
  - CSO-PRIV, 24–25
  - in India, 71
  - Vatsalya Funded by Rajasthan SACS in Ajmer, Rajasthan, 69–70
- Civilizations, 1–2
- Collaborative participation, 21–23
- Collaborative-input sponsorship, 20–21
- Collaborators, 8–12
  - thematic evolution, 9–12
- Collegiate participation, 21–23
- Communication strategy, 61
- Community circles, 61
- Community development, 13
- Community engagement, 19
  - factors at levels affecting, 28
  - intervention models, 24–25
- Community Need Assessment, 21–22
- Community participation, 73
  - collaborators, 8–12
  - countrywide and timewise production, 7–8
  - forms of, 19
  - gender shapes, 30–31
  - history and origin of term
    - participation in context of development, 2–7
  - industrialization of society and worries of community development, 1–2
  - overarching framework, 16–17
  - states, 42–44
  - theoretical strands of participation, 12–15
  - trends in scientific production, 7–8

- Community-based assessment, 21–22
- Community-based organizations, 75
- Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG), 37–38
- Consultative participation, 21–23
- Contractual participation, 21–23
- Development, 2–3
- Dialogue, 21–22
- Digital media, 50
- Digital technologies, 76
- E-governance, 49–51
- Education, 35–36
- Europe
  - Baltic region, 62–63
  - participation in, 62–64
  - Sweden, 64
  - Switzerland, 63–64
- Facebook, 49
- Female headed HHs, 39
- Female Sex Workers (FSW), 68
- Flood and naxal affected
  - community in state of Bihar, India, 31–32
- Functional participation, 21–23
- Gender and Development approach (GAD approach), 30–31
- Gender shapes community participation, 30–31
- Global and national institutions, livelihood interventions by, 70–72
- Global network on local governance, 59–60
- GOV-GOV, 24–25
- Governance and Local Development (GLD), 64
- Government of India (GoI), 42
- Gram Panchayats, 67
- Gram Sabha (GS), 42–43
- HHs, 29–30, 39
- HIV/AIDS, 68
- Households, 38
- ICLEI, 59–60
- Income, 38–39
- India
  - open meeting of social audit under MNREGA, UP, India, 65
  - participation in, 64–65
- Indira Aawas Yojana (IAY), 33–34
- Industrialization of society and worries of community development, 1–2
- Information and Communication Technology (ICT), 50–51
- Injecting Drug Users (IDU), 68
- Instrumental participation, 23
- Inter-active participation, 21–23
- International City/County Management Association (ICMA), 59–60
- Kerala Development Programme, 22
- Kribhco Indo-British Farming Project (KRIBP), 22
- ‘Leader’ programme, 63
- Learning organization model, 22
- Liberalization of economies, 13–14
- Local elites, 5–6
- Local governance, 6–7
  - overarching framework, 16–17
  - reservation for weaker sections, 45–46
  - trends in scientific production, 7–8
- Local governments for sustainability, 59–60
- Local polity, 6
- Low caste community (SC community), 66
- Lower education groups, 39
- Macro level, 60
- Means *vs.* end approach, 21–26

- Media, 74–75
- Men who have sex with Men (MSM), 68
- Metal-based machines, 1–2
- Metropolis, 59–60
- Middle income groups, 39
- Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), 55–56
- Ministry of Panchayati Raj (MoPR), 42
- Mughals, 1–2
- National Rural Livelihood Mission (NRLM), 71
- Nominal participation, 23, 75
- Nova Scotia mainland,
  - participation case of, 60–61
  - child and women engagement model in, 61
- Occupation, 38–39
- Other Backward Caste (OBC), 33
- Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs),
  - 6, 42
  - in India, 74
  - voting system, 66
- Participation, 4–5, 13–14, 19, 27, 56, 73
  - age group, 29–30
  - Canada, 58–61
  - cases of public engagement at local levels in various countries, 58
  - conceptual views, 15
  - through consultation, 21–23
  - detailed description, 65–70
  - Europe, 62–64
  - by giving information, 21–23
  - India, 64–65
  - levels, 21
  - livelihood interventions by global and national institutions, 70–72
  - millennium and sustainable development goals, 57
  - modes, 20–21
  - in new and old GPs, 39
  - to obtain material incentives, 21–23
  - political factors, 39–42
  - smart city solutions and spatial dimension, 52–54
  - social factors condition individuals to participate in local meetings, 29–31
  - technological advancement and citizen engagement, 49–52
  - tools and techniques, 21–26
  - women representation in PRIs in India, 32–39
  - working with flood and naxal affected community in state of Bihar, India, 31–32
- Participatory development, 14–15
- Participatory Poverty Appraisal, 21–22
- Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA), 21–22
- Participatory rural development, 4–7
- Passive participation, 21–23
- Patriarchy, 66
- Peer effect, 48
- People Living With HIV/AIDS (PLHIVs), 68
  - mainstreaming and social security of, 68–70
- People Planning Campaign (PPC), 22
- Policy instruments, 63
- Policymakers, 76
- Political elites, 74–75
- Political participation of women and girls, 56
- Power analysis, 40
- Powercube analysis, 40
- Practicing community engagement, 58
- Pradhan, 66
- PRIVCSOs, 24–26

- Public engagement at local levels in various countries, 58
  - associations of local governance, 59–60
- Public participation, 73
- Rajasthan SACS in Ajmer, Rajasthan, 69–70
  - PLHIV Mainstreaming in Rajasthan, India, 70
- Rapid Rural Appraisal, 21–22
- Rashtriya Janata Dal party (RJD party), 31–32
- Representative participation, 23
- Rojgar sevak (RS), 65–66
- Rural community, 13–14
- Samta Gram Seva Sansthan* (SGSS), 31–32
- Scheduled Caste communities, 33–34
- Secretary, 66
- Self-help group (SHG), 71
- Self-mobilization, 21–23
- Smart city solutions and spatial dimension, 52–54
- Social capital, 46–48
- Social development, 4, 13–14
- Social exclusion, 51
- Social inclusion, 51
- Social networks, 46–48
- Social ties, 48, 76
- Socialism, 3
- Sociology, 3–4
- Spatial local governance, 53–54
- Stakeholder engagement, 57–58
- State Finance Commission (SFC), 37
- State Finances for PRIs in Uttar Pradesh, 37–38
- Sustainability, 55, 58
  - local governments for, 59–60
- Sustainable development Goals (SDGs), 55–56, 75
  - agenda, 56
  - case of, 63
  - Sweden, participation in, 64
  - Switzerland, participation in, 63–64
- Targeted Intervention project (TI project), 69
- Technological advancement, 49–52
- Technology, 74–75
- Term participation in context of development, history and origin of, 2–7
  - participatory rural development, 4–7
- Top-down strategy, 5
- Traditional participatory approaches, 60
- Training Needs Assessment, 21–22
- Transformative participation, 23
- Transitional Governance Project, 64
- United Cities and Local Governments (UCLGs), 59–60
- United Nations (UN), 55
- Urbanization, 1–2
- USAID's country strategies, 13–14
- Uttar Pradesh Panchayati Raj Act for GPs, 68
- Village committee, 68
- Village Panchayats, 67
- Woman in Development approach (WID approach), 30–31
- Women representation in PRIs in India, 32–39
  - caste in India shaping local polity, 33–35
  - economic factors, 36–39
  - education, 35–36