

Index

- Abstract conceptualization, 3–4, 212–213
- Academic Systems of Social Pertinence (SAPS), 309, 313–314
- Academics' perspective on learning ecosystem, 25–26
- Accounting, Finance and Economics department (AFE department), 131–132
- Achievement, 141–142
- Acting Stage, 365
- Active experimentation, 3–4, 212–214
- Active learning, 48, 132, 178, 326, 364
- Adaptability, learning and, 223
- Aerospace Engineering Education
 - CBL experiences, 179–181
 - evaluation surveys, 182–185
 - lessons learned, 191–194
 - tools and examples of application, 181–191
 - validated instruments for assessment of competencies under study, 186–191
- Affiliation statistics, 102–105
- 2030Agenda, methodology to address real challenges of, 285
- Altobrembo, 167
 - challenge, needs, and solutions for, 167
- Annual Investment Committee
 - Meetings and Board of Advisors, 141–142
- Applied knowledge, 234, 238
- Artificial Intelligence, 200
- Assessment, 44, 47, 50–51, 84, 136–137
 - CBL and, 229, 231–232
 - in Healthcare Fund Challenge, 237, 239, 242
- Authentic learning activities, 49–50
- Awards, 141–142
- Bachelor End Project (BEP), 18
- Bareways, 257
- Bergamo B&B and Co., 169
 - challenge, needs, and solutions for, 170
- BibExcel, 99–100
- Bibliographic coupling analysis, 105
- Bibliometric analysis, 99–100, 102
- BigBlueButton, 260
- Bioengineering, CBL in, 78–79
- Bloomberg (digital platforms), 139
- Bottom-up process, 38
- Brainstorming, 132
- Building Competencies for Competitive Companies project (COM3 project), 206
- Business networking, 145–146
- Cable Car Challenge, 76
- CAD software, 76
- CANVAS tool, 79–80, 88
- Career networking, 145–147
- Center for Educational Development and Innovation (CEDDIE), 80–82
- Challenge-Based Innovation
 - experience (CBI experience), 260–263
 - course setup, 260
 - course structure, 262–263
 - learning goals and deliverables, 262
 - stakeholder role, 261–262

- Challenge-Based Learning (CBL), 1–2, 5, 7–8, 14, 36, 72, 79, 94, 131–132, 158, 178, 199–200, 222–223, 228, 252, 282, 285, 302, 326, 328, 346, 364, 391–392
- ability to perform, 374
 - affiliation statistics, 102–105
 - and assessment, 229, 231–232
 - author influence, 102
 - background, 36–37
 - bibliometric analysis, 102
 - in bioengineering, 78–79
 - CBL Compass, 6, 36, 39–40
 - impact of CBL implementation in Tecnológico de Monterrey, 84–85
 - CBL/DT and specific subjects, 98–99
 - components of engineering ethics educational initiatives, 256
 - conceptual framework development for, 38–40
 - critical elements of institutional influences in, 307–308
 - defining search terms, 100
 - design principles, 39
 - design principles and compass indicators, 40–52
 - in digital age, 158–159
 - E³ mapping using, 57–59
 - empirical work on, 36
 - evaluating, 111
 - experiences at beginning of undergraduate engineering programs and *i-Semester*, 76–79
 - experiences in Aerospace Engineering Education, 179–181
 - first attempts of CBL, 73–76
 - focus on global themes, 43
 - framework, 4
 - future directions, 62–63
 - future of, 85–89
 - within future of higher education, 396–406
 - i-Semester* as key element for CBL experience, 77–79
 - implementing, 53–60
 - initial data analysis, 100
 - initial search results, 100
 - involvement of stakeholders, 43
 - learning experience, 364–365
 - learning goals of, 254–255
 - linking DT and CBL, 97–98
 - main student learning assessment tools applied in CBL, 233
 - methodology for CBL implementation at TEC, 79–84
 - methodology to know and propose solutions to DGS, 285–287
 - network analysis, 105–110
 - real-life and open-ended challenges, 42
 - research decisions taken in, 195
 - solutions and recommendations, 61–62
 - started at UPAEP, 312–314
 - support, 51–52
 - systematic analysis of CBL literature, 99–110
 - teaching and learning, 43–51
 - teaching engineering ethics via, 255–268
 - as teaching strategy, 96–99
 - vision of, 40–43
- Challenge-based Learning for Robotics Students by Engaging Start-Ups in Technology Ethics project (CREATE project), 252, 255–256
- Challenges, 228, 302
- approach at University of Trento and Healthcare Fund Challenge, 232–237
 - CBL Phases in Healthcare Funds Challenge, 234–236
 - concept, 94
 - definition, 405–406
 - provider, 330
 - recommendations, 406

- Chartered Institute of Logistics and Transport (CILT), 204–205
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), 139
- Chief Financial Officer (CFO), 139
- Chief Investment Officer (CIO), 139
- Classic descriptive statistics, 193
- Classroom management, 234
- Closed questions, 19
- Coaching sessions, 267
- Collaboration, 209–210, 225, 392, 401–402
 - recommendations, 402
 - UoL4. 0 Challenge contribution to, 211
- Collaborative learning, 44, 47–49
- Collaborative work, 78–79
- Commercial awareness, 224
- Common good pedagogy, 310–311
- Communication, 209, 223, 225, 234, 238, 338
 - UoL4. 0 Challenge contribution to, 210
- Conceiving-Designing-Implementing-Operating (CDIO), 37
- Conceptual framework of CBL, 36
- Concrete experience, 212–213
- Concurrent Design Facility (CDF), 180
- Connections-based learning, 306, 308, 311
- Contextual teaching and learning, 3
- Contrasting learning modes, 203
- Control group (CG), 181
- Cooking as communication trigger, 168–169
- Corporate Social Responsibility framework, 312
- COVID-19, 96, 346
 - effects in teaching delivery, 215–217
 - fund portfolio during, 145
- Creative tension, 3–4
- Creativity, 132, 146–147, 209–211, 225
 - innovation and, 400–401
 - recommendations, 401
 - UoL4. 0 Challenge contribution to, 211
- Crisis on the Campus*, 393
- Critical thinking, 209, 224
 - in CBL, 230
 - UoL4. 0 Challenge contribution to, 210
- CSI CRIME scene, 75
- Cultural activities, 71
- Curating, 209, 211, 225
 - UoL4. 0 Challenge contribution to, 212
- Curricular activities, 27
- Curricular units (CUs), 364
- Curriculum
 - curriculum-wide initiatives, 37
 - design, 37
 - planning for tourism HE, 159–160
- Data analysis process, 100, 193, 207, 209, 350–351
- Data Appeal platform, 164–165
- Data collection process, 207–209
- DataStream, 139
- Deliverables, learning goals and, 258–259
- Democracy, 311
- Design education, 112–113
- Design principles of CBL, 36, 60
- Design thinking (DT), 4–5, 94
 - background, 95–96
 - CBL as teaching strategy, 96–99
 - evaluating CBL, 111
 - keywords and eigenvector centrality, 127–128
 - learning for sustainability, 110–111
 - linking DT and CBL, 97–98
 - literature analysis, 112
 - pedagogy for technological competences, 111–112
 - systematic analysis of CBL literature, 99–110
- Destination management
 - organizations (DMOs), 7, 158
 - challenges and needs, 172–173
 - cooking as communication trigger, 168–169

- curriculum planning for tourism
 - HE, 159–160
- dealing with overtourism, 170–172
- future directions, 172–173
- guest guidance platforms, 169–170
- local actors' involvement, 166–167
- quality and implied needs, 163–164
- tourism, higher education, and CBL, 158
- tourism data sharing and reliability, 164–165
- tourism HE workshop in time of pandemic, 161–163
- tourism management and CBL in digital age, 158–159
- web content management, 167–168
- DiaGame, 265
- Digital Experimentation Toolkits (DEXTs), 96–97
- Digital repository, 319
- Digital society, 96–97
- Digital technological tools, 79–80
- Digital technology, 346
- Digital transformation, 346
- Disciplinary competences, 78–79
- Document analysis, 207–209

- e-Tourism, 159
- Educare*, 200
- Education and Student Affairs (ESA), 15
- Education and Training 2020 framework, 364
- Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), 110–111, 295–296
- Education of engineering ethics, 253
- Educational challenge, 234
- Educational concepts, 36–37
- Educational innovations, 29
- Educational Model U50, 306–308
- Educere*, 200–201
- Eigenvector centrality (evc), 108–110

- Eindhoven Engineering Education (E³), 36, 53, 56, 60, 264
 - CBL design principles use to guide redesign of, 59–60
 - mapping using CBL Compass, 57–59
- Eindhoven School of Education (ESoE), 15
- Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e), 5–6, 14, 36
 - course setup, 264–265
 - course structure, 266–268
 - experience of, 263–268
 - learning goals and deliverables, 266
 - stakeholder role, 265
 - TU/e against Covid 19 platform, 27
 - TU/e Contest, 27
- ELARA Challenge, 74
- eLUMEN tool, 79–80, 88
- Emerald Challenge-Based Learning Handbook*, 1–2
- Employability
 - employability-based teaching and learning, 136–137
 - programmes, 352
 - skills, 359
- Engagement, 365
- EntreComp, 243
- Entrepreneurial education, 308–309
- Entrepreneurial mindset, 14
- Entrepreneurship, 71, 308
- Equity research writing stage, 141–142
- ESA Concurrent Engineering Challenge (ESA CEC)*, 180
- Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería Aeronáutica y del Espacio (ETSIAE), 178
- Ethics, 78–79
 - instruction, 253–254
 - learning goals of CBL, 254–255
 - workshops, 267
- “Ethics of Technology”, 257
- European Consortium of Innovative Universities (ECIU), 228, 327
- European Union (EU), 393

- Evaluation instruments, 84
- Evaluation surveys, 181–182, 185, 192
- Evidence-based solutions, 365
- Exams, 193
- Experience
 - grasping, 212–213
 - transforming, 213–214
- Experiential learning, 132, 201–202
- Experimental design, 192
- Experimental group (EG), 181
- Expert interviews, 329–330
- Expert meetings, 267–268
- Exploratory analysis, 288–289
- External partners in CBL
 - background, 327–329
 - benefits of working with, 331–333
 - courses and data set used to extract and reflect on roles and function of, 329–330
 - future directions, 339–340
 - issues related to working with externals, 333–334
 - reflection on stakeholder activities, 334–339
 - roles and functions, 329–331, 334 at TUHH, 329
- Extracurricular activities, 27
- Facilities/resources, 52
- Faculty, 80
- Federal Attorney for Environmental Protection (PROFEPA), 74
- Feedback providers, 331
- Finance, 134
 - Lincoln Student Managed Investment Fund, 136–150
 - Raison d’être and background, 133–136
- Financial crisis (2009), 135–136
- Financial education, 133–134
- Financial skills, 134
- Financial viability of UoL4.0 Challenge, 218
- 5-point Likert-type scale, 209
- 5G-Mobix, 265
- Flexibility, 404–405
 - recommendations, 405
- food21, 257
- Form@tive Project, 9, 364
 - ability to perform challenge-based learning, 374–375
 - background, 364–365
 - challenges defined within CBL projects, 367–369
 - clusters of improvement suggestions, by future teachers, 385–387
 - clusters of positive and negative aspects, by future teachers, 379–381, 384
 - cycle of, 366–385
 - expected/developed learning during curricular units, 370–372
 - expected/developed transversal learning skills, 372–374
 - results of first cycle of, 376, 379, 385, 388
 - solutions and recommendations/ lessons learned, 388
 - for teacher training path, 375–376
- Formative program, 310
- Fund, 148–149
 - benefits to students and achievements of, 144–148
 - management, 131–132
 - portfolio during Covid-19 pandemic, 145
- Fusion Point (FP), 260–263
- Future-focused publications, 392
- Future-proof learning, 30
- Gateway49 start-up accelerator, 256–257
- Gather Town, 271–272
- Gephi (open-source visualization tool), 99–100, 105
- Global themes, 43, 392, 396, 398
 - recommendations, 398
- Goodlad’s model, 252
- Grand Challenges, 14

- Guest guidance platforms, 169–170
- Guiding educational practice, 39
- Hamburg University of Technology (TUHH), 326–327
 - roles and functions external partners take on in challenge-based learning at, 329–334
- Healthcare Fund Challenge, 232–237
 - act phase, 237
 - assessment and self-directed approach in, 237–242
 - CBL Phases in, 234–236
 - engage phase, 236
 - investigate phase, 236–237
- Higher education (HE), 94–96, 158, 364, 391–392
 - background, 393–395
 - challenge definition, 405–406
 - changing purpose of, 393–394
 - collaboration, 401–402
 - curriculum planning for tourism, 159–160
 - flexibility, 404–405
 - future directions, 406–407
 - global themes, 396–398
 - identifying place of challenge-based learning within future, 396–406
 - innovation and creativity, 400–401
 - inter/multidisciplinarity, 399–400
 - need for new student skills and competencies, 394–395
 - real-world challenges, 398–399
 - technology enhanced learning, 402–404
- Higher Education in Crisis*, 393
- Higher Education Institutions (HEI), 1–2, 94, 131–132, 199–200, 228, 302–303, 391–392
 - background, 347–349
 - benefits of participation, 357
 - engaging with industry and local government partners, 349
 - engaging with students, 353–354
 - interview approach, 350–351
 - interviewees, 352
 - methodology, 350–352
 - presentation of solution, 354–355
 - reasons for taking part, 352–353
 - understanding process, 353
 - UoL4. 0 Challenge at Lincoln International Business School, 348–349
 - validity and implementation of solution, 355–357
 - working with local government partners, 357–358
- Hub, 28
- Human resource management, 202
- Human Resources (HR), 1–2
- Human rights, 311
- i-Semester*
 - experiences at beginning of undergraduate engineering programs and, 76–79
 - as key element for CBL experience, 77–79
 - sustainable development engineering CBL experiences in, 78
- i-Week*, 73–76
- IDEO, 98
- Ill-structured learning environments, 254
- Inception, 136–138
- Industry 4. 0 technologies, 7–8, 346
- Industry engagement, 148
- Inequalities, reducing, 311
- Information resources, 136–137
- innoApproach, 26–27
- Innovate UK, 204–205
- Innovation
 - and creativity, 400–401
 - education, 308–309
 - recommendations, 401
- Innovation Space, 264–265
- Innovation Space Bachelor End Project (ISBEP), 5–6, 16–17
 - course, 18

- Innovation Space Project (ISP), 5–6, 16–17
 course, 17
- Innovative educational concept, 22
- Inquiry-based learning (IBL), 365
- Inquiry-Based Science Learning (IBSE), 365
- Institute for the Future of Education*, 88
- Institutional support, 269
- Instrumental education, 393–394
- Integrative Projects for Social Pertinence (PIPS), 311–312
- Intellectual property, 72–73
- Inter/multidisciplinarity, 399–400
 recommendations, 400
- Interdisciplinarity, 49
- Interdisciplinary learning, 44, 47, 49
- Interdisciplinary student teams, 15
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO), 158
- Internationalization, 71
- Intervention through CBL, 315–317
- Interview approach, 350–351
- Interviewees, 352
- Investigation, 365
- Investment Managers, 139
- Investment proposal and implementation, 141–142
- iPad mobile learning technology, 4–5
- Key CBL characteristics (KCs), 19–20
- Keyword clustering network, 107–110
- Keyword co-occurrence analysis, 105
- Knowledge
 creation, 144–145
 sharing and award-winning industry links, 148
- Leadership, 71, 223
- Learners, 228
- Learning
 activities, 49–50
 and adaptability, 223
 CBL, 43–51
 contract, 238–240
 contract, 243
 diaries and outcomes, 240
 diaries and outcomes, 243–244
 stages in tourism management, 160
 for sustainability, 110–111
 use of learning technology, 44–47
- Learning ecosystem
 academics' perspective, 25–26
 background, 15–16
 CBL, 14
 creating full, 16–28
 future research directions, 28–30
 other elements of learning ecosystem, 26–27
 students' perspective, 18–25
 two flagship CBL courses, 16–18
 impact of whole learning ecosystem, 27–28
- Learning goal
 of CBL ethics instruction, 254–255
 collaborative learning, 48–49
 and deliverables, 258–259, 262
 development of T-shaped professionals, 48
 interdisciplinary learning, 49
 self-directed learning, 48
 “Learning trajectories”, 48
- Liberal education, 393–394
- Lincoln Higher Education Research Award (LHERA), 206
- Lincoln International Business School, UoL4. 0 Challenge at, 348–349
- Lincoln Student Managed Investment Fund (LSMIF), 131–132, 136, 145, 150
 benefits to students and achievements of fund, 144–148
 current development and future direction, 148–150
 current portfolio and recent experience, 140–144
 inception, 136–138
 membership and organization, 138–140
- Local Action Group (LAG), 166

- Local actors' involvement, 166–167
 Local government partners, 357–358
 London Stock Exchange, 140
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), 303
 MAXQDA software, 329–330
 MB Securities Joint Stock Company (MBS), 137–138
 Mechanical and mechatronics engineering, CBL in, 79
 Membership, 138–140
 Microbusinesses, 354
 mobOx, 257
 Modern society, 14
 Moodle (e-learning platform), 260
 Moral agency and action, 255
 Moral design, 255
 Moral situatedness of students, 254–255
 Motivational Diagnosis Instrument for Engineering Education (MDI-EE), 186
 motivational indicators of, 187–191
 Motivators, 331
 Multidisciplinarity, 392–393, 399–400
 Multidisciplinary approach, 2
 Municipal development with SDG approach, 289
 Municipality, analysis and diagnosis of, 288
 Musk, Elon (Astra Nova), 132
- “Nano Challenges”, 4–5
 Natix, 257
 Network analysis, 99–100, 105, 110
 keyword clustering network, 107–110
 keyword co-occurrence analysis, 105
 Networker, 331
 Networking, 146–147
 New Engineering Education Transformation (NEET), 29–30
 Non-STEM, 94–95, 98–99
- Nongovernmental Organizations (NGOs), 1–2
 NVivo software, 207, 209, 350–351
- “On stock” education, 30
 Online CBL pedagogy, 271–272
 Online pedagogy, 94
 Open-ended questions, 19, 21
 metathemes and main themes identified from, 21, 24
 themes and metathemes identified from, 23
 Operational process, 141–142
 Operational Research Society (ORSoc), 204–205
 Operations Management (OM), 199–200, 202, 348
 Operations strategy, 202
 Organization, 138, 140, 223
 Overtourism, 170–172
- Pedagogical theory, 286
 Pedagogy for technological competences, 111–112
 Peer evaluation, 241, 244
 Peer support, 145–147
 Peer-to-peer meetings, 268
 Perceived self-efficacy, 332–333
 “Perception continuum”, 203
 Perseverance and initiative, 224
 Personal goal, 234, 238
 Personal Growth, 146–147
 Personalized learning, 405
 PjBL. *See* Project-based learning (PBL)
- Planning and Management of Tourism Systems (PMTS), 158–159
 Post investment monitoring and reporting, 141–142
 Practical experience, 144–145
 Practice trading, 131–132
 Practice-driven approach, 38
 Preservice teacher, 365
 Problem-based learning (PBL), 3, 37, 96–97, 158, 306, 308, 326

- Problem-solving, 224, 234, 238
 - and critical thinking, 78–79
- Process analysis, 202
- Process-focused assessment, 50–51
- Production and operations
 - management (POM), 203
- PROFEPA Challenge, 74
- Project report, 259
- Project-and-problem-based learning, 113–114
- Project-based learning (PBL), 37, 72, 132, 178, 306, 308, 326
- Project-Oriented Learning (POL), 3
- Promoserio, 164
 - challenge, needs, and solutions for, 165
- Public exhibition of student projects, 259
- Puebla, CBL in
 - 2030 Sustainable Development Goals, 309–317
 - background, 303–304
 - focus, 304–309
 - future directions, 318–319
 - solutions and recommendations, 318
 - UPAEP, 304–305
- Qualitative evaluations, 83–84
- Quality and implied needs, 163–164
- Quality education (SDG4), 72, 364
- Quantitative analysis techniques, 193
- Quantitative assessments, 83–84
- Quantitative descriptive analysis, 369
- Quasi-experimental design, 192

- Raison d’être and background, 133–136
- Randomized controlled trial, 192
- Raspberry PI3© cards, 75–76
- Real-life and open-ended challenges, 42
- Real-life imperfections, 135
- Real-life scenarios, 254
- Real-world challenges, 392, 398–399
 - recommendations, 399
- Real-world problems through
 - challenge based learning
 - background, 253–255
 - ethics instruction, 253–254
 - experience of CBI, 260–263
 - experience of Eindhoven University of Technology, 263–268
 - future directions, 272–273, 275
 - institutional support, 269
 - learning goals of CBL ethics instruction, 254–255
 - lessons learned, 268–272
 - online CBL pedagogy, 271–272
 - rationale and goals for teaching ethics via CBL, 254
 - stakeholder involvement, 271
 - student experience, 269–271
 - teaching engineering ethics via CBL, 255–268
- Recruitment stage, 141–142
- RED student team, 265
- Reflection, 146–147
- Reflective observation, 212–213
- ReHero, 257
- Reliability, 164–165
- REMINd tool, 79–80, 88
- Research-based learning, 306–308
- Research-informed educational innovations, 38, 62–63
- Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 256
- Robots, 200
- Rube Goldberg Challenge, 76–77

- SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, 71
- Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics education (STEAM education), 96–99
- Sciences didactics, 365
- SDG Initiative at Tec, 298
- Self-assessment tools, 230
- Self-awareness, 14

- Self-directed approach
 - CBL and assessment, 229–232
 - challenge approach at University of Trento and Healthcare Fund Challenge, 232–237
 - final project, 241
 - future directions, 246
 - in Healthcare Fund Challenge, 237–242
 - learning contract, 238, 240, 243
 - learning diaries and outcomes, 240, 243–244
 - lessons learned and future directions, 242–246
 - peer evaluation, 241
 - peer evaluation, 244
 - reflection report, 241–242, 244–245
- Self-directed learning, 8, 44, 47–48
- Self-leadership, 14
- Self-perception of students, 184
- Semistructured interviews, 350
- Service-learning, 306–308
- Significant experiences, 312
- Skills
 - 21st century, 14
 - financial, 134
- Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), 2, 346
- Smart Energy Management Challenge, 75–76
- Social innovation, challenge-based learning for
 - 2030 Sustainable Development Goals, 309–317
 - background, 303–304
 - focus, 304–309
 - future directions, 318–319
 - solutions and recommendations, 318
 - UPAEP, 304–305
- Social reconstruction project, 314–317
- Social responsibility, 71
- Socially Oriented Interdisciplinary STEM Research Group (SOI-STEM-RG), 70
- Soft skills, 217
- Solar Team Eindhoven, 15
- Southern Association of Colleges and Schools of the United States of America (SACS), 70
- Space, 15
- Spanish Universidad Internacional de la Rioja (UNIR), 204–205
- Spatial Chat platform, 267–268, 271–272
- Square model, 338–339
- Square of Values*, 326–327
- Stakeholder, 15
 - CBI experience, 261–262
 - experience of Eindhoven University of Technology, 265
 - involvement, 43, 271
 - meetings, 259, 268
 - role
 - teaching engineering ethics via CBL, 257
- Stakeholder activities, reflection on, 334–339
- “Standard Challenge”, 4–5
- STEM, 98–99
- Strategic planning with SDG approach, 289
- Student
 - active engagement, 203
 - engagement, 148
 - experience, 269–271
 - learning processes, 326
 - participation, 334–339
 - partnership, 230
 - perspective on learning ecosystem, 18–25
 - satisfaction, 184
 - skills and competencies, 394–395
 - student-centered active learning, 337–338
 - student-centered learning, 326
 - t*-test, 182, 193
- Student as Producer, 136–137, 148, 203–204
- Supply chain modeling, 202
- Sustainability, 311
 - learning for, 110–111

- Sustainable Development Engineering
 - CBL experiences in *i-semester*, 78
- Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 8–9, 70, 110–111, 309, 317, 364, 392
 - CBL started at UPAEP, 312–314
 - common good pedagogy, 310–311
 - connections-based learning, 311
 - formative program, 310
 - Integrative Projects for Social Pertinence, 311–312
 - issues, controversies, and problems, 314
 - SDG 1, 314–317
 - significant experiences, 312
- T-shaped professionals development, 44, 47–48
- TA sessions, 267
- Teacher support, 52
- Teacher training path, Form@tive Project for, 375–376
- Teaching
 - CBL, 43–44, 47, 50–51
 - CBL as Teaching strategy, 96–99
 - with real challenges, 285–290
- Teaching engineering ethics via CBL, 255–268
 - CBL Experience of University of Lübeck, 255–260
 - course setup, 256–257
 - course structure, 259–260
 - learning goals and deliverables, 258–259
 - stakeholder role, 257
- Teaching ethics
 - via CBL, 254–255
 - rationale and goals for, 254
- Team building, 145–147
- Team-based instructional change model, 29
- Teamwork, 14, 132, 223, 234, 237–238
- Tec de Monterrey, 282
- Tec21 Educational Model, 8, 70, 72, 282, 285–286, 328
- Technische Universiteit Eindhoven. *See* Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e)
- Technological competences, pedagogy for, 111–112
- Technology
 - in teaching and learning, 136–137
 - technology-based challenges, 96–97
 - use of, 51
- Technology enhanced learning (TEL), 402–404
 - recommendations, 404
- Tecnológico de Monterrey (TEC), 70
 - impact of CBL implementation in Tecnológico de Monterrey, 84–85
 - challenge-based learning, 72–79
 - future of CBL, 85–89
 - manuscripts, 81–82
 - methodology for CBL implementation at, 79–84
 - Tec21 Educational Model, 70–72
- Test, 193
- Thematic analysis approach, 350–351
- Theory to practice, 144–145, 147
- 3D Printing, 76
- Top-down process, 38
- Topical meetings, 259
- Tourism, 158
 - data sharing and reliability, 164–165
 - HE workshop in time of pandemic, 161–163
 - management in digital age, 158–159
- Traditional educational models, 71–72
- Traditional finance courses, 135
- Traditional teaching approaches, 14
- Training partner, 328–329
- Transformational leaders, 309
- Transformative value, 43
- Transversal competences, 71
- Tried-and-tested pedagogy, 98
- Triple helix model of innovation, 132, 346

- 21st-century skills through challenge-based learning
 - background, 202–203
 - challenge-based learning project evaluation survey, 222
 - data collection and analysis, 207–209
 - effects of COVID-19, 215–217
 - evaluating effectiveness of UoL4.0 Challenge, 209–211
 - grasping experience, 212–213
 - implementation of CBL in UG, 199–200
 - limitations of research and future directions, 217–218
 - main focus, 203–211
 - origins, 204–205
 - other issues concerning CBL implementation, 214–215
 - reflections and lessons learned, 212–217
 - subsequent events, 205–207
 - transforming experience, 213–214
- Undergraduate (UG), 134, 199–200
- United Nations Millennium Goals, 70
- United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), 228, 282, 284, 297
 - analysis and diagnosis of municipality, 288
 - challenge and stages, 287
 - challenges, SDGs and proposed solutions, 292–293
 - competences evaluated and developed by students, 291
 - delivery of results, 289–290
 - evaluation, 293–295
 - exploratory analysis, 288–289
 - future directions, 295–296
 - methodology to address real challenges of 2030 Agenda, 285
 - results, 290–295
 - series of global challenges, 283–285
 - stages of SDG Challenge, 287–290
 - strategic planning with SDG approach, 289
 - success stories of municipal development with SDG approach, 289
 - teaching with real challenges, 285–290
 - United Nations' 17 Sustainability Goals, 14
 - video Rubric, 295
 - written report Rubric, 294
- Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM), 7, 178
- Universities, 30, 71
- University experience with CBL, 314–317
- University of Bergamo (UniBg), 158
- University of Lincoln (UoL), 199–200
- University of Lübeck, CBL experience of, 255–260
- University of Trento, 8, 228
 - challenge approach at University of Trento, 232–237
- University Social Responsibility, 312
- University–industry linkages, 360
- UoL4. 0 Challenge, 7–8, 200, 202–204, 346
 - editions, 208
 - evaluating effectiveness of, 209–211
 - financial viability of, 218
 - at Lincoln International Business School, 348–349
- UoL4. 0 Competition, 204–205
- UoL4. 0 Design, 204
- UPAEP, 304–305
 - Academic Systems of Social Relevance, 309
 - CBL started at, 312–314
 - Educational Model U50, 306–308
 - entrepreneurial and innovation education, 308–309
 - influences for challenge-based learning at UPAEP, 305–306

- UPM Concurrent Engineering Challenge* (UPM CEC), 180–181
- Utrecht University (UU), 27
- Utrecht University Medical Centre (UMC), 27
- Val Vertova, 171
challenge, needs, and solutions for, 171
- Validated instruments for assessment of competencies under study, 181–182, 186, 191–192
- Valuation techniques, 131–132
- Value and Development Square*, 326–327, 336
- Value Square Model, The*, 336
- Vertical learning, 29–30
- Video Rubric, 295
- VisitBrembo, 168–169
challenge, needs, and solutions for, 168
- VOLTTRON*© (open-source software), 75–76
- Wageningen University and Research (WUR), 27
- Web content management, 167–168
- Webex (e-learning platform), 260
- Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test, 193
- Wonder, 271–272
- World-class production systems, 202
- Written report Rubric, 294
- Xochimilco Challenge, 74–75
- ZIKLUM Challenge, 74
- ZOOM tool, 88