

Index

- Access to information, 148–150
- Access to technologies, 139–140
 - and indexes to assess technological readiness, 136–140
- Activity indicators, 35
- Activity-based costs, 5
- Activity-based management, 5
- Administered questionnaire, 14
- Alliances, 114–115, 177, 180
- Alliances with Dependent Variable Financial Performance, 3
- AMOS program, 22–23
- Analysis of variance (ANOVA), 39, 106
- Annual operating/sales expenses, 10
- Annual sales growth, 10, 184
- Asset, 33
- Authority, 57
- Autocorrelation test. *See also* Individual Significance Test
 - empowerment model by company size, 84
 - empowerment model by company type, 87
 - empowerment model by economic activity, 90
 - ERLGTOen model by company size, 166
 - ERLGTOen model by company type, 168
 - ERLGTOen model by economic activity, 170
 - financial performance model by company size, 197–198
 - FIPEGTOen model by economic activity, 205
 - FIPEGTOen model by type of company, 200
 - TRANSGTOen model, 121, 124, 127
- Average variance extracted (AVE), 26
- Bank debt, 5, 33, 233–234
- Barlett sphericity test, 67, 69, 71
- Basic Network Services (BNS), 2–3, 133–134, 146, 177, 180
- Best unbiased linear estimator (BLUE), 15, 73
- Breusch–Pagan test, 195
- Bribery Sources Index (IFS), 103–104
- Broadband link, 139
- Business
 - partners, 34
 - performance, 10
- Capital analysis, 33
- Chi-squared test (χ^2 test), 24–26
- Coefficient of determination
 - empowerment model by company size, 82
 - empowerment model by company type, 85
 - empowerment model by economic activity, 88–91
 - ERLGTOen model by company size, 165
 - ERLGTOen model by company type, 167
 - ERLGTOen model by economic activity, 169
 - financial performance model by company size, 196
 - FIPEGTOen model by economic activity, 203
 - FIPEGTOen model by type of company, 200

- TRANSGTOen model, 119–120, 122–123, 125–126
- Communication
 - channels, 3, 114, 177, 180
 - technologies, 148–150
- Companies, 66
 - empowerment implementation process in, 60–61
- Company size (CS), 19, 21, 66
 - empowerment indicators by, 77
 - empowerment model by, 82–85
 - ERLGTOen model by, 165–167
 - financial and nonfinancial information by, 45–46
 - financial performance model by, 196–199
 - transparency dimensions by, 116, 119, 122
- Company type
 - empowerment model by, 85–88
 - ERLGTOen model by, 167–169
 - FIPEGTOen model by, 199–203
- Comparative fit index (CFI), 24, 209
- Competence, 3, 9, 64, 233
- Competition, 66–67, 177, 180
- Composite reliability (CR), 25, 210
- Conceptualization, 6–7
- Confirmatory factor analysis, 15–16
 - first order initial model, 211–212
 - first order respecified models, 213–216
 - second order structural models respecified, 216–218
- Connectivity, 136–139
 - of companies located in Guanajuato, 150–151
 - and indexes to assess technological readiness, 136–140
- Content validity, 13
- Contingency tables, 186–189
- Control
 - approach, 58
 - of workplace decisions, 66
- Convergent validity, 13–14
- Correlational analysis, 15–16
- Corruption, 1, 101–103
- Corruption Perception Index (IPC), 103–104
- Costs, 33
- Criterion validity, 13
- Cronbach's alpha, 222
- Customer
 - retention rate, 33
 - satisfaction, 34
- Data collection technique, 14–15
- Debt
 - analysis, 33
 - indicators, 35
 - total, 233–234
- Decision-maker, 59
- Dedicated links, 139
- Descriptive analysis, 15–16
 - analysis and results, 186–189
 - of financial performance, 186–189
- Development, 3, 9, 66, 233
- Dial up network, 138
- Digital business, 1
- Discriminant analysis, 5, 33, 35–36
- Discriminant validity, 13–14
- DuPont, 35–36
- Durbin–Watson test, 81, 195
- Dynamic structural framework, 66
- E-commerce, 142
- E-readiness (ER), 2, 9, 19, 21, 37–38, 134–135, 237
 - association between financial performance with, 48–50
 - association between nonfinancial performance with, 50
 - benefits, 135–136
 - connectivity and access to technologies and indexes to assess, 136–140
 - descriptive analysis of financial performance with indicators, 186–189
 - in financial performance, 177–179
 - indices to assess technological readiness, 136

- model to assess technological readiness based on ICT adoption, 141–143
 - studies in Guanajuato, 140–141
- E-readiness level (ERL), 161
 - association between indicators of ICT adoption and, 161–162
- Econometric models, 9
 - on variables influence
 - “FIPEGTOen” financial performance, 18–22
- Economic activity (EA), 19, 21, 66
 - empowerment indicators by sector of company’s economic activity, 77
 - empowerment model by, 88–91
 - ERLGTOen model by, 169–171
 - FIPEGTOen model by, 203–206
 - ICT adoption by, 155
 - transparency dimensions by sector of, 116, 125, 128
- Economic crisis, 1
- Economic value added (EVA), 35–36
- Efficiency indicators, 35
- Electronic banking, 141
- Electronic invoicing, 141–142
- Electronic transactions. *See* Internet transactions
- Email, 141
- EMPHCEGTOen model. *See also* TRANSGTOen Model, 66, 72–73, 79, 91
 - empowerment model by company size, 82–85
 - empowerment model by company type, 85–88
 - empowerment model by economic activity, 88–91
 - general model of empowerment in Guanajuato companies, 79–82
- Empowerment (EMP), 1–2, 10, 19, 21, 37–38, 57, 233, 235–236
 - analysis and results, 74–79
 - association between empowerment variables, 79
 - association between financial performance with, 48–50
 - association between nonfinancial performance with, 50
 - descriptive analysis of financial performance with indicators of, 186–189
 - dimensions, indicators, and research hypothesis, 67
 - EMPHCEGTOen model, 79–91
 - exploratory factor analysis, 67–72
 - in financial performance, 177–179
 - future research lines, 97
 - granted to human capital in MSMEs of Guanajuato, Mexico, 92–93
 - in human capital, 58–60
 - human capital in enterprises, 58
 - indicators and sales revenue, 75–77
 - indicators by company size, 77
 - indicators by property type, 79
 - indicators by sector of company’s economic activity, 77
 - instrument reliability, 71–72
 - literature review, 58–66
 - Mattews, Díaz, and Cole
 - organizational empowerment conceptualization, 65–66
 - methodological design, 66–73
 - methodologies, 62–63
 - model, 2–3
 - OLS method, 73
 - specific objectives, 58
 - specifications of empowerment model for companies in Guanajuato, Mexico, 72–73
 - Spreitzer’s psychological empowerment, 64, 94–95
 - Spreitzer’s psychological model, 64–65
 - studies of influence of, 179–180
 - study objectives, 57–58
 - training, development, and remuneration, 66
- Enterprises, human capital in, 58

- ERLGTOen model, 144
 Commerce model, 170
 by company size, 165–167
 by company type, 167–169
 by economic activity, 169–171
 Family Businesses Model, 169
 general model of E-readiness level
 of Guanajuato companies,
 162–165
 Industry Model, 170
 instrument reliability, 146–147
 Mercantile Companies Model, 168
 Service Model, 171
 by size, economic activity, and type
 of property, 162–171
 Sole-Owner Model, 168
- Exploratory factor analysis (EFA),
 15–16, 66–67, 72, 108, 110,
 144, 180
 of financial performance, 180–186
- Family
 business, 66
 companies, 18
- Federation of Municipalities and
 Provinces (FEMP), 103–104
- Field technique, 9
- Financial analysis, 5, 33, 35
- Financial indicators, 10, 35, 44
- Financial information
 by company size, 45–46
 by sector of economic activity of
 company, 46
 by type of property, 46–47
- Financial measures, 1
- Financial performance (FIPE), 1, 5, 19,
 21, 33, 177, 193–194, 225,
 233–235
 analysis and results, 44–50
 association between financial and
 nonfinancial variables, 47
 association between financial
 performance with
 empowerment,
 transparency, and e-
 readiness, 48, 50–51
 association between predatory
 variables with financial
 performance, 189
 EFA of, 180–186
 empowerment, transparency, and
 e-readiness, 37–38, 177–179
 evaluation, 8
 financial and nonfinancial
 information by company
 size, 45–46
 financial and nonfinancial
 information by sector of
 economic activity of
 company, 46
 financial and nonfinancial
 information by type of
 property, 46–47
 financial indicators, 44
 indicators, 34–35
 indicators in MSMEs, 36
 instrument reliability, 44, 184, 186
 limitations and future lines of
 research, 55
 literature review, 34–39
 methodological design, 39–44
 model, 23
 model by company size, 196–199
 MSMEs, 52–54
 nonfinancial indicators, 45
 objectives of study, 33–34
 operationalization of financial
 performance indicators,
 181–183
 research focus, 39
 research on empowerment,
 transparency, e-readiness,
 and influence on, 38–39
 sales revenue, 44
 variables, dimensions, and
 assumptions, 39–44
- Financial profitability. *See* Financial
 performance
- FIPEGTOen model. *See also*
 EMPHCEGTOen model,
 2–3, 7–8, 15–16, 177, 206,
 222, 233–234

- association between predictory variables with financial performance, 189
- Commerce Model, 205
- comparison of rival models, 222
- criteria used and conceptual model, 207–211
- descriptive analysis of financial performance with indicators, 186–189
- econometric model on variables influence financial performance, 18–22
- EFA of financial performance, 180–186
- empowerment, transparency, e-readiness in financial performance, 177–179
- empowerment indicators, 222–224
- Family Business Model, 203
- financial performance instrument reliability, 184–186
- FIPEGTOen model 6th, 220
- FIPEGTOen model 7th, 221
- FIPEGTOen SEM model, 229
- first order initial model, 211–212
- first order respecified models, 213–216
- future research lines, 230–231
- Industry Model, 205
- linear regression analysis under OLS method, 189–206
- Medium model, 199
- Mercantile Companies Model, 203
- methodological design, 180
- Micro model, 198
- regression model by ordinary least squares method, 227–228
- reliability CR, AVE, Cronbach's alpha, 222
- research variables, dimensions, indicators, and hypothesis, 180
- second order structural models respecified, 216–218
- Services Model, 206
- Small model, 198
- Sole-Owner Model, 203
- structural equations model on variables influence financial performance, 22–26
- studies of influence of empowerment, transparency, and technological, 179–180
- Transparency International, 225–226
- First order initial model, 211–212
- First order respecified models, 213–216
- Fixed telephony, 138–139
- Fluency in information sharing, 66–67
- Fluidity
 - in exchange of information, 177, 180
 - information sharing, 3, 9, 37, 66, 77, 233
- Global Corruption Barometer (BGC), 103–104
- Global Index of Corruption (IGC), 103–104
- Goodness of Fit
 - empowerment model by company size, 82
 - empowerment model by company type, 85
 - empowerment model by economic activity, 88–91
 - ERLGTOen model by company size, 165
 - ERLGTOen model by company type, 167
 - ERLGTOen model by economic activity, 169
 - financial performance model by company size, 196
 - FIPEGTOen model by economic activity, 203
 - FIPEGTOen model by type of company, 200
 - TRANSGTOen model, 119–120, 122–123, 125–126

- Goodness-of-fit index (GFI), 24, 209
- Gross Domestic Product (GDP), 14
- Gross profit margin, 10, 33–35, 184, 233–234
- Growth in sales, 233–234
- Guanajuato, e-readiness studies in, 140–141
- Heteroscedasticity test. *See also*
 Autocorrelation test
 financial performance model by
 company size, 196
 FIPEGTOen model by economic
 activity, 205
 FIPEGTOen model by type of
 company, 200
 TRANSGTOen model, 121, 124,
 127
- Homoscedasticity, 15
 empowerment model by company
 size, 84
 empowerment model by company
 type, 87
 empowerment model by economic
 activity, 90
- Human capital, 34
 empowerment benefits for human
 resources and organization,
 59–60
 empowerment granted to human
 capital in MSMEs of
 Guanajuato, Mexico, 92–93
 empowerment implementation
 process in company, 60–61
 empowerment in, 58–60
 in enterprises, 58
 factors influencing empowerment
 of, 61–63
- Human resources and organization
 human capital empowerment
 benefits for, 59–60
- ICT Integration that improve business
 management and
 development (ICTIBMD),
 147, 174–176
- Impact, 9, 65–67, 177, 180, 233
 variable, 3
- Improve Business Management and
 Development, 177, 180
- Income Tax Law, 85
- Independence, 57
- Index of Clubs Football (INFUT),
 103–104
- Index of councils (INDIP), 103–104
- Index of municipalities (ITA), 103–104
- Index of water management
 (INTRAG), 103–104
- Indexes of communities (INCAU),
 103–104
- Indexes of parliaments (IPAR),
 103–104
- Indexes of sports federations (INFD),
 103–104
- Individual Significance Test
 empowerment model by company
 size, 82
 empowerment model by company
 type, 85
 empowerment model by economic
 activity, 88–91
- ERLGTOen model by company
 size, 165
- ERLGTOen model by company
 type, 167
- ERLGTOen model by economic
 activity, 169
- financial performance model by
 company size, 196
- FIPEGTOen model by economic
 activity, 203
- FIPEGTOen model by type of
 company, 200
- TRANSGTOen model, 119–120,
 122–123, 125–126
- Inflation, 5, 33, 35
- Information and communication
 technologies (ICTs), 1–2, 8,
 37–38, 133, 142, 177
 analysis and results, 148–171

- association between indicators of ICT adoption and ERL, 161–162
- basic technological infrastructure of companies located in Guanajuato, 148–151
- degree of technological preparation in MSMEs of Guanajuato, Mexico, 171–172
- e-readiness in SMEs, 173
- by economic activity, 155
- entrepreneurs or managers attach to indicators and dimensions of ERLGTOen, 153–162
- ERLGTOen instrument reliability, 146–147
- future research lines, 176
- indicators by size, 153–155
- instrument validation, 145–146
- integration, 3, 146
- level of technological preparation for companies in Guanajuato, 147–148
- literature review, 134–143
- methodology design, 143–148
- MSMEs, 174
- OLS method, 148
- research indicators and hypothesis, 144
- specific objectives, 134
- study objectives, 133–134
- by type of property, 155
- Initiative, 57
- Instrument, 9–14
 - reliability, 44
- Integration of ICTs, 2, 233
- International Financial Reporting Standards, 35
- International transparency, 99
- Internet, 139
 - transactions, 141
- Jarque–Bera test, 86–87
- Job satisfaction of human capital, 59
- Joint-stock companies, 18
- Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin sample measure (KMO sample measure), 67, 69, 145, 184
- Kaizen costing, 5
- Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, 86–87, 120–121
- Leadership, 57
- Likert scale
 - of 3 points, 10, 39
 - of 6 points, 10, 67
- Linear regression analysis, 15
 - financial performance model by company size, 196–199
 - FIPEGTOen model, 189
 - FIPEGTOen model by economic activity, 203–206
 - FIPEGTOen model by type of company, 199–203
 - general model of financial performance, 191
 - under OLS method, 189, 191, 206
 - specifications of proposed model of financial performance, 191
- Liquidity indicators, 35
- Local area network (LAN), 139
- Mahalanobis distance test, 97
- Margin of gross profit, 5
- Market performance, 34
- Mattews, Díaz, and Cole
 - organizational empowerment conceptualization, 65–66
- Maximum Likelihood (ML), 207–211
- Maximum likelihood estimation method (MLE method), 24–26
- Mean variance extracted (AVE), 211, 222
- Meaning, 3, 9, 64, 66–67, 77, 177, 180, 233

- Micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs), 1, 4, 33, 57, 133, 178, 233
 indicators of financial and nonfinancial performance
 in, 36
- Mobile phones, 139
- Model-implied covariance matrix, 22–23
- Multicollinearity test
 empowerment model by company size, 84–85
 empowerment model by company type, 87–88
 empowerment model by economic activity, 90–91
 ERLGTOen model by company size, 166–167
 ERLGTOen model by company type, 168–169
 ERLGTOen model by economic activity, 170–171
 financial performance model by company size, 198–199
 FIPEGTOen model by economic activity, 205–206
 FIPEGTOen model by type of company, 202–203
 TRANSGTOen model, 121–122, 124–125, 127–128
- Net profit margin, 5, 10, 33–35, 184, 233–234
- Nomological validity, 13–14
- Nonfinancial indicators, 45
- Nonfinancial information
 association between nonfinancial performance with empowerment, transparency, and e-readiness, 50, 52–54
 by company size, 45–46
 by sector of economic activity of company, 46
 by type of property, 46–47
- Nonfinancial measures, 1
- Nonfinancial performance (NOFIPE). *See also* Financial performance (FIPE), 19, 21, 33–34
 indicators, 36
 in MSMEs, 36
- Nonnormed fit index (NNFI), 25, 210
- Normality, 15
- Normality test
 empowerment model by company size, 82–83
 empowerment model by company type, 86–87
 empowerment model by economic activity, 90
 ERLGTOen model by company size, 165–166
 ERLGTOen model by company type, 167–168
 ERLGTOen model by economic activity, 170
 financial performance model by company size, 196
 FIPEGTOen model by economic activity, 203–204
 FIPEGTOen model by type of company, 200
 TRANSGTOen model, 120–121, 123–124, 126–127
- Normed fit index (NFI), 25, 209
- Objective costing, 5
- Operating expenses, 233–234
- Ordinary least squares method (OLS method), 8–9, 18, 73, 111, 148
 linear regression analysis under, 189, 191, 206
 technique, 106
- Organizational empowerment, 1–2
- Organizational performance, 34
- Overall Significance Test
 empowerment model by company size, 82
 empowerment model by company type, 85

- empowerment model by economic activity, 88–91
- ERLGTOen model by company size, 165
- ERLGTOen model by company type, 167
- ERLGTOen model by economic activity, 169
- financial performance model by company size, 196
- FIPEGTOen model by economic activity, 203
- FIPEGTOen model by type of company, 200
- TRANSGTOen model, 119–120, 122–123, 125–126
- Pearson's χ^2 test, 39, 106
- Performance of company, 34
- Power, 57
- Predictory variables with financial performance, 189
- Prevention, 3, 111, 114, 177, 180
- Product life cycle costing, 5
- Profit margin, 33
- Profitability, 34–35
- Property type
 - empowerment indicators by, 79
 - transparency dimensions by, 116–117
- Public Companies Transparency index (INDEP), 103–104
- Qualitative factors influence financial performance
 - conceptual development of research, 5–7
 - conceptualization, 7
 - data collection and sampling procedure, 14–15
 - econometric model, 18–22
 - instrument, 9–14
 - interpretation and communication of results, 18
 - objectives, 8–9
 - research design, 8–9
 - research method, 15–16
 - sample structure, 26–32
 - sectorial study, 7–8
 - specific objectives, 8–9
 - structural equations model, 22–26
 - variables and dimensions of research, 18–19, 21
- Ramsey specification test
 - empowerment model by company size, 84
 - empowerment model by company type, 87
 - empowerment model by economic activity, 90
 - financial performance model by company size, 198
 - FIPEGTOen model by economic activity, 205
 - FIPEGTOen model by type of company, 202
 - TRANSGTOen model, 121, 124, 127
- Rate of return
 - on assets, 233–234
 - on equity, 10, 184, 233–234
 - on equity own, 184
- Regression, 15–16
- Reliability CR, 222
- Remuneration, 9, 66, 233
- Return on assets (ROA), 5, 10, 33–34, 184
- Return on capital, 5
- Return on equity (ROE), 33–34
- Return on investment (ROI), 35
- Return on sales (ROS), 33–34
- Root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), 24
- Sales, 33
 - costs, 5
- Sales revenue, 44
 - empowerment indicators and, 76–77
 - indicators of degree of technological readiness and, 153

- Sampling procedure, 14–15
 Scale reliability, 108–110
 Second order structural models
 respecified, 216–218
 Secretary of Innovation, Science,
 Higher Education (SICES),
 140–141
 Sectorial study, 7–8
 Self-administered questionnaire, 14
 Self-determination, 3, 9, 65–67, 177,
 180, 233
 Self-efficacy, 75
 Short-term bank debt, 10, 184
 Single-owner companies, 18
 Small and medium-sized enterprises
 (SMEs), 5, 140, 206, 222
 Sole Owner Companies, 66
 Spreitzer model, 95
 Spreitzer's psychological
 empowerment, 64
 Spreitzer's psychological model,
 64–65
 Structural equation model (SEM), 2, 8,
 22, 177, 233–234
 on variables influence
 “FIPEGTOen” financial
 performance, 22–26

 Teamwork, 58–59
 Technological preparation influence on
 financial performance,
 179–180
 Technological readiness
 connectivity and access to
 technologies and indexes to
 assess, 136–140
 indicators of degree of technological
 readiness and sales revenue,
 153
 indices to assess, 136
 model to assess technological
 readiness based on ICT
 adoption, 141–143
 Theory of value, 5
 Tolerance (TOL), 81–82, 124, 164, 195
 Total debt, 5, 10, 184

 Traditional measures, 5
 Training, 3, 9, 66, 233
 TRANSGTOen Model, 100, 106, 111,
 117, 128
 Commerce Model, 128
 general model of transparency in
 Guanajuato companies,
 118–119
 Industry Model, 127
 Mercantile Companies Model,
 125
 Model Family Businesses, 125
 Service Model, 128
 Sole Owner Model, 124
 transparency model by company
 size, 119–122
 transparency model by economic
 activity, 125–128
 transparency model by type of
 company, 122–125
 Transparency (TRANSP), 2–3, 19, 21,
 37–38, 100, 102, 178,
 236–237
 alliances, 114–115
 analysis and results, 111–117
 association between dimensions of
 transparency, 117
 association between financial
 performance with,
 48–50
 association between nonfinancial
 performance with, 50
 communication channels, 114
 descriptive analysis of financial
 performance with indicators,
 186–189
 dimensions by company size, 116
 dimensions by property type,
 116–117
 dimensions by sector of economic
 activity, 116
 dimensions, 111–115
 as essential element in companies,
 102–103
 exploratory factor analysis and
 scale reliability, 108–110

- in financial performance, 177–179
- indicators, dimensions, and research hypotheses, 106–107
- instruments for measuring transparency in companies, 103–104
- literature review, 100–106
- measuring, 103
- method of ordinary least squares, 111
- methodological design, 106–111
- objectives of study, 100
- prevention, 111–114
- prevention, communication channels, and alliances, 104–105
- research focus, 106
- specific objectives, 100
- studies of influence of, 179–180
- studies on elements influence, 106
- TRANSGTOen Model, 111, 117, 128
- Transparency International, 99–100, 102
- Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), 25, 210
- Type of property (TP), 19, 21, 66
 - financial and nonfinancial information by, 46–47
 - ICT adoption by, 155
- Validity of construct, 13–14
- Value for Money (VFM), 103–104
- Variance Inflation Factor (VIF), 81–82, 89, 124, 164, 195
- Web domain, 141
- Website, 141
- Well-being of human capital, 59