## INDEX

American Psychological Association (APA), 13, 56 Analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA), 47–48, 79 core activity, 50 creativity, 48 ethnic diversity, 49 team age, 48 team leader, 50 team size, 48 Analysis of variance (ANOVA), 44, 78-79 creativity, 47 ethnic diversity, 46 team age results, 45 team's core activity, 46 team size, 45 tenure of team leader, 47 Atmosphere for work (ATW), 27, 86 Attitude to work (AW), 27 Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI), 70 Chi-square test, 36, 43, 79 Cluster sampling, 71-72 CMMI DEV V1.3 assessment, 70-71,86 Collection technique, 72 Communication, 96 Componential model, 13, 56 Conceptual model, 61–64 Core activity distribution, 20–21 Correlations, 24–27, 29 Cox's R-squared, 28 Creative behaviour (CB), 27, 86

Creative Climate Questionnaire (CCQ), 73–74 Creative work climate (CWC), 9, 40 chi-square, 42-44 cross tabulation, 42-44 odds ratio, 42-44 vs. organizational creativity, 15 Creativity, 5-6, 40-41, 55, 61, 73-74, 85, 89-90, 96 concept, 13-15 organizational, 6-7 Data analysis, 75–80 Data type, 72 Deductive approach, 69 Demographic profile, 88 Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion (DIPP), 2 Dependent variable (DV), 73 Descriptive statistics, 18 Ethnic diversity, 45–46, 63 Foreign direct investment (FDI), 2 F-statistic, 44 Gender, 20, 22, 88 pie chart, 22 Graphical method, 77 Human resources, 5 Hypotheses, 61, 64, 67-68, 87 Indian software organization communication skills, 2–3 creativity, 62, 92-93 development, 1

export value, 1 growth life cycle, 1 market size, 2 team work quality (TWQ), 62, 92 Individual creative behaviour, 9, 73 - 74vs. organizational creativity, 14 - 15Innovation, 5–6 Interactionist model, 13–14, 56, 73 - 74Logistic regression, 24, 78 Management practices (MP), 27 Members background, 20-21 Mutual support (MS), 24 National Association of Software and Service Companies (NASSCOM), 2 Organizational creativity, 6–7, 9, 56, 73–74, 89 vs. creative work climate, 15 vs. individual creative behaviour, 14-15 interactionist model, 14 Organizational motivation (OM), 27,86 Pearson correlation test, 77–78 Principal component analysis (PCA), 24, 78 Problem definition, 65–66 Questionnaires, 98–109 Relationship research, 69–70 Research gap analysis, 58–60 Research instrument design, 73–74 Research methodology aim, 66 collection technique, 72, 82 data type, 72 deductive approach, 69 design, 69–70

epistemology, 68 ethical considerations, 81 extension, 94 hypotheses definition, 67–68 instrument design, 73-74 limitations, 93 methodology, 68, 82 objectives, 66-67 ontology, 68 pilot testing, 75 problem definition, 65–66 research questions (RQs), 67 sampling, 70-72 statistical and software tools, 75-80 techniques, 80-81 theoretical and managerial implications, 91 theoretical constructs, 67–68 Research questions (RQs), 67 Respondent's age, 21–23 Sampling design, 70–72 Self-efficacy, 5 Simple percentage analysis, 77 Socio-demographic data, 17 age group distribution, 21, 23 communalities, 32-33 core activity distribution, 20–21 correlations, 24–27, 29 descriptive statistics, 18 education, 23 gender, 20, 22 hypotheses, 36–53 members background, 20-21 omnibus test of model coefficients, 28, 30 principal components, 34 respondent's age, 21-23 scree plot, 35-36 team age, 19 team leader, 19-20 team role, 20-21 team size, 18–19 total variability, 32-33

variables, 30-31 work experience, 23–24 Software Engineering Institute (SEI), 70 Software organizations organizational creativity, 6-7 team work, 3–4 Software quality, 11, 55–56 Software tools, 80 Statistical techniques, 76–80 analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA), 79 analysis of variance (ANOVA), 78–79 chi-square test, 79 graphical method, 77 logistic regression, 78 Pearson correlation test, 77–78 principal component analysis (PCA), 78 simple percentage analysis, 77 student's T-test, 80 Student's T-test, 80, 90-91 Team age, 9, 19, 44, 63 pie chart, 20 Team characteristics, 44, 51, 55, 61

moderating effects, 90 vs. team performance, 89 Team cohesion (COH), 24 Team coordination of expertise (COE), 24 Team ethnic diversity, 10 Team leader, 10, 19–20 Team performance (TP), 24, 89 Team role, 10, 20–21, 45, 63, 88 Team size, 63, 97 bar graph, 19 defined, 9 Team work quality (TWQ), 3–4, 7-8, 55, 61, 85, 89-90 chi-square, 36–40 components, 24 concept, 12 cross tabulation, 36–40 dimensions of, 12 odds ratio, 36-40 research methodology, 65-68 result comparison, 90-91 socio-demographic data, 17–53 t-Tests, 51-52 Trust (TR), 24 Value diversity (VD), 24, 96