

# Index

*Note:* Page numbers followed by “n” indicate notes.

- Accelerated Education Programme  
(*see* Accelerated learning programme)
- Accelerated learning programme, 126–127
- Accountability, 19–20
- Across schoolteachers (ASTs), 12, 15–16, 21
- Agency, 151
- Assemblage, 151
- Associate principals (APs), 21–22
- Attainment Advisors, 36*n*1
- Autonomous Community of Catalonia, 169
  
- Barcelona Education Consortium, 169, 174
- Bottom-up autonomy, 74
- Butler Act (1944), 141
  
- Canada, 44
- Catalan Education Law (LEC), 169
- Catholic Church, 138–140, 142
- Centre for Education Development (CED), 112
- Chengdu Province, constructing education groups in, 66–71
- Child centre, 92
- Child Centre A, 96–97
- Child Centre B, 97–98
- Child Centre Integration Model (CCIM), 92, 98–100
- Childcare services, 92
- Chilean education system, 80  
CIN, 81–82  
enhancing trust in school improvement network, 83–85  
Research Teams, 85–86
- Chilean Ministry of Education (MINEDUC), 83
- China, education groups in, 62–63
- Churches, 138
- City Primary Heads Group (CPHG), 217
- City TSA, 214
- Clear policy guidelines, lack of, 130–131
- Co-dependent leadership roles and structures, 20–23
- Coherence TSA, 216–217, 219
- Cohesion/regulation matrix, 2–4, 18  
in Kenyan School System, 124–127  
structure and management of German school system, 191–193
- Cohesive leadership system, 31
- Collaboration, 12, 22, 31–32, 80, 99, 150  
(*see also* Interprofessional collaboration (IPC))  
enablers and barriers to school-to-school collaboration, 18–23  
experienced way of New Zealand CoLs, 13  
extent of school-to-school collaboration, 16–18  
frameworks for, 158  
key lessons for practice and policy, 23  
in Ontario education system, 45–48  
shifting classifications of school to school collaboration, 14–16  
shifting towards, 143–146
- Collaboration network, 155  
background and characteristics of, 155–158

- Collaborative Action Research (CAR), 33
  - approach, 34
- Collaborative activity, 1
- Collaborative cultures, 151–152
- Collaborative enquiry, 33
- Collaborative inquiry, 150
- Collaborative inquiry networks (CIN), 81
  - path for learning during critical challenges, 81–82
- Collaborative practices, 12
- Collaborative Professionalism, 46
- Collective agency, 153
- Collective efficacy, 153, 155
- Collegiality, 152
- Communities of Learning (CoL), 12–13, 18
  - activity, 16
  - coupling, 14–15
  - leaders, 19–20
  - roles, 17
- Comparative analysis, 144
- Competence-based curriculum, 125, 127–128
- Competition, 129
- Competition, 80
- Competitive activity, 21
- Concordat approach, 29
- Conflict, 21
- Consistency, 128
- Contextualization, 19
- Continuous Professional Development (CPD), 219
- Contrived collegiality, 151–152
- Cooperation, 152
  - networks, 194
- Coordination, 99
- Cornering, 18
- Council for Catholic Maintained Schools (CCMS), 142
- Council of Ontario Directors of Education (CODE), 46
- Creativity, 128
- Cross-group collaboration, 156
- Cultural bias, 18
- Cultural theory framework, 17
- Curriculum for Excellence (CfE), 31–32
- Decentralization, 108
- Deputy principals (DPs), 21–22
- Development networks, 194
- Devolved School Management (DSM), 30
- Diagnosticography, 84
- DigiSchulNet project, 195–197
- Distributed leadership, 31–32
- Divergence, 18
- Divided schools, 138
- Durham Catholic District School Board (DCDSB), 48*n*2
- Dutch Educational and Childcare System, 92–94
- Dutch national level Inspectorate of Education, 94
- Early childhood education (ECE), 92
- Eastern City, TSAs in, 214–219
- Education (*see also* Shared education)
  - markets, 141–142
  - in Northern Ireland, 140
  - policy, 111, 124
  - system, 139
- Education Act (1947), 141
- Education Act (1989), 14
- Education Authority, 145
- Education group, 62
  - barriers to construction, 71–72
  - in China, 62–63
  - constructing education groups in Chengdu Province, 66–71
  - review of policies on construction, 63–65
  - students in school B by household registration, 69
  - teaching skills competition, 68
- Education in Motion (EiM), 155
- Education Quality Accountability Office (EQAO), 45
- Education Review Office (ERO), 15
- Educational improvement, 1

- Educational leadership, 171
- Educational policy reforms, 2
- Educational subsidy distributions, 110
- Educational transformation, 170
- Equity and inclusion, 1
- Erasmus+* mobility-based programmes, 114–115
- eTwinning*, 114–115
- Evidence, 80
- Evidence-Based Education (EBE), 156
- Exchange networks, 194
- Exclusive alliances, 212
- Executive Office, 144
- Executive Principals, 12
- ‘Fatalist’ approach, 109–112
- Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), 197
- General Education Reform Model, 146
- German governance model, 193
- German school system, 190
  - structure and management, 191–193
- Germany, 190
  - classification of school networks, 193–194, 201–202
  - examples, 197
  - key lessons for policy, 202
  - networks, management and leadership, 199–201
  - impact of networks and conditions for success, 195–197
  - PeSs, 197–199
  - structure and management of German School System, 191–193
- Governance, 210
- Greater Essex County District School Board (GECDSB), 48*n*2
- Grid group theory, 35
- Hierarchical alliances, 212
- Hierarchist system, 138–141
- Hierarchy, 211
- High-performing schools, 62, 64
- ‘High-performing Schools Go West Project’, 65
- Hood’s matrix, 151–152, 161
- Hood’s seven reverse-effect mechanisms, 22
- Human Capital Operational Programme, 112
- Impactful school-to-school collaboration, 27
- Incentivizing process, 19, 21
- Initial teacher training (ITT), 211
- International collaborations, 44
- International school partnerships, 114
- International school-to-school collaboration
  - case study in, 155
  - network, 151
- Internationally Networked Professional Learning Communities (ILNPLC), 44
- Interprofessional collaboration (IPC), 92
  - changes in children’s (school) day, 95
  - Dutch Educational and Childcare System, 92–94
  - increased numbers of children at risk in complex societies, 94–95
  - key lessons for practice and policy, 104
  - perceived barriers to engaging in, 100–102
  - scarcity of professionals and shrinking rural regions, 95–98
  - trend towards IPC in Netherlands, 94–98
  - understanding differences in, 98–100
- Investing for Educational Success (IES), 12
  - initiative, 15, 20
- Irish Free State, 138
- Irish government, 139
- Irish Republican Army (IRA), 139
- Join-the-dots approach, 22

- K-12 education, 44  
*Kāhui Ako*, 12–13  
 Kenya Basic Education Act, 124  
 Kenyan education system, 124  
     cohesion/regulation matrix in  
         Kenyan School System,  
         124–127  
     enablers of school-to-school  
         collaboration, 127–131  
     key lessons for practice and policy,  
         131  
 Kenyan school governance model, 124  
 KMK, 191  
 Knowledge mobilization, 55  
  
 Language and Social Cohesion (LIC),  
     174  
 Leadership, 31, 128–129, 211  
 Leading Student Achievement  
     initiative (LSA initiative),  
     47  
 Learning ecosystems, 170–172  
 Local authorities (LAs), 33, 36, 210  
     culture, 35  
 Loosely coupled model, 62–63, 66  
 Low-performing schools, 62  
  
 Marketized alliances, 212  
 Markets, 211  
 MAT, 218*n*6  
 Math Councils, 56–57  
 Maths Hubs, 219–220  
 Meta-governance, 212  
*Minban* education (people-run  
     education), 63  
 Ministerial Advisory Group, 144  
 Ministry of Education, 46  
 Ministry of Education, Culture and  
     Science, 94  
 Ministry of Social Affairs and  
     Employment, 92  
 Monsignor John Pereyema Catholic  
     Secondary School in  
     Oshawa, 49  
 Mood-meter, 84  
 Multi-academy trusts (MATs), 210  
  
 National Centre for Excellence in the  
     Teaching of Mathematics  
     (NCETM), 219*n*8  
 National Party, 13  
 National Policy, 28  
 National Schools system, 139  
 Netherlands, 92  
     trend towards IPC in, 94–98  
 Network (s), 153, 210–211  
     initiators, 197  
     of Innovative Schools in Germany  
         programme, 190  
 Network for shared school  
     improvement (NSSI), 145  
 Network for Social and Educational  
     Equity (NSEE), 34–37  
 Network learning system (NLS),  
     85–86  
 Networking, 80  
     in Chile, 81  
     initiatives, 80  
 ‘Networks for Change’ programme,  
     168  
     assessment, 168–170  
     key lessons, 182–185  
     learning ecosystems, 170–172  
     methods, 172  
     professional learning network to  
         network of action and  
         educational change in  
         schools, 181–182  
     school networks to co-responsible  
         ecosystems for educational  
         change, 175–178  
     teachers of schools participating in  
         programme, 173–174  
     team of facilitators of programme,  
         174  
     teams of school leaders, 172–173  
     weaving connections between  
         teachers beyond territorial  
         networks, 179–180  
 New Public Management (NPM), 14  
 New Zealand  
     case, 13  
     collaborative school system, 12

- education system, 18
- Labour Government, 14
- schools, 16, 23
- self-managing school system, 18
- New Zealand Educational Institute (NZEI), 15
- Next practice concept, 145
- Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), 111
- Non-hierarchical decision-making, 21
- North Rhine–Westphalian State
  - Institute for the Quality and Support of Schools (QUA-LiS), 199
- Northern Ireland, 138–140
  - education in, 140
  - equivalent of 1988 Education Act in England, 141–142
  - legislation, 142
  - Troubles, 141, 143
- Norway–Canada collaboration (NORCAN collaboration), 44, 48
  - collaboration in Ontario education system, 45–48
  - collaborative structures, processes, relationships, and trust, 49–51
  - design, 48–49
  - key lessons for policy and practice, 57–58
  - Ontario education system, 44–45
  - professional learning and agency, 53–55
  - sharing knowledge and de-privatizing practices, 55–57
  - student voice, agency, and leadership, 51–53
- One-unit model, 62, 66
- Ontario, 44, 48
- Ontario Certified Teacher (OCT), 45
- Ontario education system, 44–45
  - collaboration in, 45–48
- Ontario Ministry of Education, 44
- Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test (OSSLT), 45
- Ontario Teachers' Federation (OTF), 47
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 12
- Out-of-school care (OSC), 92, 97
- Overview effect, 50, 56
- Partnership, 210, 212
  - working, 32–36
- Pedagogical Resources Centers (CRP), 174
- Poland, 108
  - barriers, 115–117
  - education system, 109–112
  - key lessons for practice and policy, 117–119
  - post-1989 education policy, 116
  - SSC in, 108, 112–115
- Policy, 141
  - consensus, 31
  - coordination, 71
- Polish education system, 109, 111
- Post-pandemic recovery, 1
- Post-socialist transformations, 108
- Potenziale Entwickeln–Schulen Stärken (PeSs), 197–199
- Preservice teachers, 86
- Primary education, 94, 191
- Private international school-to-school collaboration, 150
- Professional development programme (PD programme), 81, 83–84
- Professional jealousy, 21
- Professional learning, 31–32, 44
  - network to network of action and educational change in schools, 181–182
- Professional learning communities (PLCs), 12, 158
- Professional networks, 168
- Programme for International Student Assessment test (PISA test), 12

- Progressive curriculum, 127–128  
 Provincial Knowledge Exchange (PKE), 47–48  
 Psychopedagogical Guidance Team (EAP), 177  
 Public systems, 15  
 Pupil Equity Fund (PEF), 30  
 Pupil:teacher ratio, 130  
  
 Reflexivity, 163–164  
 Regional MAT TSA, 214, 218–219  
 Regulation, 2–3, 28  
 Relational trust, 150  
 Research, 81  
 Research Teams, 85–86  
 Robert Owen Centre (ROC), 28, 32–33, 36  
  
 School collaboration, 62  
   in Ontario, 47  
 School development, 190  
 School governance, 30  
 School improvement, 150  
   background and characteristics of collaboration network, 155–158  
   beliefs and enabling and hindering factors, 158–160  
   case study in international school-to-school collaboration, 155  
   case study methodology, 153–154  
   frameworks for collaboration, 158  
   key lessons for practice and policy, 161–163  
   reflexivity, 163–164  
   relationship continuum, 160–161  
   teacher principles of collaboration, 154–155  
 School improvement networks (SIN), 83  
 School Improvement Partnership Programme (SIPP), 33–35  
 School leadership, 190  
 School networks, 190  
   classification, 193–194  
   to co-responsible ecosystems for educational change, 175–178  
   in Germany, 201–202  
 School partnerships, 145, 210–211  
 School principals, 12  
 School systems, 2  
 School-to-school ‘partnership’ approach, 150  
 School-to-school collaboration (SSC), 1, 44, 108, 210  
   barriers to, 18–23  
   enablers, 18–23, 127–131  
   extent of, 16–18  
   in Poland, 108, 112–115  
   polish experiences, 108  
   shifting classifications, 14–16  
 Schoolteachers, 86  
 Scotland  
   lessons learned, 37–38  
   partnership working, 32–36  
   policy, 29–32  
   school-to-school collaboration in, 27  
   sociocultural perspectives on public service reform, 28  
 Scotland Act (1998), 29  
 Scottish education, 29  
 Scottish system, 29  
 Self-governance, 30  
 Self-governing school, 29  
 Self-improving school-led school system (SISS), 210  
   trajectories for teaching schools in, 210–213  
 Shared education, 137–138  
   churches and divided schools, 138  
   education markets, 141–142  
   hierarchist system, 138–141  
   key lessons for practice and policy, 147  
   shifting towards collaboration, 143–146  
 Shared management mechanism, 67  
 Social capital, 175  
 Social cohesion, 2, 28  
   matrix, 92

- Social regulation, 28
- Social regulation/cohesion matrix, 13–14, 45
- Special (educational) needs (SEN), 97, 104
- Standing Advisory Commission for Human Rights (SACHR), 142
- Student voice, 51–53
- SUCCESS TSA, 213–216
- Sustainability, 17
- Symposiums, 125–126
  
- Teacher Agency* (2015), 151–152
- Teacher Learning and Leadership Programme (TLLP), 47–48
- Teachers, 81
  - collaboration, 151
  - educators, 86
  - isolation, 86
  - principles of collaboration, 154–155
- Teaching School Alliances (TSAs), 210
  - in Eastern City, 214–219
  - existing networks, 213
  - mandated collaboration as source of tension between, 219–220
  - trajectories for teaching schools in SISS, 210–213
- Teaching Schools, 211
  - government-funded evaluation, 212
- Teaching Scotland's Future (TSF), 31
- Tecumseh Vista Academy in Windsor, 49
- Top-down initiative, 63, 65–66, 63
- Transfer networks, 194
- Transversality, 177–178
- Trust, 71, 150
  - enhancing trust in school improvement network, 83–85
  
- University researchers, 33
  
- Visegrad Group countries, 111
  
- Within schoolteachers (WSTs), 12, 15, 21