

Index

- AAUP. *See* American Association of University Professors
- Academic Leadership Program (ALP), 36
- ACE. *See* American Council on Education Leadership Communication Competencies
- ACE Model, 93–94
- American Association of University Professors (AAUP), 115
- American Council on Education Leadership Communication Competencies (ACE), 117, 136–137
- Analytic competencies, 21, 25, 52, 55, 61, 68, 76–77, 137–138
- Baldrige Performance Excellence Program, 7, 37
- Board communication
- competencies, 113–130
 - competencies of, 117
 - conflict resolution, 127–128
 - conflict, 127–128
 - demographics, 119
 - ensuring successors, 114–116
 - leadership communication, study of, 116–117
 - leadership, scarcity of, 114–116
 - method, 117–118
 - results, 119–122
 - team orientation, 125–126
 - theme, 122–128
 - transparency, 126–127
 - trust, 126–127
- CFO. *See* Chief Financial Officer (CFO)
- Chief Business Officers (CBOs), 135, 140–141, 155–160
- competencies, 143–150
 - emotional/social intelligence, 154–155
 - HELC model, 140–150
 - HELC quantitative framework, 137–140
 - higher education leadership competency, 136–137
 - inclusive leadership, 152–153
 - leadership matters, 155
 - meta-competencies, 150–154
 - methodology, 140–150
 - mixed methods, 143
 - organizational engagement, 153–154
 - principal component analysis, 141–143
 - qualitative analysis, 141
 - strategic leadership, 150–152
- Chief Financial Officer (CFO), 102, 139
- The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 60, 115
- CI. *See* Critical Incident (CI)
- CIC. *See* Council of Independent Colleges (CIC)
- CliftonStrengths assessments, 41
- Coaching, 39–41

- Communication competencies, 21, 25, 54, 56, 61, 68, 77–81
- Communication leadership competencies, 137–138
- Competencies, 5–7, 22–23
- Competency model, 61–62, 67–69
- Competency-based coaching, 41–43
- Competency-based framework, 155–156
- Council of Independent Colleges (CIC), 115
- Critical Incident (CI), 89–111
 - ability of the leadership, 89
 - analysis, 102–104
 - context, 89–91
 - data coding, 102–104
 - discussion, 104–105
 - financial support, 89
 - findings, 104–105
 - higher tuition costs, 89
 - identification, 99–102
 - importance of communication, 105–108
 - leadership competencies, 91–95
 - leadership, challenges for, 89
 - methodology, 95–99
 - primary factors, 89
 - research focus, 95–99
 - selection of participants, 99–102
 - value of colleges, 89
- Extension Administrative Leadership Program (EALP), 93–94, 117
- External coaches, 40
- External relations leadership competencies, 137–138
- Four-factor model, 144–148
- Gallup coaching framework, 41
- Gallup's Signature Themes, 41
- Generic competencies, 32
 - See also* Horizontal competencies.
- Harvard Business Review*, 39
- Higher Education Leadership Competencies (HELC), 93–94, 117, 136–137
- HELC model, 136–139
- Higher education, 2–3, 89–111
- Horizontal competencies, 1, 32–33
- Informal coaches, 40–41
- Inside Higher Ed*, 115, 118
- International Coaching Federation, 39
- Knowing–doing gap, 23
- LCS. *See* Leadership Competencies Scorecard (LCS)
- Leadership, 29–37
 - capabilities of, 29–30
 - cross-cutting capabilities of, 35
 - horizontal competencies of, 31–33
 - reflections of higher education on, 35–37
 - reflective practice of, 43
 - roles specific to sector, 29
 - two-dimensional approach preparing, 35–37
 - two-dimensional competency of, 32–35
 - using LCS for self-assessment, 44–46
 - vertical competencies, 30–31
- Leadership coaching conventions, 39–41
- Leadership competency
 - framework, 7–8, 19–26
 - area of focus, 21–22
 - categories of, 21

- challenges of, 19–20
- extensive discourses on, 20
- heuristic tool, 25–26
- leadership capabilities, 20
- overview of, 19
- as a process of social influence, 26
- to help, 21
- in study of leadership in digital contexts, 49–57
- type of publications for, 19
- Leadership Competencies Scorecard (LCS), 23–24, 41, 93–95, 99, 114, 117
- concept of, 23–24
- to construct leadership personal improvement plan, 65–67
- question guide of, 41–43
- results of, 44
- scoring card, 24
- scoring outcomes, 24
- tool for self-assessment and analysis, 62–65
- Leadership competencies on Twitter, 54–56
- Leadership competency program development and evaluation, 44–46
- Leadership in Digital Contexts (LIDC), 49–50
- Mandela Washington Fellowship program, 71
- competencies in, 77–84
- instrument used, 75–76
- limitations of, 84
- method of, 73–75
- overview of results, 76
- overview of, 72–73
- subgroup results of, 84
- for Young African Leaders, 71–72
- National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO), 135, 140–148
- Organizational competencies, 21, 25, 53, 56, 61, 68, 81
- Personal competencies, 21, 25, 52–53, 55–56, 61, 68, 77
- Positional competencies, 21, 25, 30, 35, 53–54, 56, 61, 68, 81–82
- See also* Vertical competencies.
- President, 90, 113–130
- Presidential leadership, 91
- Principal Component Analysis, 144–148
- Research/scholarship leadership, 31
- Rutgers Biomedical and Health Sciences (RBHS), 36–37
- Rutgers Center for Organizational Leadership, 12, 35, 41
- Rutgers Leadership Academy, 36
- Rutgers Pre-Doctoral Leadership Development Academy (PLDA), 59–61
- Rutgers University, 4–5, 12–13, 35–36, 60, 61, 68, 71, 90, 182
- Senior Executive Leaders (SELs), 91, 96–99
- Service/outreach leadership, 31
- Society for Human Resource Management, 7

- Strategic leadership concepts,
151–152
- Student Affairs Leadership
competencies,
137–138
- Student Leadership Competencies
model, 7
- Teaching/learning leadership, 31
- T-shaped management, 34
- Vertical competencies, 1, 30
- Vice Chancellors (VC), 139
- Vice Presidents (VP), 139