Index

Active inquiry skills, 192

Note: Page numbers followed by "n" indicate footnotes.

Action research, 27, 100, 120, 123, 190 modes, 55

4	
Activity theory, 49	Collaborative lesson research
Ambitious teaching practices, 134,	(CLR), 63
137, 141–142	Collaborative lesson study, 23–24,
Apprenticeship	107–109
approach, 7	Collaborative planning, 62, 198
model, 5	asymmetrical relationships in LS
Asymmetrical relationships in LS	groups in ITE contexts,
groups, 68–71	68–71
Attention-dependant knowledge, 110,	issues for lesson planning in ITE
194	lesson study, 64–68
Authentic Teacher Education	lesson planning in lesson study,
(Netherlands), 8	63–64
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	of research lesson, 154
Boundary	Collaborative practice, 8, 55, 122, 190
crosser, 148	196
object, 150	Collaborative reflective practice, 23
zone, 150	Collective action, 178–179, 183–184
Brookfield's analysis, 193	Communicative principle of
Building a High-Quality Teaching	relevance, 55, 57
Profession, 5	Communicator, 51
•	Communities of Practice, 71
Classrooms, 197	Complexity
classroom-based practice, 193	challenges of classroom, 109–111
classroom-oriented processes, 198	of teaching, 190
complexity challenges for student-	of time, 163–165
teachers, 109–111	Conceptualisation of learning, 48–52
context, 56	Critical reflection, 106
Clock-time, 163–164	Critical reflective analysis, 197
and lesson study, 165–168	Cultural-historical activity theory
Cognitive learning, 53–54, 56–57, 90	(CHAT), 148
Cognitive participation, 177–178,	perspective on school-university
182–183	partnerships in ITE,
Cognitive principle of relevance, 57	149–151
Collaborative evaluation, 112	
Collaborative inquiry, 197	Decisional capital, 190
Collaborative learning, 49	Declarative knowledge, 53
	= ····· · ·····················

potential, 51

Department for Education (DfE), 120	research orientation 6–7
Differential observation, 76	researching lesson study, 121–122
sheet, 86	student-teachers' written reports,
Dyadic lesson study, 192	122–124
Dyadic school-based mentor/student-	Instructional activities, 136–138
teacher pairing, 192	ITE activity system, 149
•	boundary space, 149
Education, 190	ITE lesson study (see also Initial
Educational researchers, 10–11	teacher education (ITE);
Educators, 10–11	Lesson study (LS)), 9–12,
'Efficiency-driven' models, 9	32–35, 203–204
Emotional learning, 90	challenges and advantages, 41–42
English as a foreign language (EFL),	developing, 204
93–94, 125–126, 129	examples of models in
'European model' of lesson study,	partnerships, 156–157
24–25	focus in, 54–55
	future developments for, 204–205
Finnish teacher education, 9	heterodox approaches, 39–40
,	individual/team scale, 204–206
Heterodox approaches, 39-40, 206	key features, 152
Heuristics, 48, 50–51, 57	learning study, 40–41
relevance theory and, 58	lesson planning issues in, 64–68
High-leverage practices, 4, 134	observation approach in, 81–84
Higher education institutions (HEI),	observation experience in, 80–81
17–18, 24, 151	organisational scale, 206–208
rationale for change, 18–19	partnership/regional scale, 208–209
Holistic professional growth model, 190	practicum-based lesson study,
Human capital, 190	37–39
Hybrid approaches, 33, 36–37	research and knowledge exchange, 209–211
In-class literacy, 194–195, 198	structures and approaches, 33
In-service teachers, 18–19, 24, 26, 91,	university-based and hybrid
93–94, 142, 154, 181	approaches, 33, 36–37
Infection prevention and control	T.F.
(IPC), 175–176	Japan, lesson study into, 19–22
Informal networks, 208–209	Jugyou kenkyuu (see Lesson study (LS))
Initial teacher education (ITE) (see	
also ITE lesson study), 2–4,	Kenkyuu jugoy (see Research lessons)
17, 32, 48, 62, 105, 119,	Knowledge, 49
133–134, 148, 161, 173,	attention-dependant, 110
190–192, 203	declarative, 53
lesson study fit in, 196–198	dimension, 195, 197
lesson study future in, 203–211	knowledge-rich profession, 2
practice orientation, 4–6	research and knowledge exchange,
professional learning through	209–211
partnership, 8–9	subject and pedagogic, 110

Knowledgeable others (KO), 25, 32,	within ITE partnerships, 151–156
37, 62–63, 69, 152–153,	into Japan, 19–20
167, 192	for learning communities in
Kyouzai kenkyuu, 10–11, 23–24, 63, 154	Japan, 21
	learning study vs., 25–26
Language, 52	lesson planning in, 63–64
language-based interactions, 27	models in school placement, 64-65
Learning (see also Student-teachers	objectives of, 153
learning), 25–26, 48	practicing talk moves in, 138-140
challenge, 87, 153	principles behind, 25
from challenging case, 66–68	for professional learning, 26–27
conceptualisation, 48–52	review form, 83
focus in ITE lesson study, 54–55	and rhythm, 168–170
lesson study cycle, 52–54	in Valencia, 125–129
observation and, 77–80	Lifelong learning process, 1–2
process, 211	
from promising case, 66–68	'Math Labs', 134, 136
of pupils, 56–57	Melbourne Master of Teaching
of student-teachers, 55–56	programme (Australia), 8
student-teachers and pupils	Microteaching Lesson Study
combination, 57–59	(MLS), 140
study, 25–26, 40–41	MOVE project, 177–179
to teach, 191	
Lesson artefacts, 92–94	National-level reports, 121
Lesson planning	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT),
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (<i>see also</i> ITE lesson	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (<i>see also</i> ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148,	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178,
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (<i>see also</i> ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (<i>see also</i> ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180,
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (<i>see also</i> ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49,	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49, 51–59, 62–64, 66, 82–83,	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180, 184–185
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49, 51–59, 62–64, 66, 82–83, 91–92, 95–96, 105, 114,	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180, 184–185 Observation, 75–77, 89
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49, 51–59, 62–64, 66, 82–83, 91–92, 95–96, 105, 114, 121–122, 135, 137, 140,	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180, 184–185 Observation, 75–77, 89 approach in ITE lesson study, 81–84
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49, 51–59, 62–64, 66, 82–83, 91–92, 95–96, 105, 114, 121–122, 135, 137, 140, 186, 199, 209	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180, 184–185 Observation, 75–77, 89 approach in ITE lesson study, 81–84 experience in ITE lesson study,
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49, 51–59, 62–64, 66, 82–83, 91–92, 95–96, 105, 114, 121–122, 135, 137, 140, 186, 199, 209 European model, 24–25	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180, 184–185 Observation, 75–77, 89 approach in ITE lesson study, 81–84 experience in ITE lesson study, 80–81
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49, 51–59, 62–64, 66, 82–83, 91–92, 95–96, 105, 114, 121–122, 135, 137, 140, 186, 199, 209 European model, 24–25 expansion from Japan, 21–22	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180, 184–185 Observation, 75–77, 89 approach in ITE lesson study, 81–84 experience in ITE lesson study, 80–81 extending, 85–87
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (<i>see also</i> ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49, 51–59, 62–64, 66, 82–83, 91–92, 95–96, 105, 114, 121–122, 135, 137, 140, 186, 199, 209 European model, 24–25 expansion from Japan, 21–22 fits in ITE, 196–198	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180, 184–185 Observation, 75–77, 89 approach in ITE lesson study, 81–84 experience in ITE lesson study, 80–81 extending, 85–87 and learning, 77–80
Lesson planning issues in ITE lesson study, 64–68 in lesson study, 63–64 Lesson study (LS) (see also ITE lesson study), 4, 108, 119, 148, 173, 189–190, 192 asymmetrical relationships in LS groups, 68–71 clock-time and, 165–168 collaborative lesson study, 23–24 within current Japanese education system, 20–21 cycle, 10–11, 36, 39, 41–42, 48–49, 51–59, 62–64, 66, 82–83, 91–92, 95–96, 105, 114, 121–122, 135, 137, 140, 186, 199, 209 European model, 24–25 expansion from Japan, 21–22	Neoliberal conceptualisation of ITE, 6 Normalisation Process Theory (NPT), 174–175, 190 as analytical tool, 180 cognitive participation, 177–178, 182–183 coherence, 175–176, 181–182 collective action, 178–179, 183–184 to encourage embedding of lesson study, 186 as interactive tool, 185–186 reflexive monitoring, 179–180, 184–185 Observation, 75–77, 89 approach in ITE lesson study, 81–84 experience in ITE lesson study, 80–81 extending, 85–87

Organisation of Economic	Professional Development Schools
Cooperation and	(US), 8
Development (OECD), 3–4	Professional learning
Oxford Internship Scheme (UK), 8	for lesson study, 26–27
	through partnership, 8–9, 11
Participatory approaches, 100–101	Professional noticing, 110, 112
Partnership	PROSPERO, 211
partnership/regional scale, 208–209	Pupil
professional learning through, 8–9	interviews of case pupils, 86–87
Pedagogic content knowledge (PCK),	learning of, 56–57
49, 123	participation, 100
Pedagogic/pedagogy, 152–153, 156	Pupil learning, 42, 90–91
black-box, 198	interrogation of lesson artefacts
of enactment, 5	and recordings, 92–94
knowledge, 110	methods, 91–92
literacy, 191–196	pupil voice through interviews and
Peer-driven process, 165	questionnaires, 94–99
Peer-learning lesson study, 38	student participatory techniques to
Peer-micro-teaching lesson study	plan, and evaluate learning,
(PMLS), 36–37, 169	99–101
Pirie-Kieren Dynamical Theory, 49	Pupils' perspectives, challenges in
Politicisation of teacher education	capturing, 90–91
	interrogation of lesson artefacts
policy and practice, 6	
Postgraduate Certificate of Education	and recordings, 92–94
programme, 192	methods, 91–92
Practice	pupil voice through interviews and
development, 204, 206, 208, 212	questionnaires, 94–99
orientation, 4–6, 11	student participatory techniques to
practice-oriented professional	plan, and evaluate learning,
development, 4 <i>n</i> 2	99–101
Practicum	
challenges and affordances, 111–112	Qualitative approach to lesson
practicum-based lesson study, 37–39	observation, 82
Practitioner development through	Qualitative research synthesis, 210
pedagogic literacy	Questionnaires, 98–99
current challenges to teacher	pupil voice through, 94–99
professionalism, 190–192	Quick Image, 137–138, 143
lesson study, 189–190	
lesson study fit in ITE, 196–198	'Re-teaching' lesson, 155–156
pedagogic literacy, 192–196	Recordings, 92–94
Pre-service teacher, 156–157, 183	Reflection, 190, 196
lesson study groups, 156	in action, 107
Procedural knowledge, 49	on action, 107
Professional capital development, 190	Reflective practices, 49, 54
Professional development, 18–20, 22,	in student-teachers, 107–109
26–27, 210	in teacher education, 106–107

Reflective reporting, 129	School-university partnerships, 9,
Reflexive monitoring, 179–180,	150–151
184–185	CHAT perspective on, 149–151
Relevance, 51	Scrutiny of written work/artefacts,
cognitive principle of, 57	87
communicative principle of,	Situated learning, 49
55, 57	Socio-constructionist theories, 27
maximisation of, 52-53	Socio-cultural approach, 54
theory and heuristics, 58	Spatio-temporal model, 204
Reporting lesson study, 120–121	Stimulated recall interviewing (SRI),
from assignments to scholarly	91, 96–97, 100
research, 124-125	Student participatory techniques,
in ITE, 121–122	99–101
Research and knowledge exchange,	Student-teachers, 37–39, 42, 64–65,
209–211	69, 109, 110, 166
'Research based, state-of-the-art	challenges of classroom
practice', 5	complexity, 109-111
Research lessons, 10, 87	reflective practices in, 107–109
collaborative planning of, 154	school-based mentors and, 112-113
observing, 154–155	teaching, 8
rehearsing, 140	Student-teachers learning, 48, 55–56, 150
with TTOs, 140–143	lesson study with given
Research orientation 6–7, 11	instructional activities,
Research-based professional	136–138
development 4n2	practicing talk moves in lesson
Researching lesson study,	study, 138–140
120–121	rehearsing research lessons, 140
Resident Assessment Instrument–	research lessons with TTOs,
Home Care (RAI-HC),	140–143
177, 179	tools, 134
Rhythm, 162, 164–165	Student-teachers' written reports
lesson study and, 168–170	in ITE, 122–124
	lesson study in Valencia, 125–129
Scaffolding planning process, 65–66	reporting lesson study in ITE,
School activity system, 149	121–122
boundary space, 149	researching and reporting lesson
School placement, lesson study	study, 120–121
models in, 64–65	Study of materials for teaching (see
School-based collaborative	Kyouzai kenkyuu)
professional development,	Supervision sessions, practical
135–136	implications for, 114–115
School-based mentors, 109, 112–113,	
140, 168	Teacher (see also Student-teachers),
dyad, 156–157	1, 163
School-based staff, 65, 153	challenges to teacher
'School-led' ITE model, 9, 157	professionalism, 190–192

collaboration, 190	Teaching Gap, The, 10, 22, 148, 203
education, 31–32	Technological pedagogical content
educators, 62, 65, 107, 109	knowledge (TPCK), 123
expertise, 190	Time
growth, 180, 191, 193, 196	clock-time and lesson study,
identifying key evidential	165–168
opportunities, 90	complexities of, 163–165
mentor, 65, 69–71	lesson study and rhythm,
responsibility, 26	168–170
Teacher time-outs (TTOs), 134, 206	pressures, 162
research lessons with, 140-143	TIMMS video study (Trends in
Teachers as Students project (TasS	International Mathematics
project), 65–68, 95, 167	and Science study), 21–22
Teaching, 193	
ambitious teaching practices, 134,	Valencia, lesson study in, 125–129
137, 141–142	Variation theory, 25–26, 40, 48
complexity of, 190	
quality, 2	Wenger's Communities of Practice
strategies, 191	theory, 49