

INDEX

- Academia loyalty, 74
Academic(s)
 and/or non-academic
 roles, 132
 caste system, 76–77
 communities, 82, 86
 and non-academic
 employees, 140
 production system, 68
 samurais, 77
 in universities, 73
Accountability, 70
 national-structural level
 strives for, 75–76
 need for, 74
 principles, 62
Acknowledging paradigms,
 3, 83
Administrative control
 systems, 69
Administrators, 69
Ageing populations, 67, 75
Agency theory, 39
 See also Grounded theory
'Agree' rating system, 135
Ambiguity, 80, 166
 high degree, 116
 primary sources, 166
 of sensemaking, 131–160
Annual work-environment
 survey, 133, 135, 155,
 168, 169
Anti-positivist(s), 15, 26,
 113
 epistemology, 48–49
 view, 21
Anxiety, 53, 67, 137
Aristotelian thinking of
 knowledge, 9
Artefact, 11, 162
Awareness
 statistical significance of
 differences by
 employee category,
 184
 statistical significance of
 differences by gender,
 183, 185–187
 statistical significance of
 differences within
 principal domains,
 185–187
Behaviour control, 43–44
Behaviour-based control
 mechanism. *See*
 Process-based control
 mechanism

- Bureaucratic-engineering approach, 39
- Business-oriented organisation, 72
- Change management approaches in, 97
- assessment criteria and respective paradigms, 93
- modernist paradigm, 131–160
- paradigms and methodological aims, 90
- phases of multiparadigm research and respective methodological choices, 102
- postmodern paradigm, 101–120
- process, 125, 166
- simplified schema of change, 96
- symbolic paradigm, 121–131
- in universities, 89
- Circular questioning, 104, 105
- Coding and analysis, 122–127
- Communication
- high degree of ambiguity in, 116
 - human, 104
 - management, 123
 - persuasive and effective, 128
- process, 123
 - scholarly, 3
 - stressing, 123
 - theory of both animal and machine, 42
 - two-way, 103–104
- Community-based understanding of truth, 91
- Conceptual labels, 122–123
- Conceptualisation, 122
- of organisational control, 5
 - of research phenomena, 86
- Confirmability, 93, 94
- ‘Confused and destructive jungle warfare’, 5
- Construct paradigm, 11
- Control, 4, 27, 28, 48, 77
- dimensions, 175
 - mechanism, 166
 - phenomenon, 4
 - See also* Organisational control
- Counter-discourses, 80
- Credibility, 93, 94
- Critical approach, 106
- Critical discourse analysis, 104, 106
- Critical discursive thinking, 37
- Cultural prisons, 79
- Culture, 35, 78, 162
- audit, 79
 - discipline-based, 78

- Cybernetic capitalism, 51
 Cybernetics, 41, 42
- Dangerisation, 53
- Decision-making mechanisms in universities, 163–164
- Degree of heterogeneity in sensemaking in UT, 133, 155
- Departmental identity, 111, 125
- Dependability, 93, 94
- ‘Disagree’ rating system, 135
- Discipline-based identity, 125
- Discourse(s), 119
 analysis approach, 108
 paradox of particular and universal, 110–116
 paradox of stability and change, 116–119
 summation, 120
 university as battlefield of, 101
- Discursive approaches, 106
- Discursive resistance, 82
- Disproportionality between sensemaking and sensegiving, 129
- Dissemination of information process, 167
- Domination/emancipation themes, 54
- Education systems, 68
- Electronic panopticism, 51
 panopticon, 52
 path, 52
- Elite, 65, 68
 access model, 64
 education, 6
 specialisation, 62
 status of universities, 67
- Employee category, 140, 149, 151
 association between involvement, awareness and objectives by, 153–154
 awareness of university’s objectives, by unit, gender and, 142
 statistical significance of differences in involvement, awareness and objectives by, 183, 188–189
- Entrepreneurialism, 6
- Epistemology, 5, 13, 23, 89
 modernist paradigm on control, 40–41
 postmodern paradigm on control, 55–56
 symbolic paradigm on control, 47–49
See also Methodology; Ontology
- Explorative study, 121
- External assessment, 80
- External intrusion, 81

- Faculty deans, 73
- Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, 95, 96, 147, 169, 182
- Faculty of Law, 95, 96, 147, 169, 182
- Faculty of Social Sciences and Education, 95, 96, 169, 182
- Faculty-based identity, 111
- 'Fields of power', 108
- Finance schemes, 70
- Fingerprint, 52
- First-order themes, 123, 124
- Formal level
 - managerialism, 82
- Formal social systems, 40
- Foucauldian understanding of control, 55–56
- Fragmentation of organisational sciences, 10–11
- Fragmented organisational control, 131, 168
- Functionalist paradigm, 8, 16, 20, 21
- 'Global' identity, 111
- Grounded theory, 100, 121, 122
 - development and linking to existing stock of literature, 128–130
 - methodology, 130–131
- Heads of departments, 73
- Higher Education (HE), 67
 - forms of, 65–66
 - institution functioning, 64
 - institution management, 75
 - modernist paradigm on control in, 75–77
 - national-structural level changes in, 68
 - postmodern paradigm on control in, 78–83
- Higher education
 - perception, 64
- Home-grown managers, 73
- Homo oeconomicus*, 41, 60
- Human behavior, 15–16
- Humaniora*, 95, 96, 135, 140, 143, 148, 150, 152, 153, 154, 156, 185, 188
- Hybridisation of managerial processes, 73
- Idiographic
 - approach, 16
 - methodologies, 50
- Incommensurability, 3
- Informal level
 - managerialism, 82
- Informal social arrangements, 40
- Informal social systems, 39
- Information and communication technology, 52
- Input control, 43
- Insider's view, 91, 122

- Inspection principle, 51–52
- Institutionalisation
 - dimensions, 119
 - interpreting, 119
 - in motion, 116–119
 - process, 107
 - in scope, 110–116, 118
- Instrumental approach, 106
- Intellectual imperialism, 53–54
- Internal processes, 63
- Interplay between
 - sensegiving and sensemaking, 121–131
- Interpretive
 - approach, 106
 - paradigm, 16, 22
- Interview data, 121–122, 166
- Involvement
 - statistical significance of differences by employee category, 184
 - statistical significance of differences by gender, 183, 185–187
 - statistical significance of differences within principal domains, 185–187
- Inward sensegiving, 126, 127
- Knowledge, 25, 41, 48, 55, 59
 - Aristotelian thinking, 9
 - development, 48
 - first-hand, 16
 - grounds, 47, 55
 - growth, 15
 - nature, 5, 23
 - objective, 20
 - origins and manifestations, 56
 - production, 10, 112
 - scientific, 3
 - sort, 49
 - of transformation
 - process, 42
 - value-free, 21
- Language, 22, 47–48, 106
 - contextuality, 55
 - paradigmatic, 28
 - use, 54, 118
- Linear questioning, 104, 105
- Local' identity, 111, 112
- Log books, 53
- Loosely coupled
 - organisational control, 129–131, 168, 170
- Macro-level
 - changes, 79
 - efforts, 82
- Management ideals, 69
- Management theory
 - 'jungle', 5
- Managerial challenges, 110, 166
- Managerialism, 6, 75, 82
- Managerialist approach. *See* Instrumental approach

- Mann–Whitney test, 143
 statistical significance of differences in involvement, awareness and objectives by gender, 183, 185–187
 statistical significance of differences in involvement, awareness and objectives by employee category, 183, 188–189
 statistical significance of differences in involvement, awareness and objectives by within principal domains, 185–187, 188–189
- Market-like behaviours, 63
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), 41
- McDonaldisation, 69, 79
 of society, 63
- McUniversities, 6
- Medicina*, 95, 96, 134, 148, 150, 151, 152, 157, 182, 185, 188
- Meeting protocols, 121–122, 125–126
- Meso-level changes, 79
- Metaparadigm theory building, 1, 161–162, 170, 172
 dimensions of control relating to paradigms, 175
 goal of theory building in different paradigms, 164
 paradigm puzzle, 163
- Metaphysical paradigm, 11
- Method, 89
- Methodology, 5, 13, 23, 89, 90, 99
 modernist paradigm on control, 41–45
 postmodern paradigm on control, 56–58
 symbolic paradigm on control, 49–50
 triangulation, 91
See also Epistemology; Ontology
- Mode of control, 42, 48, 53
- Modern paradigm, 5, 8
- Modern-postmodern sandwich, 45
- Modernism, 37, 38, 39, 90, 93
- Modernist control theories, 38
 orthodoxy, 12
 study, 168
- Modernist paradigm, 25, 36, 58–59, 84, 99, 100–101, 131, 174
- 5-scale Likert rating system, 135
 annual work-environment survey, 135

- association between
 - involvement,
 - awareness and
 - objectives, 153–154,
 - 156
- awareness of objectives
 - within principal
 - domain, 150
- awareness of university's
 - objectives, by unit,
 - gender, 142
- choice of organisational
 - control mode, 43
- on control, 37
- on control in HE
 - institution
 - management, 75–77
- employee category, 149
- epistemology, 40–41
- gender-wise, 143
- involvement in
 - management within
 - principal domain,
 - 148
- magnitude of associations
 - between involvement,
 - awareness and
 - objectives, 139
- medicina*, 151
- methodology, 41–45
- moving towards
 - objectives within
 - principal domain by
 - gender, 152
- 'neither agree nor
- disagree' responses,
- 136
- ontology, 38–40
- organisational
 - sensemaking, 132
- percentage of individuals,
- 135
- perception of involved
 - into unit management,
 - by unit, gender and
 - employee, 141
- propositions P1, P2 and
- P3 and corresponding
- outcomes, 146–147
- propositions P4, P5 and
- P6 and corresponding
- outcomes, 157
- questions from survey
- and relating
- propositions, 136
- representativeness of
- sample, 134
- Spearman's Rho on
- involvement,
- awareness and
- objectives, 139
- summation, 155,
- 158–160
- university moving
- towards objectives, by
- unit, 144
- work-environment
- survey, 133
- See also* Postmodern
- paradigm; Symbolic
- paradigm
- Multidimensional
- phenomenon, 129, 167
- Multiparadigm
- essence of organisational
- control, 84–85

- research, 1, 161
- review, 1
- National-structural level
 - of analysis, 72
 - changes, 62, 63, 69–70
 - influences, 75
- Natural sciences, 2, 12, 21, 41–42, 86
- ‘Neither agree nor disagree’ rating system, 135, 137
- Newton’s paradigm, 12
- Nominalism, 14, 17, 22, 60
- Nomothetic approach, 16, 20, 41, 44
- Non-academic employees, 140, 143, 146, 147, 149, 151
- Normative–(re)educational approach, 96, 97, 98
- Objective-radical change paradigm. *See* Radical structuralist paradigm
- Objective-regulation paradigm. *See* Functionalist paradigm
- Objectives
 - statistical significance of differences by employee category, 184
 - statistical significance of differences by gender, 183, 185–187
 - statistical significance of differences within principal domains, 185–187
- Objectivists, 13–14
- Ontological assumptions, 13, 14, 23, 24
- Ontological commitment, 49, 54
- Ontology, 5, 13, 15, 23, 89
 - modernist paradigm on control, 38–40
 - postmodern paradigm on control, 53–55
 - symbolic paradigm on control, 46–47
- See also* Epistemology; Methodology
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 64
- Organisation(al), 35, 166
 - artefacts, 162
 - change, 171
 - discourses, 56
 - fragmentation of organisational sciences, 10–11
 - identity, 111, 125
 - level changes, 62, 63, 70
 - members, 132
 - phenomena, 161–162
 - realities, 108
 - sensemaking, 132
 - speech and language, 55
 - stakeholders, 132
 - structure, 171

- studies, 3–4
- symbols, 35
- texts, 54, 118
- Organisational control, 1, 4, 68, 84, 128, 129, 130, 162, 163, 168, 174
- basic assumptions or dimensions of research, 17–19
- complexity in university management, 62–87
- conceptual framework, 173
- conceptualizations, 5
- exemplar references, 29–34
- exploring paradigms on, 36–61
- four paradigms for analysis of social theory, 20
- mechanisms, 48
- model, 42
- modernist paradigm on control, 37–45
- multiparadigm review, 9
- nesting of paradigms, 11
- paradigm in social sciences and need to systemising existing treatises, 9–36
- paradigms with corresponding orientation and assumptions, 26
- postmodern paradigm on control, 50–61
- puzzle of assumptions, 25
- relationship between dominating discourses and, 167
- scheme for analysing assumptions about nature of social science, 14
- symbolic paradigm on control, 45–50
- systems, 44
- triangle of assumptions, 24
- Output-based control, 43
- Outward sensegiving, 126, 127, 167
- Panoptic style, 80
- Panopticon, 50–51, 80
- Paradigm(s), 3, 5–6, 12, 16, 23, 161
- dimensions of control relating to, 175
- goal of theory building, 164
- puzzle, 163
- for social theory analysis, 20
- Paradigmatic language, 28
- Paradox(es), 35, 166, 170
- of particular and universal, 110–116, 125, 166
- of sensekeeping and sensebreaking as manifestation of resistance, 171

- of stability and change, 116–119, 125
- Performance management, 6, 73
- Periopticity, 53
- Personnel control, 43
- ‘Persuasive and effective communication’, 128–129
- Philosophy of science, 3, 13, 16
- Pluralism, 37
- Polyvocality, 37
- Post-communist countries, 67
- Postmodern discourse analysis, 121
- Postmodern
 - epistemological commitment, 55
- Postmodern epistemology, 26
- Postmodern methodology, 57, 58
- Postmodern paradigm, 5, 25–26, 85, 87, 92, 98–99, 101, 130–131, 174
 - on control, 50
 - on control in HE institution management, 78–83
 - epistemology, 55–56
 - methodology, 56–58
 - ontology, 53–55
 - paradox of particular and universal, 110–116
 - paradox of stability and change, 116–119
 - summation, 120
 - summation, 58–61
 - See also* Modernist paradigm; Symbolic paradigm
- Postmodernism, 26, 37, 50, 53, 54, 90, 93
- Postmodernist
 - ontology, 54
 - paradigm, 54
- Power, 108
 - network themes, 54
 - power–coercive approach, 96, 97, 98
 - relations, 106
- Pre-determined hypotheses, 49
- Principal domains
 - establishment, 151
 - statistical significance of differences in involvement, awareness and objectives within, 185–187
- Privatisation, 53
- Pro-Vice Chancellors, 73
- Process-based control mechanism, 43
- Profession-based identity, 125
- Profession-related changes, 69
- Professional-subjective level

- analysis, 72, 74
- changes, 62, 63, 70
- Profit-oriented
 - organisations, 62
- Public universities, 62
- Qualitative research, 92
- Radical change, 16
- Radical humanist
 - paradigm, 16, 21
- Radical structuralist
 - paradigm, 16, 21
- Rational–empirical
 - approach, 96, 97, 98
- Re-institutionalisation
 - process, 125
- Re-normalisation process, 125
- Reasoning, 23, 92, 126
- Reciprocal interaction, 47
- Resistance, 120
 - discursive, 82
 - paradox of sensekeeping and sensebreaking as manifestation of, 171
 - themes, 54
- 5-scale Likert rating
 - system, 135
- Science, 9
- Scientific community, 3
- Second-order themes, 123
- Secretarial bitching, 57
- Sensebreaking, 170–171, 174
 - acts, 171
 - paradox as manifestation of resistance, 171
- Sensegiving, 167, 168, 169, 170, 174
 - circulation between inward and outward, 127
 - as control manifests, 175
 - interplay between sensemaking and, 121–131
- Sensekeeping, 174
 - activities, 171
 - coupling with sensebreaking, 172
 - paradox as manifestation of resistance, 171
- Sensemaking, 167, 168, 170, 174
 - ambiguity, 131–160
 - degree of heterogeneity, 168
 - interplay between sensegiving and, 121–131
- Sequential approach, 99
- Single paradigm strategies, 4, 5, 91–92, 99, 162, 163
- Social control, 52, 53
- Social imagery, 78
- Social license, 128–129
- Social media, 53
- Social phenomena, 39, 41
- Social positioning in organisation, 107
- Social process, 132
- Social theory, 13, 20
- Socialia*, 135, 145, 147, 149, 151, 155, 169

- Socio-economic changes, 49
- Sociological paradigm, 11
- Spectrum of
responsibilities, 73
- State financed universities,
75
- Statistical analysis, 135
- Stressing communication,
123
- ‘Strongly agree’ rating
system, 135, 136, 137
- ‘Strongly disagree’ rating
system, 135, 137
- Structure of Scientific
Revolutions, The*, 10
- Subjective level changes, 69
- Subjective-objective
dimension, 16
- Subjective-radical change
paradigm. *See* Radical
humanist paradigm
- Subjective-regulation
paradigm. *See*
Interpretive paradigm
- Supportive organisational
control, 130–131,
133, 168
- Surveillance cameras, 53
- Symbolic interactionism,
45
- Symbolic paradigm, 5, 36,
84, 91, 92, 94, 98–99,
101, 121, 174
on control, 45, 77–78
developing grounded
theory and linking to
existing stock of
literature, 128–130
developing new
categories, 122–127
epistemology, 47–49
methodology, 49–50
ontology, 46–47
summation, 130–131
See also Modernist
paradigm; Postmodern
paradigm
- Symbolism, 90, 93, 162
- Task programmability,
42
- Theory-building process,
164
- Transferability, 94
- Universities, 7, 62, 166,
172
academics, 73
change management, 1
as ‘cultural prisons’,
78–79
financial side, 67
management, 86
organisational control
complexity in
management,
62–87
public, 62
state financed universities,
75
- University management,
organisational control
complexity in, 62
conflicting expectations,
74–75

- essence of traditional university and new university, 71
- forms of higher education, 65–66
- global changes, 68–69
- layers of change affecting higher education institutions, 63
- modernist paradigm on control in HE institution management, 75–77
- multiparadigm essence of organisational control in, 84–85
- national-structural level changes, 69–70
- natural sciences, 86
- organisational level influences, 70–72
- paradigms, 83–85
- phases of higher education, 67
- postmodern paradigm on control in HE institution management, 78–83
- public universities, 62–63
- symbolic paradigm on control in, 77–78
- traditional functions, 72–73
- University of Tartu (UT), 6–7, 94–96, 131, 166, 169
- degree of heterogeneity in sensemaking, 133, 155
- simplified structure map, 182–189
- Vice Chancellors, 73
- Work-environment survey, 133