INDEX

| Academic capitalism, 12, 128 Academic freedom, 32, 120 | Autonomy versus centralization, 127–129, 145–147 |
|---|---|
| Academic tenure, 32 Access and Participation Agreement, 60, 66, 104 Accreditation, 20, 39 Acts of Parliament, 3, 22, 25 Affordability, 159 | Baker, Kenneth, 26 Barber, Pace, 121 Barber, Sir Michael, 118–120 Basic fees, 58, 75 Block grants, 128 Blunkett, David, 44 Browne, Lord, 71, 75–76, |
| Aimhigher, 75, 134, 139 Anti-competitiveness, 113 Applicant choice for, 157–158 risks, 97–102 | 135 Browne Review, 7, 57, 75, 80, 92–93, 137 BTECs, 86 |
| Applicant-as-consumer point of view of differentiation, 14 Apprenticeships, 97 Approved providers, 103–104 Architecture reform, 98 Association of University Teachers (AUT), 38, 42, 46, 132 Attendance, 98 | Caveat emptor, 107–112 'Celebration of diversity' stage, 6, 130–132 Centralisation, 27 versus autonomy, 127–129, 145–147 Challenger providers, 96 Chief Executive Officers, 29 Choice, as new frontier, 81–85 |

| Clarke, Charles, 59 | Croham Report, 29, 30, |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Code of Governance, 104 | 51, 77, 128 |
| Collusion, 114 | Crosland, Anthony, 34 |
| Committee of Vice- | • • |
| Chancellors and | Dearing, Sir Ron, 38, 39, |
| Principals (CVCP), 28, | 42, 45–47, 49 |
| 32, 46 | Dearing Committee, |
| Communication, 21 | 39–40, 44 |
| Compelling value, 108 | Dearing Report, 46, 54 |
| Competition, 71–76 | Dearing Review, 7, 45, |
| choice-driven, 82 | 130–132 |
| Competition and Markets | Degree awarding powers |
| Authority, 103–104 | (DAP), 79, 83–84, |
| Competitive | 103, 105–107, 133, |
| differentiation, 10–11, | 142, 164 |
| 58, 92–94, 111, | Department for Education |
| 137–141 | and Skills (DfES), 29, |
| Conservative and Liberal | 89, 108, 161 |
| Democrat Coalition | Department of Business, |
| Government, 73, 138 | Innovation and Skills |
| Conservative Party, 25, 27, | (DBIS), 71, 75, 89, 91, |
| 28, 30, 33, 35, 38, | 122, 140 |
| 130, 150, 159–160 | Destination of Leavers in |
| Consumer choice, 80 | Higher Education |
| Consumer protection, 112 | (DLHE), 88, 89, 134, |
| Consumer recompense, | 162 |
| 112 | Destination of Leavers |
| Continuing expansion, 41 | survey, 74 |
| Continuing provision of | Differentiation, $71-76$, |
| course, guarantee of, | 90-91, 132-137 |
| 111-112 | without competition, |
| Course closure, | 68-70 |
| 110-111 | competitive, $10-11$, 58 , |
| Credit Accumulation and | 92-94, 111, 137-141 |
| Transfer (CAT) | horizontal, 70 |
| system, 39, 111, 130 | vertical, 70 |
| Critical discourse analysis | market-incentivised, |
| (CDA), 21-22 | 77-81 |

| in public services, 147, 148 'Efficiency and accountability' stage, 6, 10, 17–18, 25, 27–28, 52–55, 127–131, 134, 144 business case for, 28–34 European Year of Lifelong Learning, 44 |
|--|
| Fair access, 139 Fair Admissions to |
| Higher Education: Recommendations for |
| Good Practice, 69 |
| Fee cap, 104 |
| Financial competence, 29 |
| Financial sustainability, management and |
| governance (FSMG), |
| 103 Framework for Higher |
| Education |
| Qualifications (FHEQ), |
| 103 |
| Fryer, Bob, 44 Fulfilling our Potential: |
| Teaching Excellence, Social Mobility and |
| Student Choice, 109 |
| Funding mode, 147, 149 |
| Further and Higher |
| Education Act of 1992 (F&HE), 26, 35–37, |
| 51, 53, 127, 129, 146, |
| 161 |
| |

| Further education colleges | 58-59, 67, 89, |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| (FECs), 6, 8 | 90-91, 136, 146 |
| Further Education Funding | limitations of, 68–70 |
| Council, 44 | Higher Education and |
| Future of Higher | Research Act of 2017 |
| Education, The, 57, 77 | (HERA), 1, 6, 12, 19, |
| | 20, 39, 42, 64, 83, |
| German Excellence | 95–123, 141, 159, |
| Initiative, 3 | 163 |
| Government incentives, 14 | $Higher\ Education-A$ |
| Graduate Contribution | New Framework, |
| Scheme, 65 | 35-36 |
| Graduate outcomes, 89 | Higher Education Funding |
| Graduate premium, 116, | Council for England |
| 134, 144 | (HEFCE), 36-37, |
| Green Paper, 3, 22, | 47-51, 55, 61, 63, 72, |
| 97–103, 112–118 | 84, 96, 104, 117, 130, |
| 2015 Green Paper, 143 | 161 |
| Opening the Sector to | mission statement, 47 |
| New Providers, | student number control |
| 102-103 | analysis, 86 |
| Teaching Excellence | Higher education |
| Framework, The, | institutions (HEIs), 7, |
| 99-100 | 8, 66, 79, 96, 107, |
| Guardian, The, 70 | 129, 134–136, 146, |
| • | 147, 151 |
| HEFCW, 96 | Higher Education in the |
| Hierarchical system, 70 | Learning Society, 38 |
| Higher Ambitions: the | Higher Education: Meeting |
| future of Universities | the Challenge, 30 |
| in a knowledge | Higher Education Policy |
| economy, 57, 71-72, | Institute, 104 |
| 77, 135 | Higher education providers |
| Higher Education | (HEPs), 96, 103, 106, |
| Academy (HEA), 85, | 110, 111, 118, 120, |
| 86-87 | 141, 142, 144, 145 |
| Higher Education Act of | Higher Education Quality |
| 2004, 7, 13, 46, | Agency, 39 |

Higher Education Quality Kennedy Report, 44 Commission (HEQC), Key Information Sets, 15, 111 88, 138 Knowledge economy, 6 Higher-status institutions, HM Revenue and Customs Labour Force Survey, 44 Labour Party, 35, 38-44, data, 108 HM Treasury, 9, 85, 89, 54, 58, 60, 71, 73, 80, 94, 95, 140 130–134, 136, 154, Horizontal differentiation, 156, 160 70 tuition fees and, 45-47 House of Lords, 32 League table rankings, 57, Human capital, 6, 10, 19, 87, 98 27, 31, 41, 45, 51, 72, Learning society, 41, 43 - 45131, 135, 151 maximisation, 43–45 Learning Works, 44 Level playing field, 9 Lifelong learning, 43, 131 Income, new sources of, 150 - 151Lifelong Learning Network, 75, 139 Income-contingent repayment system, 60 Local Education Incumbency, 113 Authorities, 35 Longitudinal Educational Individual return on investment, 147, 148 Outcomes (LEO) data, Inflexibility, 113 15, 108, 109, 118, Informed choice about 142, 143 alternatives, 98 Long-term demand, 41 Innovation, 119 Institutional autonomy, 34, Mandelson, Lord, 37, 50, 111, 119, 120 72 - 75Market-incentivised Institutional failure, risk of, 95 - 96differentiation, 77–81 regulatory reform, Marketisation 102 - 107neoliberal, 4, 12-16 discourse, cumulative Jarrett Committee, 28–34, effect of, 5 51, 127, 128 *see also* individual entries Johnson, Jo, 117

Neoliberal marketisation, Market positionality, 21, 42, 74, 134 4,12-16New Public Management, Market reforms, 25–55 6, 51, 127, 130, 147, Market segmentation, 89 Market share, 16 150 May, Theresa, 160 New Public Management McGettigan, Andrew, Theory, 27 108 - 109Non-repayment, 163 Means-tested maintenance Office for Fair Access grants, 60 (OFFA), 21, 22, 60, Micro-management, 78 67, 69, 75, 96, 134, Missing 3000, The, 8 Mission groups, 3, 4, 6, 139 42, 131, 161 Office for Students (OfS), Mode of delivery, 13 1-2, 96, 104,Mumbai Declaration on 117–119, 142, 164 Lifelong Learning, 44 Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA), NATFHE, 46 103, 104, 117 National Advisory Group OFSTED ratings, 96 OPEC crisis, 25 for Continuing Opening the Sector to Education and Lifelong Learning, 44 New Providers, National Committee of 102 - 103Inquiry into Higher Opening up the market, Education (NCIHE), 157 - 15838 Ownership, 21 National Student Survey (NSS), 15, 88, 89, 117, Part-time learning, 13 134, 162 Peer-review system, 129 National Union of Pluralism, 39-40 Students, 38–39, 58 Policy discourse analysis (PDA), 3, 4, 12 Neoliberal differentiation, 12 - 23approaches to, 20-23 Neoliberalism, 2, 12, 32, Policy shift, 105, 113 126, 162, 163 Polytechnic and Colleges Funding Council in English HE context,

(PCFC), 32, 33, 36

definition of, 16–20

Polytechnic Founding
Council, 128
Polytechnics, 26, 27, 129
establishment of, 34–35
Price competition, 144
Price differential, 67
Price indicator, 71
Privatisation, 130
Producer capture,
113–114
Public expenditure, 76,
110
Public sector, 31

Quality, 154–155, 159

Quality assurance (QA),
103

Quality Assurance Agency
(QAA), 39, 96, 103,
130, 132, 142

Quality of teaching, 99,
144

Rationalisation of policies, 2
Reduction in student number, 81
Regional Development Agencies, 73, 136
Registered providers, 103
Regulatory reform of institutional failure, 102–107
Regulatory regime, 96
Repayment, 65–66, 76, 138
Reputational risk, 11

Research Excellence Framework (REF), 19 Residual household incomes (RHI), 46 Resource Accounting Budget, 89 Responsibilisation, 21 Retrospection, 18 Return on investment, 89, 134, 139, 143–144, 147, 148 'Risk and exit' stage, 95-123, 141-145 applicants and students, 97 - 102caveat emptor, 107-112 discourse development, 112 - 117institutional failure, 95-96, 102-107 Risk-based quality system, 117 - 120Risk-based regulatory system, 20, 154 Robbins Report, 27, 34, 37, 41, 159 Russell Group, 6, 42, 45, 62, 86, 132, 136, 139, 161

Schwartz Review, 69
Science, technology,
engineering and
mathematics (STEM),
75, 87, 136
Small Business, Enterprise
and Employment Act
of 2015, 108

| Social class deprivation, 14 | Teaching Excellence |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Social justice, 19, 136 | Framework (TEF), |
| Social mobility, 14, 19, 80 | 9-10, 11, 15, 19, 83, |
| Social Mobility | 103, 104, 113, 117, |
| Commission (SMC), | 119, 142–144, 163 |
| 57 | ratings, 20, 96 |
| State | submissions, 21, 22 |
| expenditure, | Teaching Excellence |
| discontinuation of, 75 | Framework, The, |
| role in discourse | 99-100 |
| development, 115 | Teaching quality, 63 |
| role in system-shaping, 72 | Tier 4 licence, 103 |
| Student number control | Times Higher Education, |
| (SNC), 8, 9, 14, 84, | 11 |
| 138, 140 | Times Higher Education |
| and market-incentivised | Supplement, 70 |
| differentiation, 77–81 | Transfer system, 112 |
| regime, 78–79, 88, 89, | Tuition fees, 2, 7, 11, 15, |
| 101 | 16, 18, 38, 45–47, |
| strange death of, 85-89 | 75–76, 126, 134, 137, |
| Students | 155–156, 163 |
| risks, 97–102 | variable, 59–68, 133, |
| satisfaction, 89 | 162 |
| Students at the Heart of | |
| the System, 7, 58, 66, | UK Commission for |
| 73, 76, 77–78, 88, 99 | Employment and Skills |
| Subject discipline, 13 | (UKCES), 72 |
| Success as a Knowledge | UK Research and |
| Economy: Teaching | Innovation, 104 |
| Excellence, Social | UNISTATS, 73, 133, 135, |
| Mobility and Student | 162 |
| Choice, 112-113 | University and College |
| Supply and demand, 89 | Application System |
| Sutton Trust, 8 | (UCAS), 8, 10, 14, 15, |
| Swinnerton-Dyer, Sir Peter, | 67, 71, 82, 87, 117, |
| 31 | 120, 137, 138, 143, |
| System delivery, | 145, 154, 161 |
| 34-35 | tariff differentiation, 96 |

tariff distribution, 76 $Higher\ Education - A$ tariff requirements, 74 New Framework, University Funding Council 35 - 36(UFC), 30, 36, 127, Higher Education: Meeting the Challenge, 128 University Governing 30 Council, 26 Higher Ambitions: the **University Grants** future of Universities in a knowledge Committee (UGC), 28 - 30, 127economy, 57, 71-72, University of Sunderland, 77, 135 Students at the Heart of the System, 7, 58, 66, University title (UT), 79, 73, 76, 77–78, 88, 99 103, 105-107, 133,Success as a Knowledge 142, 164 Economy: Teaching Utility maximization, 115 Excellence, Social Vertical differentiation, 70 Mobility and Student Choice, 112–113 White Paper, 3, 7, 8, 22, Widening participation 25, 31, 79, 95, 97, (WP) policy, 7, 14, 19, 101, 112-118, 140 37, 43, 46, 50, 60-62,2003 White Paper, 68, 87, 97, 101 - 102,58-59, 69, 90-91, 131, 134, 139, 143, 133, 134, 136, 141, 152 - 154144 discontinuation of state 2009 White Paper, 91, expenditure, 75 136 Willets, David, 79 Work-based learning, 13 2011 White Paper, 93, 108, 138, 144 World Competitiveness 2016 White Paper, 142, Report, 44-45 World Conference on 143 Future of Higher Lifelong Learning, 44 Education, The, 57