Index

Accurate parsimonious configural model, 257 "Achievement Orientation", 91, 92 Advocacy hypothesis construction and testing, 43 - 44Algorithm models, 48, 213, 224 - 226Boolean algebraic model, 153 - 154calibration, 154-157 consistency, 157 coverage, 157 stating and testing, 153 visualizing findings for tests of algorithms, 157–158 Analysis of variance (ANOVA), 7, 183–184, 249, 252, 265 Anecdotal data, 124 ANOVA. See Analysis of variance (ANOVA) "Anscombe's quartet", 24, 25 Antecedent ingredients, 8 A priori analysis, 21, 26, 45 Asymmetric(al) analysis, 34–35 analytics, 182 causality, 177 configural models, 184 empirical models, 75 focus on building parsimonious patterns, 233

fsQCA data analyses, 199 insufficient but necessary, 186 models, 11, 12, 35 necessary-but-not-sufficient relationships, 186 negation membership, 137 outcomes, 9, 232, 256 QCA, 170 quintiles, 60-61 relationships, 6, 59, 69, 86-88, 151-153, 176, 183, 249, 252 screening tool, 10 service research models, 187 tests, 12, 13, 24, 42, 61, 184 theory, 14, 59, 68

B2B relationships. See Business-business (B2B) relationships Bad practices, moving away from advocacy hypothesis construction and testing, 43 - 44"Anscombe's quartet", 24, 25 behavioral experiments, 14 in business, 4-5cases with associations contrary to effects, 22-24causal path of article characteristics, 10 CIT, 9

complex antecedent conditions, 16 complexity, 15-18 configurational approaches, 8 cross-sectional survey studies, 29 - 30dependent/outcome variable, 42 - 43failure to collecting/reporting real-life contextual data, 40 - 42fsQCA, 14-15 hypothetical relationships, 34 improving theory construction, 2 interviewing one person per group, 30–32 in journal manuscript submissions, 6-7laboratory experiments, 40 measuring nonresponse bias, 32 - 33using median splits, 47–48 mushy questions to measure thinking and behavior, 40 - 42net effects in regression models, 24-26 non-significant terms in regression models, 47 replicate findings, 45–47 screening tool, 10, 11 stepwise regression analysis, 44 - 45sub-disciplines of business/ management, 3 symmetric only modeling, 34 - 35symmetric statistical test, 24 symmetric tests, 12, 13 testing for fit validity, 18-21 theory and analysis mismatch, 7 useable response rates, 32 - 33

verbal self-reports, 26-29 void-treatment control group in experiments, 35-39 Beauty salon/spa, 184, 195, 196, 199 correlations of facets and intentions, 204-205 expenditure levels, 194–195, 223 facets, 242 service provider and customer contexts in, 188 - 189Behavioral decision making, 130, 152 Behavioral experiments, 14 Behavioroid measures, 41 BI indices. See Business International (BI) indices Boolean-based asymmetric analytics, 183 Boolean algebra, 8, 12, 65, 67-69, 88, 135, 153, 154, 182, 185, 210, 256, 272 Boolean algebra-based software, 152 Business-business (B2B) relationships, 114 content analysis, 130-133 CSR, 116–123 DFA, 133–134 direct research and observing processes, 123-127 DSA, 127, 128 EDTM, 127–130 FS/QCA, 134–138 measures of associations, 139 - 142Business International (BI) indices, 91, 92 Butterfly effect, 252–253

Calibration, 12, 135, 154, 162–163, 210–211

field experiment, 154 fuzzy-set, 48, 210–211, 265, 266 index calculations, 155 - 157membership scores, 171 statistical outliers, 155 Case-based algorithms, 151 Case-based modeling of B2B relationships, 34, 114 content analysis, 130–133 CSR, 116–123 DFA, 133–134 direct research and observing processes, 123-127 DSA, 127, 128 EDTM, 127–130 FS/QCA, 134-138 measures of associations, 139 - 142Case identification theory (CIT), 9,10 Case study research (CSR), 114 - 116, 141core assumptions serving as rationales for, 117 DFA in, 133–134 exemplar methods in B2B contexts, 122-123 key success factors, 121 multiple mental processes, 120 "nonconscious" decision-making, 119 triangulation, 118 Causal asymmetry, 34, 62, 151, 161, 170, 181, 192, 196, 214, 217–220, 228, 233, 256 demographics-only causal asymmetry configurations, 283 principle, 16-17, 72, 253, 279 Causality, 151, 177, 283

Causal recipes, 31, 87, 92, 98, 103, 129, 130, 135, 136, 138, 139, 155, 160–161, 269 CCT. See Consumer Culture Theory (CCT) CDERP. See Customer-directed extra role performance (CDERP) Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), 95 CHAID. See Chi-squared automatic interaction detection (CHAID) Chi-squared automatic interaction detection (CHAID), 15 CIA. See Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) CIT. See Case identification theory (CIT) Classic linear regression model, 8 Cognitive consistency, 119 Collaborative research support program (CRSP), 128 Comparative theory test, 44 Competency-qualification algorithms, 250 Complex antecedent configuration, 57, 185, 192, 196, 220–221, 223, 232 demographic models, 229, 269 Complex antecedent condition, 12-13, 15-16, 18,23-24, 34, 42, 45, 61, 98, 100, 186, 214, 220-223, 232, 233, 235, 249, 251, 266, 268 computing scores, 291–292 configural theory, 253, 266 consistency and coverage, 70, 157modeling, 66

models with, 212 primary configural nature, 189 reality and, 176 of two or more simple conditions, 67-71 Complex demographic configurations, 191, 192 affecting customer evaluations of service facets, 191-192 indicating customer evaluations of service facets, 212-214 Complexity theory age groups data, 268–269 architecture of complexity, 63 - 64causal asymmetry, 72, 192 complex antecedent conditions, 67-71, 282 complex demographic configurations, 191 - 192complexity and customer evaluations, 182-186 configurational theory of antecedents, 249-262 configurations of customer service-facet evaluations, 195 - 197contrarian case analysis, 74 - 75contributions and service management practice, 229 - 233customer evaluating service facets, 194 data analysis, 265–268 data collection procedure, 264 - 265demographics-only causal asymmetry configurations, 283 developing potential, 65

discussion, limitations, and contributions, 279 employee work-domain happiness, 248-249, 249 - 262equifinality principle, 71-72 expenditure levels, 194–195 facets, 242 "facet-specific" happiness, 246 - 247happiness, IRP, AND **CDERP**, 285 high employee work-domain happiness, 247-248 individual feature in recipe, 73 limitations and suggestions for future research, 233 - 235, 285managers assessment of employee performance, 248-249, 249-262 methods, 197, 262 modeling multiple realities, 75 - 77models for very high happiness and performance, 269-272, 276 on-job antecedent configurations, 280-281 qualitative comparative analysis, 286 respondents, 198-199 rote applications of regression analysis, 58 - 62scores for recipe, 74 service outcomes, 243 in service research, 186–187 simple antecedent conditions, 66-67, 192-194 survey instruments, 197-198, 262-264 survey items, 240-241

unique complex antecedent configurations, 192 Venn diagram, 189–191 work facet-specific scales, 264 Complexity theory perspective (CTP), 61-62Complexity theory tenets, 8, 62, 63, 182, 185-186 in beauty and spa industry, 189 - 191thinking and testing, 183 Complex service-facet configurations, 196, 223 Complex service-outcome configurations, 196, 223, 228 "Condition", 154 Configural analysis, 12, 15, 61, 62, 150, 183, 246, 252, 284 beauty salon/spa facets, 242 complexity and customer evaluations, 182–186 service outcomes, 243 service provider and customer contexts, 188 - 189survey items, 240–241 See also Fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA); Multiple regression analysis (MRA) Configural statement, 98, 184 - 185, 251Configural theory, 247, 249, 253, 285 asymmetrical relationships, 252 butterfly effect, 252–253 competency-qualification algorithms, 250 confirming four principles, 283 - 284equifinality, 251–252

happiness-performance, 253 - 254independent variable, 250 - 251Configurational theory of antecedents, 249-262 demographics to happinessat-work, relevancy of, 255 - 257ERP, 261–262 happiness-at-work and job performance, 260 IRP, 261–262 PWE, 258 qip, 258–259, 264, 271-272, 276-277, 279 work facet-specifics, 257 - 258Conjunctive models, 157 Consistency, 12, 18, 45, 69, 88, 155-156, 157, 170, 187, 223, 266 calculation, 70, 139 cognitive, 119 in fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis, 107 index metrics, 211–212 metrics, 191 recipe, 67 rule, 193 for service facets, 228 signals, 139 for very high IPR, 276 Consistency index, 87, 155, 211, 212, 266 Consumer Culture Theory (CCT), 28, 41, 49 Contemporary marketing practices, 114 Content analysis, 122, 130, 142 emic interpretations, 131 hermeneutic interpretation, 132 sensemaking views, 133

Context(s), 27, 59–60, 64, 88, 89, 158, 159, 173 B2B, 116–119, 121–123, 125-126, 127-131 business, 185 customer, 188-189 hospitality-service employee work, 277 multi-step, 188 real-life, 40, 116, 142 real-world, 41, 49 research, 116 Continuum, 158 Contrarian case(s), 18, 22, 23, 47, 59, 61, 62, 74, 75, 183, 187, 203, 208, 222 analysis, 62, 74-75, 183 negative and positive, 232 tenet, 17 Correlation and cross-tabulation findings, 199 antecedent conditions, 208, 210beauty salon/spa facets and intentions, 204-205 contrarian cases, 203 correlations among service facets, 203 correlations for service facet evaluations, 203 customers' evaluations and intentions, 206-207 demographics with experience assessments, 202 demographics with service facet evaluations, 200 - 201effective treatment and service quality segments, 208 highly significant correlations, 203 service quality and return intention segments, 209

Coverage, 18, 29, 69, 74, 88, 98, 139, 155, 157, 266, 268 calculation, 70, 139 complex configuration, 212 in fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis, 107 index, 87, 211, 212, 266 Creative leap, 124 Critical test, 44, 134 Cross-sectional research findings, 116 Cross-sectional survey studies, 29 - 30CRSP. See Collaborative research support program (CRSP) CSR. See Case study research (CSR) CTP. See Complexity theory perspective (CTP) Customer-directed extra role performance (CDERP), 75, 247, 253, 261-262, 264, 268, 275-276, 285 Customer contexts, 188–189 Customer evaluates service facets, 194 configurations, 195–197 Customer evaluates service facets, 222-223 Customer membership, 137 Customer service-facet assessments, 199 configurations, 223–228 evaluations, 195-197 Data analysis, 7, 14, 15, 24, 32, 42, 46, 178, 265 calibrated happiness scales, 267-268

CIT to, 9

fsQCA, 265–266

Data collection, 27, 49

PO, 125 procedure, 1, 264–265 Decision making, 59, 121, 125, 259 B2B, 131 behavioral, 130, 152 naturalistic, 119 non-programmed or semi-programmed, 126 nonconscious, 119 Decision systems analysis (DSA), 122, 127, 128, 133 Degrees-of-freedom analysis (DFA), 122, 133–134, 141 Degrees of freedom test, 44 Demographic configurations, 189, 192, 194, 196, 214, 220, 253, 282 complex, 191–192, 212 Dependent variable (DV), 3, 7, 47, 85-86, 88, 89, 92, 175, 176, 235, 249 "net effects" of variables, 58, 151 DFA. See Degrees-of-freedom analysis (DFA) Direct research and observing B2B processes, 123 creative leap, 124 PO data collection, 125 principles, 126 sensemaking, 127 DOFA. See Degrees-of-freedom analysis (DFA) Dominant hypothesis approach, 43, 44 "Double-blind" procedure, 35 - 36DSA. See Decision systems analysis (DSA) DV. See Dependent variable (DV)

EDTM. See Ethnographic decision tree modeling (EDTM) Electronic data-processing equipment, 125 Emergence, 252 Emic interpretations, 131 Empirical positivism statistical testing, 159–160 Empirical positivistic analysis, findings for, 164 statistical analysis, findings from, 164–170 Empirical positivistic tests. See Statistical tests Employee happiness-at-work CDERP, 261-262 configural theory, 249–253 configurational theory of antecedents and outcomes, 249 demographics to happinessat-work, 255–257 happiness-at-work and job performance, 260–261 happiness-performance configural theory, 253 - 254IRP, 261–262 quality of employee performance relationship, 284 - 285work facet-specifics, 257 - 260Employee happiness-at-work, relevancy of demographics to, 255 - 257Employee work-domain happiness and managers' assessment, 247, 248 - 249Equifinality, 8, 12, 71, 151, 187, 233, 246, 252

case-based algorithms and, 151 principle, 16, 34, 71–72, 251, 266, 283 tenet of complexity theory, 12 ERP. See Extra-role performance (ERP) Ethnographic decision process model, 66, 129 Ethnographic decision tree modeling (EDTM), 122, 127, 131, 141 behavioral decision making, 130binary flow models, 128 cognitive science reporting, 129 Etic interpretations, 131, 133 Extra-role performance (ERP), 261 "Facet-specific" happiness, 246 Female headed households (FHHs), 128 Field experiments, triple sensemaking in, 149 comparing benefits and limitations of methods, 175 - 177empirical positivistic analysis, 164 - 170fsQCA, 170–175 MRA, 150 SAIM, 151 stating and testing algorithm models, 153–158 statistical modeling, 151 - 152unobtrusive field experimentation, 158 - 164Fit validity, 62, 65, 94, 175, 235 fit and predictive validity, 20

illusion of control, 21 MRA models, 19 "take-the-best" algorithm, 19 - 20testing for, 18, 59 "Folk theory of mind", 29, 49 fsQCA. See Fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA) Fuzzy-set calibration, 210–211, 265 Fuzzy-set calibration, 48, 210-211, 265, 266 Fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA), 14–15, 45, 61, 62, 83, 98, 113, 122, 134, 150, 152, 170, 231–232, 235, 265 asymmetric algorithm construction and testing, 265 Boolean algebra-based software, 152 calibration, 154–155 calibration membership scores, 171-173 causal recipe, 138 computing consistency and coverage in, 107 configural statements, 98 consistency and coverage indexes, 266 coverage index in, 211–212 for efficiency, corruption, red tape, and GDP growth, 103 fuzzy set scaling, 135 fuzzy set scores for customer SOB, 137 fuzzy truth table algorithms, 170isomorphic-management model, 175 KSP, 173

Mauro's mechanisms, 98 models, 208 MRA vs., 104 predictive validity of, 173-175 set intersection, 136 software for, 98 testing configural models, 174 See also Configural analysis Fuzzy truth table algorithms, 170Gatekeeper analysis, 129 GCT. See General complexity theory (GCT) GDP. See Gross domestic product (GDP) General complexity theory (GCT), 189, 191, 192, 193, 195 Global personal care industry, 189 GNP. See Gross national product (GNP) Good practice(s), 3, 7, 17, 25-26, 32, 50See also Bad practice(s) Gross domestic product (GDP), 91, 95–100, 103–104, 110Gross national product (GNP), 210Group conflict, 259 Hair care services industry, 189 Happiness-performance configural theory, 253 - 254Hawthorne effect. See Measurement reactivity effect "HC-2001 Head and Capstan

Cleaner kit", 161–162 Hermeneutic analysis framework, 132 Hermeneutics interpretations, 131, 132
HFSE. See Hospitality front-line service employees (HFSE)
Highly reliable organizations (HROs), 72, 177
Hospitality front-line service employees (HFSE), 246–247
HROs. See Highly reliable organizations (HROs)
Human rational behavior, 59–60, 150, 158
Hypothetical bias, 40

ICRAF. See International Centre for Research on Agroforestry (ICRAF) In-role performance (IRP), 75, 247, 261-262, 285 and CDERP, 275-276 configural modeling associations with HFSE, 277 configurational models for demographics and happiness, 274 high happiness and low performance, 276, 278 model, 6, 279 very low happiness and very high, 272–273 work facet-specific and happiness configurational models relating to, 276 - 279Index metrics for measuring consistency and coverage of complex configuration, 211-212 Inter-group conflict, 259 Inter-organizational conflict. See Inter-group conflict Interest and taxes by assets (ROA), 42

Interest and taxes by sales (ROS), 42 International Centre for Research on Agroforestry (ICRAF), 128 Interpersonal conflict, 259 Interpersonal conflict, 259, 260, 264 Interpersonal relationship, quality of, 258–259, 272 Interpersonal relationships, 258 - 259IRP. See In-role performance (IRP) Isomorphic-management models, 149, 150, 175 Key failure paths (KFPs), 121, 130 Key success factors (KSFs), 31, 72, 88, 89, 121, 130, 173, 176, 283 Key success paths (KSPs), 89, 121, 130, 173, 176, 177 Laboratory experiments, 40 "Main effects" hypotheses, 48 cases with associations contrary to, 22-24Main independent variables (MDVs), 47 Marketing organization theory (MOR theory), 114

Mauro's "mechanisms", 98 MDVs. See Main independent variables (MDVs) Measurement reactivity effect, 36 Measures of associations, 139 EDTMs, 141–142 strategy and theory implications, 140 Median splits, 47–48 Mental model(s), 131, 132, 133, 142 Mental processes, 26 CCT research, 28 data collection methods, 27 five-, six-, or seven-point scales, 27 "Folk theory of mind", 29 in research on industrial marketing-buying thinking, 120 self-generated validity, 28 - 29MOR theory. See Marketing organization theory (MOR theory) MRA. See Multiple regression analysis (MRA) Multiple regression analysis (MRA), 7, 9, 18–19, 58, 59, 61, 83, 150, 175-176, 184, 196, 249 and algorithms, 95 analysis of joint lagged impact, 100 BI indices, 91 complex antecedent conditions, 98, 100 computing consistency and coverage in fsQCA, 107 consistency index, 87 correlations, 88, 97 data for country efficiency, 101 efficiency, corruption, red tape, and GDP growth data, 108–111 estimating relationships variables, 94 findings from fsQCA, 102, 103 fit to prediction validity, 93 fsQCA, 98, 104 GDP growth, 98, 100, 103 models, 47, 235

multicollinearity, 85 net effects symmetric tools, 59 percentages of outstanding and typical executives, 90 and QCA, 178 for random samples, 99 significant correlations, 87 statistical tool, 84 symmetrical and asymmetrical relationships, 86 from symmetric tests, 255 tenets support, 85 testing, 8, 95-96 tipping points, 90 tools-to-theory perspective, See also Configural analysis NA. See Negative affect (NA) National Football League (NFL), 66, 69 Negation membership, 137 Negative affect (NA), 23 Negative contrarian case, 182, 208, 232 "Net effect" approach, 72, 85, 151 employee demographic variables on work performance, 255 FS/QCA, 134–135 MRA, 175-176 in regression models, 24–26 using symmetrical testing, 196 theory from net effects perspective, 159-160 Network theory, 64 NFL. See National Football League (NFL) Non-respondents, 33 Nonresponse bias, measuring, 32 - 33

Obtrusive field experiment, 158 - 159Organization conflict. See Group conflict PA. See Positive affect (PA) PANAS. See Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) Participant observation (PO), 125 Peer conflicts, 259–260 Personal conflict, 259 Physical work environment (PWE), 258, 263, 272, 276 Placebo control group, 35, 37, 47 treatment, 35-39 PO. See Participant observation (PO)Positive affect (PA), 23 Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS), 22, 23 Positive contrarian case, 182, 208, 232 Property space analysis, 124, 191 - 192PWE. See Physical work environment (PWE) Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA), 8, 15, 21, 88, 164, 170, 187 See also Fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA) Quality of interpersonal relationship (qip), 258-259, 264, 271-272, 276-277 relationships, 263, 272, 279

Ratio-scale indicators, 210 Real-life decision making. See Behavioral decision making Recipe principle, 16, 67 using Boolean algebra, 68, 69 calculating consistency and coverage, 70-71 rule of thumb, 69 Recognition-primed decision making model (RPD model), 119 Rectangular relationships, 250 necessary-but-not-sufficient relationships, 186 Regression analysis rote applications of, 58–62 statistical hypothesis testing in, 185 Regression models net effects in, 24-26 non-significant terms in, 47 R^2 findings for, 13 symmetric tests, 42 See also Multiple regression analysis (MRA) "Replication", 45-46 Return on investment (ROI), 198 ROA. See Interest and taxes by assets (ROA) ROI. See Return on investment (ROI) ROS. See Interest and taxes by sales (ROS) RPD model. See Recognitionprimed decision making model (RPD model) Rule of thumb, 21, 69, 74 SAIM. See Statistical, algorithm, isomorphic-management modeling (SAIM) SBs. See Sentiments and beliefs (SBs)

SDL. See Service dominant logic (SDL) SEM. See Structural equation modeling (SEM) Senior decision-maker, 116 Sensemaking, 126–127, 129, 131, 132 hermeneutic interpretation, 132See also Field experiments, triple sensemaking in Sentiments and beliefs (SBs), 121 Service dominant logic (SDL), 182 beauty salon/spa facets, 242 complexity and customer evaluations, 182-186 service outcomes, 243 service provider and customer contexts, 188 - 189survey items, 240–241 Service provider and customer contexts, 188-189 Service research contexts, 191, 233 Set-theoretic analysis, 34, 35 connection, 139 consistency, 155 coverage, 157 Set intersection, 136 Set theory, 135, 153 7-point Likert scales, 262 Simple antecedent conditions, 66–67, 157, 192–194, 221, 222, 266, 268, 292 service facets, 196, 223 Simple service-facet antecedent conditions, 223 Simple service-outcome antecedents, 197 conditions, 196–197

Society of Applied Anthropology, 28 SPI. See Subjective personal introspections (SPI) Sponsor identity bias, 33 SPSS. See Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS) Statistical, algorithm, isomorphic-management modeling (SAIM), 151, 173 - 174Statistical analysis, 164, 166 effects of price and purchase pal, 166 multiple regression models predicting purchase, 167 predictive validity, 166, 170 profit models, 169 purchase models, 168 unit sales, 165 Statistical hypothesis testing, 68, 155, 185, 191 Statistical modeling, 149, 151, 160 Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS), 211 Statistical tests, 33, 133, 134, 141, 149, 228–229, 252, 284 Stepwise regression analysis, 44 - 45Structural equation modeling (SEM), 6, 7, 21, 58, 59, 84, 249, 252 Subjective personal introspections (SPI), 30, 60 Survey instruments, 197–198, 262 - 264SVH. See Symmetrical variable hypotheses (SVH) Symmetric analysis, 12 analytics, 182 models, 12

necessary-but-not-sufficient relationships, 186 net effects, 9 only modeling, 34–35 outcome, 9 quintiles, 60-61 relationships, 75, 86-88, 151-152, 186-187, 202, 250, 269 search algorithm, 45 statistical test, 24 theory, 14 tools, 59, 170 Symmetric-based theories, 232 Symmetrical tests, 2, 7-8, 12–14, 24, 58, 61, 199, 203, 232beauty/spa expenditure levels, 223 calibration, 210-211 causal asymmetry occurs, 214, 217–220 complex demographic configurations, 212–214 configurations of customer service-facet, 223-228 correlation and crosstabulation findings, 199 - 210customer evaluates service facets, 222-223 index metrics, 211–212 simple antecedent conditions, 221 - 222support for demographic algorithms, 229 unique complex antecedent configurations, 220 - 221XY plot for high consistency, 228 - 229Symmetrical variable hypotheses (SVH), 7 Symmetric only modeling, 34 - 35

Symmetric quintiles *vs*. asymmetric quintiles, 60 - 61Symmetric statistical test, 24 "Take-the-best" algorithm, 19 - 20, 93Teamwork, 260, 263 Tipping points, 15, 63, 89-90, 187, 250-251 Tools-to-theory perspective, 84 "True experiment", 35, 36, 39, 41, 47, 49, 253 "Truth table", 191–192 Unique complex antecedent configurations, 192, 220 - 221Unique configurations of service facets, 223 outcomes, 196, 228 Unobtrusive field experimentation, 152, 158, 161 antecedents, 162-163 calibrations, 163-164 "HC-2001 Head and Capstan Cleaner kit", 161 - 162predictive validity of data, 164 theory from causal recipe perspective, 160-161 theory from net effects perspective, 159-160 Useable response rates, 32–33, 59 Variable-focused only modeling.

See Symmetric only modeling Variable-level analysis, 18 Venn diagrams, 76, 189, 195, 233, 253, 257 Verbal self-reports, 26 CCT research, 28 data collection methods, 27 five-, six-, or seven-point scales, 27 "Folk theory of mind", 29 self-generated validity, 28 - 29Void-treatment control group in experiments, 35 "double-blind" procedure, 35 - 36in field and laboratory experiments, 37 marketing field experiments, 38 placebo treatment, 37–38 random assignment, 36 test treatment, 35 true experiment, 35, 39

Work facet-specific(s), 257 configurations for very high and very low happiness, 279 and happiness configurational models relating to negation of IRP, 276 - 279peer conflicts, 259–260 PWE, 258 quality of interpersonal relationships, 258-259 scales, 264 teamwork, 260 Work facet-specific antecedents, 257-258, 260 Writing quality, 9, 13–14