

SUBJECT INDEX

- Academic Performance Index (API), 81, 138–139
- Accountability
 - and coupling of schools, 10–12
 - federalist model, 14
 - and NCLB Act, 35–36
 - organizational mechanisms and local effects of top-down, 8
 - school's internal, 71
 - standards-based, 11
 - and working condition, 107–109
- Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) targets, analysis, 22, 43, 49–50, 55–58, 60, 104, 113–114
- Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) program philosophy, 172

- Bae, Soung, 23, 189
- Borko, H., 19
- Bush, George H. W., 5, 7

- California, accountability system in data methods
 - classification of schools and districts, 79–80
 - rating of schools and districts, 77–79
 - sampling strategy and data, 76–77
 - weak and strong accountability, 76
- discussion, 90–98
- results, 81
 - state accountability context, 80–81
 - Urban district, 88–89
 - Vine district, 89–90
- theoretical framework
 - internal accountability and loose coupling, 71–72
 - responses to accountability policies, 72–75
 - role of district, 69–71
- California Achievement Test, 6th Edition (CAT6) tests, 81
- California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE), 80–84, 86, 88–89, 162–183, 187–188
- California's Public School Accountability Act (PSAA), 139
- Capacity building, 161–162
- Castellano, Marisa, 209
- Centralized curricula and high-stakes testing, 6–7
- Centralized environments and accountability policies, 137
- Christensen, Gayle, 22, 67
- Chubb, John, 10
- Clinton, Bill, 4–5, 9
- Cohen, Michael, 10–12
- Coupling, of education system
 - internal accountability, 71–72
 - and policy responses, 72–75
- Cross, Christopher, 5

- Decentralized environment and accountability policies, 135–137
- District's role, in accountability reform data methods
 - classification of schools and districts, 79–80

- rating of schools and districts, 77–79
 - sampling strategy and data, 76–77
 - weak and strong accountability, 76
- discussion, 90–98
- results, 81
 - relationship between district efforts and schools' responses, 94–98
 - state accountability context, 80–81
 - variation in responses, 93–94
- theoretical framework
 - internal accountability and loose coupling, 71–72
 - responses to accountability policies, 72–75
 - role of district, 69–71
- District's role, in teacher learning and development. *See also* California, accountability system in
 - coherence, 70–71
 - discussion, 203–205
 - findings
 - coaching model, 197–199
 - district-wide professional development, 195–197
 - human capital, 194–195
 - leadership, 201–203
 - trust, concept of, 199–201
 - internal accountability, 79–80
 - methods
 - data analysis, 193
 - data collection, 192–193
 - district context, 192
 - theoretical framework, 190–191
- Effective district- or school-level practices, analysis
 - in centralized environments, 137
 - in decentralized environments, 135–136
- discussion and policy implications, 151–154
- findings, 143–151
- indices for, 140–143
- limitations of research, 138
- school sample, 138–140
- Elliott, R., 19
- Empowerment, 108–109, 111, 113–114, 120–123
- Exit examination, in high school
 - background and history, 159–160
 - policy assumptions, 161–162
 - research on high schools' response
 - curriculum change, effect of, 167–173
 - findings of study, 164–167
 - instructional change, impact, 173–183
 - sample schools, 163–164
- Exit examination, in VHS
 - discussion, 228–231
 - estimates, 211–212
 - findings
 - academic achievement, 218
 - challenges and disappointments of students, 226–227
 - inequalities, 227–228
 - reform efforts, 222–223
 - schedule changes and its impact, 219–220
 - school district and VHS, 216–218
 - state support and resources, 224–225
 - students' work experience, 222
 - teacher experiences, 220–222
 - methodology
 - data collection and analysis, 215–216
 - sample, 215
- Exit examination policies, 161–162
- External accountability policies and teachers, 108

- Georgia Quality Learning and Teaching Environments Initiative (QLTE) survey, 109–110
- Gordon, Kristen, 22–23, 103
- Hamilton, L., 22, 31
- Henne, Melissa, 23, 26, 133
- High Priority Schools Grant Program (HPSGP), for schools, 81
- High school response, to exit exam. *See* Exit examination, in high school
- Holme, Jennifer Jellison, 23, 157
- Immediate Intervention/
Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP), 81
- Implementing Standards-Based Accountability (ISBA) project
data and methods
analytical approach, 41
surveys, 40–41
discussion, 60–63
perspectives on organizational reform, 33–34
practical application, 36–38
results
development of a school and district improvement plan, 50–53
instructional practices related to SBA, 42–50
relationship between school- and classroom-level responses to SBA, 53–60
theoretical aspects, 34–36
- Incentives, for accountability, 35
- Instructional leadership, 55
- Instructional practices
alignment of curriculum and student assessments, 43–45
attributed to testing, 45–47
elementary teachers and, 42–43, 46–49
in Georgia, 49–50
responses of middle school teachers, 46, 48–49
role of occupational norms and workplace conditions, 33
school-level efforts, 34
time, 42–43
use of achievement data for instructional decision-making, 47–50
- Internal accountability, 71–72
- Jang, Heeju, 23, 26, 133
- Luschei, Thomas, 22, 67
- Marsh, Julie A., 31
- McNeil, Linda, 18
- Meyer, John W., 10
- Miles, Jeremy, 31
- Minimum competency tests (MCTs), 159
- Mintrop, H., 19
- Moe, Terry, 10
- No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), 2001, 7, 31, 50, 67, 104, 108–109, 161
- O’Connell, Jack, 160
- Organizational reform, perspectives on, 33–34
- Porter, Roger, 5
- Reagan, Ronald, 1
- Romberg, T., 19
- Russell, Jennifer Lin, 31
- Schmidt, Michèle, 23–24, 209
- School- and classroom-level responses to SBA, analysis
instructional leadership activity measures, 55

- multilevel modeling approach, 56–60
 - principal measures, 54–55
 - results for teacher's use of
 - achievement data, 59–60
 - teacher measures, 55–56
 - test-focused instructional practices, 57–58
- Similar Students, Differing Results (SSDR) study, 135
- Smith, Marshall, 10
- Specially Designed Academic Instruction in English (SDAIE), 87
- Standards-based accountability (SBA), 11
 - action mechanisms, 36
 - direct changes in teacher practice, 37
 - effects at the school level, 37–38
 - instructional practices
 - alignment with curriculum and student assessments, 43–45
 - attributed to testing, 45–47
 - time, 42–43
 - use of achievement data for instructional decision-making, 47–50
 - in practice, 36–38
 - student learning, impacts, 37
 - in theory, 34–36
 - under NCLB Act, 35–36, 50
- Stanford Achievement Test, 9th Edition (SAT9), 81, 88–89
- Stecher, Brian, 19, 31
- Stone, James R., III, 209
- Stringfield, Sam, 209
- Student achievement
 - and accountability policies, 6–14
 - centralization of education, 4–6
 - and government policy, 2–4
 - relationship with teacher-reported practices
 - district staff emphasize on student learning, 141
 - and ethnic groups, 143–151
 - focus on school's curriculum, 142
 - focus on student subgroups, 141–142
 - modification of pedagogical practices, 141
 - policies regarding student behavior, 141
 - positive environment, 143
 - school focus on, 140–142
 - school priorities, 141–142
 - teacher development for serving English learners, 142
 - teacher expectations, 141
 - teachers use of curricular standards, 140
 - in United States, 1
- Tapales, Athena, 209
- Teaching practices and accountability
 - data and methods
 - analytical approach, 41
 - surveys, 40–41
 - discussion, 60–63
 - perspectives on organizational reform, 33–34
 - practical application, 36–38
 - results
 - consistency of teachers' responses, 50–53
 - development of a school and district improvement plan, 50–53
 - instructional practices related to SBA, 42–50
 - relationship between school- and classroom-level responses to SBA, 53–60
 - theoretical aspects, 34–36
- Thatcher, Margaret, 1, 11–12

- Top-down accountability policies,
105–107, 123–126
- Trujillo, T., 19
- U.S. job growth and educational
system, 160
- Valenzuela, Angela, 18
- Vocational high school response, to exit
exam. *See* Exit examination, in VHS
- Williams, S., 19
- Woody, Elisabeth, 19
- Working condition
and accountability,
107–109
teachers' assessments of,
109–114
qualitative analysis, 114–123
- Zarinia, E., 19