

INDEX

- Accountability, 116–118
Attainment gaps, 117
- Boundaries, 53
Boundary objects, 54, 64–69
Brokerage, 53–76
Broker characteristics, 69–72
Bronfenbrenner’s ecological systems theory, 209–210
Brown, C., 15
- Centrality, 109
Change agents, 98, 138
Chapman, C., 17, 18
Collaboration, 18
 aid and assistance, 21
 effective, 19–20
 historical, 22
 joint work, 21
 learning, 1, 14
 sharing, 21
 storytelling, 21
Combination mode, 59
Communities of practice, 14, 15, 47, 64, 65
Competition, 116–118
Concerns Based Adoption Model (CBAM), 99–100
Contrived collegiality, 18
Critical consumers, 208
Cultures
 innovation, 152–154
 trust, 152–154
- Data Teams, 26, 45
Decentralisation, 114–115
Density, 109
- Developing Potential-Empowering schools project. *See* *Pess* networks
- Distributed leadership (DL), 46–47, 125–128
 models of, 126
 school leader capacity, 128–130
 approaches, 204–205
 PLN participants, 205
- Education networks, 9–14
Effective collaboration, 19–20
England, 5, 12, 79–111, 137–162, 203–215
English system, 117
Environmental conditions, 114
Externalisation mode, 59
- Face-to-face communication, 65
Facilitation, 60
Formalise, 40–43, 80, 122–124, 176, 203, 206
- Geographical proximity, 22
Germany, 65, 79–111, 163–183, 185–201, 203–215
Great Education Reform Movement (GERM) systems, 118
- Hampshire research learning network, 119–120, 160–161
Historical collaboration, 22

- Homogenous networks, 20
- Hubers, M. D., 53
- Innovation, 52–53
- Instructional leadership, 35
- Internalisation mode, 59
- Interventions, 56, 171, 211
- Interviews, 85–86, 90–95, 174
- Joint practice development (JPD), 61
 - focused enquiries, 69
 - structured peer observations, 69
 - training students, 69
- Knowledge brokerage, 53–76
- Knowledge creation, 59
- Knowledge mobilization, 14, 46, 86
- Knowledge Network for Applied Education Research (KNAER), 11
- Labyrinth, 29–50, 203–215
- Leadership
 - addendum, 26–28
 - distributed leadership, 125–130, 149–151, 204–205
 - professional learning networks (PLNs), 34–36
 - school leadership, 168–169
- Learning conversations
 - evidence and/or ideas, 60
 - experience and external knowledge/theory, 60
 - facilitation, 60
 - protocols and tools, 60
- Learning organisations (LOs), 74
- Liquid modernity, 114
- Literacy, 117
- Mobilise, 40, 51, 130–131, 149, 198, 204
- Multi-Academy Trusts (MATs), 113
- Mutual learning, 23
- Ofsted, 115–117, 123
- Opinion formers, 72, 73, 81
- Optimum design, 108
- Organisational goals, 35, 36
- Pedagogic leadership, 129
- Perceived benefits, 192–193
- Performance management targets, 42, 122, 134, 135, 206
- Pess* networks, 3, 6, 109–111, 185–201
 - formalizing, 176–177, 186–188
 - internal evaluation data, 166
 - learning networks, 169–172
 - local education networks, 166–167
 - mobilizing, 177–180, 188–192
 - new governance model, (NGM) 165
 - PISA, 165–166
 - PLN benefits, 180–181
 - prioritizing, 174–176, 186–188
 - research findings, 174
 - school leadership issues, 168–169
 - socio-economic status (SES), 164
 - stages, 172
 - time, 182
 - voluntary based PLNs, 168
- Pess* survey, 109–111
- Poortman, C., 1, 15
- Practitioner-based social capital (PBSC), 47
- Prioritisation, 40–41, 122–124, 154, 203, 206–207
- Professional development, 86, 101, 115, 152, 162
- Professional learning communities (PLCs), 92, 103, 149
 - within-school, 43, 53, 56
- Professional Learning Network (PLN)
 - benefits, 16–17
 - defined, 14
 - Germany and England, 79–111
 - labyrinth, 33
 - leadership, 34–36
 - success conditions, 17–26

- Quasi-market pressures, 116
- Queens University, Canada, 108
- Reflective professional inquiry, 23, 26, 58
- Relevance benefits, 192–193
- Research-informed teaching practice (RITP), 81, 119, 169
- Research Learning Network (RLN), 3, 80, 97, 113–135, 137–162
 - accountability, 116–118
 - competition, 116–118
 - decentralization, 114–115
 - distributed leadership, 125–128, 149–151
 - Dreyfus model, 138–140
 - formalizing, 122–124
 - Hampshire research learning network, 119–120
 - innovations, 144–149
 - mobilising approaches, 130–131
 - participants, 156–160
 - prioritizing, 122–124, 154–156
 - research findings, 121–122
 - resources, 131–132
 - school findings, 132–134
 - school improvisation, 120–121
 - self-improvement, 114–115
 - survey data analyzing, 140–144
 - work-related networks, 151–152
- School budgets, 131–132
 - budget cuts, 44
- School governors, 123, 133, 134
- School Improvement Plan, 29, 115, 135, 192, 206
- School leaders, 35, 49
- Self-improvement, 114–115
- Self-organising distributed leadership, 199–200
- Senior leader, 35, 122, 130, 160, 198
- Socialisation mode, 59
- Staff engagement, 198–201
- Staff meetings, 45, 55, 128
- Stoll, L., 49
- Storytelling, 21
- Student outcomes, 11, 17, 44, 61, 129
- Survey design, 108–109
- Sustainability, 138, 194–198
- Teachers, 3
 - collaboration, 13
 - interviews, 85–86
 - joint work, 21
 - Pess* networks, 163–183
 - practice, 16
 - priorities, 41
 - Professional Learning Network (PLN), 34
 - reflection and inquiry mindedness, 16
 - research learning networks, 113–135
 - self-improvement, 13
 - skills and knowledge, 9
 - sustained learning, 20
- Teaching assistants, 128, 129
- Teaching practices, 1, 33, 81, 152
- Theories of action (ToA), 63
- Transformational leadership, 35
- Trust, 20, 74, 137–162
- Virtuous cycle, 117
- Within-school factors, 35